<http://www.diva-portal.org>

This is the published version of a paper presented at *ECCE 2017, European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics, Umeå, Sweden, September 19-22, 2017*.

Citation for the original published paper:

Kaