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Young adulthood cognitive ability predicts statin adherence in middle-aged men after first myocardial infarction: A Swedish National Registry study
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Abstract

Background: Cognitive ability (CA) is positively related to later health, health literacy, health behaviours and longevity. Accordingly, a lower CA is expected to be associated with poorer adherence to medication. We investigated the long-term role of CA in adherence to prescribed statins in male patients after a first myocardial infarction (MI).

Methods: CA was estimated at 18–20 years of age from Military Conscript Register data for first MI male patients (≤60 years) and was related to the one- and two-year post-MI statin adherence on average 30 years later. Background and clinical data were retrieved through register linkage with the unselected national quality register SWEDEHEART for acute coronary events (Register of Information and Knowledge about Swedish Heart Intensive Care Admissions) and secondary prevention (Secondary Prevention after Heart Intensive Care Admission). Previous and present statin prescription data were obtained from the Prescribed Drug Register and adherence was calculated as ≥80% of prescribed dispensations assuming standard dosage. Logistic regression was used to estimate crude and adjusted associations. The primary analyses used 2613 complete cases and imputing incomplete cases rendered a sample of 4061 cases for use in secondary (replicated) analyses.

Results: One standard deviation increase in CA was positively associated with both one-year (OR 1.15 (CI 1.01–1.31), \( P < 0.05 \)) and two-year (OR 1.14 (CI 1.02–1.27), \( P < 0.05 \)) adherence to prescribed statins. Only smoking attenuated the CA–adherence association after adjustment for a range of >20 covariates. Imputed and complete case analyses yielded very similar results.

Conclusions: CA estimated on average 30 years earlier in young adulthood is a risk indicator for statin adherence in first MI male patients aged ≤60 years. Future research should include older and female patients and more socioeconomic variables.
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Introduction

Myocardial infarction (MI) is the most common acute cardiac event, annually affecting around seven million people globally. MI is a consequence of underlying coronary heart disease, the leading cause of death worldwide.1,2 Acute MI care has improved considerably and mortality has decreased by about 50% over the last 15–20 years.3 Hence a clear majority of patients now survive their first MI, which has, in turn, increased the need to improve secondary prevention.4 In Sweden,
only 21% of all patients reached their four most important rehabilitation goals (Q4). The low percentage of Q4 achievers suggests that the standard information-giving approach and other secondary preventive efforts might benefit from individual tailoring.

The Q4 goals involve smoking cessation, participation in a physical activity programme, reduced blood pressure <140/90 mmHg and lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol to either <1.8 mmol/L or a 50% reduction. Patient behaviour is crucial in achieving these goals, of which adherence to lipid-lowering statins plays a pivotal part. Elevated blood lipids is one of the most important risk factors for MI, with a population attributable risk of 50% worldwide. Appropriate statin treatment and adherence is effective in reducing blood lipids, leading to reduced post-MI mortality of up to 25%. Almost all first MI patients are prescribed statins, yet only around 70–80% are adherent when defined as a dispensed out-take of 80% of the prescribed annual dose. Non-adherence to cardiovascular medication is a multifactorial problem, influenced by symptom and disease severity, side-effects, health literacy, socioeconomic factors and personality. There is still insufficient knowledge regarding what influences statin adherence – knowledge that, if gained, could improve tailored interventions aimed at improving statin adherence.

Over a century of research has shown that general cognitive ability (CA) is crucial in human behaviour. Evident in day-to-day information processing, memory and planning. CA is highly stable within an individual yet varies considerably between individuals. Specifically, Deary et al. found that CA was positively associated with adherence to medication. This adds to a growing body of research into cardiovascular risk factors and outcomes showing that a higher CA renders a person more likely to be physically active, eat healthier food, possess a higher health literacy, be a non-smoker, not have hypertension, not develop coronary heart disease and to live longer. It is, however, unknown whether CA in cardiovascular patients is associated with statin adherence.

We hypothesized that the CA estimated when patients were 18–20 years old would be positively associated with one-year statin adherence during secondary prevention after suffering their first MI “on average” 30 years later, and a similar association for two-year adherence. We also examined how robust these associations were when adjusting for a range of covariates.

Methods
Data sources

The Mandatory Conscript Register contains data from Swedish men who performed standardised military psychometric testing at age 18–20 years. We obtained these data from 1969 to 1997 for men registered in the national quality Register of Information and Knowledge about Swedish Heart Intensive Care Admissions (RIKS-HIA). RIKS-HIA contains data on >100 historical, acute care and discharge variables from patients admitted to any cardiac care unit in Sweden for symptoms of acute coronary syndrome. RIKS-HIA has excellent coverage of the Swedish MI population (about 90% of all patients ages <80 years with MI). Patients become eligible after the local hospital cardiologist has decided a discharge diagnosis according to ICD codes based on electrocardiogram results, clinical symptoms and other information.

The national quality registry for Secondary Prevention after Heart Intensive Care Admission (SEPHIA) seeks to register all surviving patients with MI (≤75 years old) in Sweden. SEPHIA collects information on >40 variables, including behavioural interventions, treatment goal fulfilment, cardiovascular disease status and psychological status. The SEPHIA national coverage is very good (>80%). In 2014, SEPHIA included eligible patients from 97% of all Swedish hospitals. SEPHIA has two follow-up visits, SEPHIA 1 (6–10 weeks after the MI) and SEPHIA 2 (12–14 months after the MI), of which we used SEPHIA 1. The Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare maintains the Prescribed Drug Register, which contains data on all prescribed medication out-takes from pharmacies.

The registers were linked and anonymized by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare. The study was approved by the regional ethics committee in Uppsala, Sweden (Dnr 2013/478).

Sample selection

The MI data extraction was from 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2013. We selected all first MI male patients prescribed statins for the first time at hospital discharge and still alive to be registered in SEPHIA up until 31 December 2011, which provided adequate time for statin adherence follow-up. The oldest patients who possibly also had digitized and available conscription data were born in 1949, conscripted in 1969 at the age of 20 years and had a first MI in 2011 at the age of 62 years. Implementation delays in the conscript procedure and very few 20-year-old conscripts rendered a sample that was aged 60 years or younger. Our primary sample therefore consisted of 2613 relatively young first MI complete cases. Imputing incomplete cases rendered a sample of 4061 cases used in secondary (replicated) analyses (Figure 1).
Statin adherence

We selected statin prescriptions from all patients for the two years following their MI and assumed a standard dosage of one pill per day, which reflects about 98% of all prescriptions in Sweden.\(^8\) Patients with automatic medication administration were removed to avoid artificial adherence. Each patient’s medication possession ratio (MPR) percentage for the one-year and two-year adherence periods was calculated as:

\[
MPR = \frac{\text{Number of pills obtained}}{\text{Number of days in observation period}} \times 100
\]

Two observation periods were used in this study: one and two years after the SEPHIA 1 measurement. As the SEPHIA 1 follow-up occurred between 6 and 10 weeks after the MI, there was a period of time prior to our observation period when patients could pick up medication. Swedish reimbursement practices allow for up to three months’ supply to be picked up at one time. Therefore it is possible that patients could have leftover pills when going into our observation periods. To account for this, we calculated the number of pills dispensed between the MI and SEPHIA 1 and subtracted from this the number of days in that time period. Any leftover pills were added to the total for the observation period. No pills were added if patients did not adhere to treatment. To be adherent, a person had to have an MPR of at least 80%, the cut-off most commonly used in previously published work.\(^7\)

Cognitive ability

Data from the four psychometric tests in the Swedish Enlistment Battery were obtained as Stanine scores from the Mandatory Conscript Register. These tests estimate verbal ability, logical reasoning, spatial/nonverbal ability and technical understanding.\(^24\) The most general index of CA is general intelligence (\(g\)), defined as the common inter-individual variance across several tests of specific cognitive abilities.\(^11\) This was computed as the first unrotated factor in a principal components analysis. This factor exhibited substantial and similar loadings across the four subtests; the loadings range from −0.47 to −0.47 and explained 64.6% of the variance in test scores with an eigenvalue >1 (1.61). This satisfied all assumptions of \(g\) and the mean across the four subtest

---

**Figure 1.** Flowchart of patient inclusion and exclusion with counts in parentheses.
scores was used in the following analyses, in line with previous research.\textsuperscript{14}

**Additional variables**

As non-adherence may have multiple causes,\textsuperscript{9} we sought to liberally include covariates. Some covariates are known to influence adherence, but not young adulthood CA (e.g., previous stroke) and were adjusted for in the model. Other covariates had previously been shown to be partial proxies of CA (e.g., smoking\textsuperscript{18}) and were adjusted for, expecting that this would reduce the CA–statin adherence association. The following covariates from RIKS-HIA were used: age, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, body mass index (BMI), previous stroke, employment status (employed/retired/other), systolic blood pressure (SBP), heart rate (HR) and discharge \( \beta \) blockers, \( \alpha_2 \) blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and diabetes medication. From SEPHIA 1, we used: self-reported exercise, physical activity programme participation, self-reported mobility, self-care, usual activity, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression symptoms via the European Quality of Life Five Dimensions Questionnaire (EQ-5D).\textsuperscript{25}

**Statistical analyses**

Continuous variables are described as mean ± SD values and categorical variables as \( n \) (%). Statistical significance was set to 5% (two-tailed). Binomial and multinomial logistic regression was used to estimate associations. We report odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Units of CA were rescaled to represent 1 SD per unit. Our modelling procedure was additive, beginning with a crude CA–adherence model and adding groups of covariates in the order: background cardiovascular risk factors (age, age\textsuperscript{2}, weight, comorbid conditions and employment status); discharge medications; and health-related behaviours (smoking, participation in secondary prevention programmes and self-rated EQ-5D pain/discomfort, usual activities and anxiety/depression). As our primary hypothesis was that young adulthood CA would function as a long-term risk indicator for future non-adherence, the crude estimate was the main result.

The proportion of incomplete cases (36.7%) motivated a secondary sensitivity analyses through repeating the regression modelling after multivariate imputation via fully specified chained equations and predictive mean matching.\textsuperscript{26} All variables except CA were imputed and the number of imputations set to five. Variables with the most missing values were two-year adherence (21.3% of total cases), obesity (10.1%), weight (3.7%), employment status (2.9%) and smoking (1.7%). Primary and secondary analyses rendered very similar results and the latter are reported in the Supplementary material (available online). Analyses were performed by JW in R.\textsuperscript{27}

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

Of the 2613 complete cases, 89.7% were one-year adherent and 85.2% were two-year adherent to their statins. A subsample of 2153 patients also had self-reported adherence 12–14 months after their MI, of which 2047 (95.1%) reported that they were taking statins. The patient characteristics in Table 1 show that CA was higher in the adherent versus non-adherent patients for both observation periods. Adherent patients had a slightly higher HR and BMI. Compared with non-adherent patients, adherent patients were also less often current smokers or diagnosed with diabetes, and also more often employed. Table 1 also shows that adherent patients were more often prescribed non-statin discharge medications. Table 2 shows that adherent patients reported more exercise hours per week and slightly less pain/discomfort, and participated more in secondary preventive programmes, compared to non-adherent patients. There was no clear difference between groups regarding age, SBP, hypertension, self-reported problems with mobility, self-care, usual activities or symptoms of anxiety/depression.

The two crude models in Table 3 show that a 1 SD increase in young adulthood CA corresponded to 15 and 14% increased odds of being statin-adherent during the one-year and two-year post-MI time periods, respectively. These crude estimates constitutes the main result. These odds were minimally weakened when adjusting for background cardiovascular risk factors and minimally strengthened by further adjustment for discharge medication. Adjusting for health-related behaviours rendered the CA–adherence OR point estimates +11 and +8% in the odds for being adherent per 1 SD increase in CA, just short of being statistically significant.

Health-related behaviours were the only covariates that markedly altered the CA–adherence associations and were therefore explored in depth. After separate adjustment for self-reported days of exercise during the previous week (OR 1.14 (CI 1.02–1.27), \( P = 0.021 \)), participation in secondary prevention programmes (OR 1.12 (CI 1.00–1.25), \( P = 0.041 \)) and EQ-5D scores (OR 1.13 (CI 1.02–1.26), \( P = 0.025 \)), smoking was the only substantial modifier of the one-year CA–statin adherence association (OR 1.09 (CI 0.98–1.22), \( P = 0.119 \)). We therefore modelled CA on smoking using multinomial logistic regression with never-smoker as the reference category. This rendered substantial negative associations (OR for being a current smoker 0.60 (CI 0.55–0.66), \( P < 0.001 \)) for being a...
previous smoker OR 0.79 (CI 0.71–0.88), P < 0.001) per 1 SD increase in CA.

**Discussion**

The main findings of this study were that CA assessed in young adulthood was associated with both one-year and two-year statin adherence about 30 years later in a large sample of first MI male patients who were prescribed statins for the first time. Except for smoking, these associations remained significant after adjusting for more than 20 covariates.

Only smoking substantially attenuated the CA–statin adherence association. Smoking could not reasonably have had any effect on CA estimated 30 years earlier and was highly unlikely to influence current statin adherence. We therefore suggest that this attenuation is not causal, but instead a selection effect. Previous studies have also shown that smokers have a lower childhood CA and lower adult CA. As CA is a distillate of fundamental cognitive functions such as memory and executive function, a reasonable interpretation is that lower levels in these functions affect both persistence in taking statins and the tendency to smoke.

**Strengths, limitations and future research**

The risk of confounding through selection bias due to patients with a lower CA not seeking appropriate care...
or cooperation or self-reporting bias was substantially reduced by using data registered by health professionals in national quality registers. This suggests a high generalizability of findings to the subpopulation under study, supported by high data quality and accuracy of estimates due to highly standardized data collection procedures. Although residual confounding cannot be excluded a priori, the 30-year time lag between exposure and outcome and extensive covariate control indicates a causal link from CA to statin adherence. CA was estimated post-puberty in young adulthood when individual CA has largely fixated, before CA starts to degenerate due to ageing and when growth-fixated CA holds a minimum chance of confounding by physical trauma. The outcome and covariates were measured at or before 60 years of age when abnormal age-related cognitive decline is rare.

However, this limits the conclusions to relatively young first MI males. Future research should also include older and female patients and patients with re-infarction. Another limitation was that measurements were analysed at fixed time-points. Complementary time to event designs might shed more light on the present findings. It might also be beneficial to investigate which attitudes are related to adherence. Potential biases demand further investigation and future research may include additional socioeconomic status variables, preferably education, job status and income, simultaneously keeping in mind that these variables are, to a substantial extent, proxies for CA that lie in the causal pathway of CA and health/risk

Table 2. Secondary prevention characteristics as registered in SWEDEHEART/SEPHIA 6–10 weeks after the first hospital admission for myocardial infarction for all complete cases and by one-year and two-year statin adherence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All complete cases (n = 2613)</th>
<th>One-year statin adherence</th>
<th>Two-year statin adherence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adherent (n = 2344)</td>
<td>Non-adherent (n = 269)</td>
<td>Adherent (n = 2226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise (days/week*)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart school</td>
<td>1065 (40.8)</td>
<td>976 (41.6)</td>
<td>89 (33.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>917 (35.1)</td>
<td>846 (36.1)</td>
<td>71 (26.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress management</td>
<td>173 (6.6)</td>
<td>159 (6.8)</td>
<td>14 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>323 (12.4)</td>
<td>292 (12.5)</td>
<td>31 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2422 (92.3)</td>
<td>2176 (92.8)</td>
<td>246 (91.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>189 (7.2)</td>
<td>166 (7.1)</td>
<td>23 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2590 (99.1)</td>
<td>2323 (99.1)</td>
<td>267 (99.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22 (0.8)</td>
<td>21 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2251 (86.1)</td>
<td>2022 (86.3)</td>
<td>229 (85.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>310 (11.9)</td>
<td>273 (11.6)</td>
<td>37 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>52 (2.0)</td>
<td>49 (2.1)</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain/discomfort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1734 (66.4)</td>
<td>1566 (66.8)</td>
<td>168 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>810 (31.0)</td>
<td>717 (30.6)</td>
<td>93 (34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>69 (2.6)</td>
<td>61 (2.6)</td>
<td>8 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety/depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1680 (64.3)</td>
<td>1507 (64.3)</td>
<td>173 (64.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>833 (31.9)</td>
<td>747 (31.9)</td>
<td>86 (32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100 (3.8)</td>
<td>90 (3.8)</td>
<td>10 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Five Dimensions Questionnaire.
Data presented as mean ± SD values or n (%).
*Number of days with ≥30 minutes of moderately intense exercise during the previous week.
behaviour. Following established epidemiological practice, adjusting for socioeconomic status variables is therefore probably incorrect. With such adjustments, we would expect attenuation of the CA–adherence association. Such over-adjustment bias probably occurred when we adjusted for smoking. Military pre-selection, i.e. less frequent psychometric testing of those with very low CA, might also have attenuated the CA–adherence association.

**Clinical implications**

Our findings and the accumulated knowledge within cognitive epidemiology suggests that clinicians should be aware that CA is a stable risk indicator for a range of cardiovascular risk-reducing behaviours and for statin adherence. Secondary prevention might therefore benefit from considering CA as informing tailored care. Although we cannot change CA directly, it might be possible to tailor the context and treatment with respect to patients’ CA.

**Conclusions**

CA estimated in young adulthood is a substantial risk indicator for one- and two-year statin adherence 30 years later in first MI man aged ≤60 years. CA assessment might prove valuable for further targeting of secondary prevention efforts seeking to improve statin adherence. Future research should include other socioeconomic variables and also older and female patients.
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**Table 3.** Main (crude) and exploratory (adjusted) results as odds ratios of one-year and two-year statin adherence for one standard deviation increase in young adulthood cognitive ability (complete cases).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exploratory adjusted analyses</th>
<th>Exploratory adjusted analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude main result</td>
<td>Age, age², weight, comorbidities, employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-year adherence to statins</td>
<td>1.15 (1.01–1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-year adherence to statins</td>
<td>1.14 (1.02–1.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Five Dimensions Questionnaire.
Data presented as odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for complete cases (n = 2613).

*P < 0.05.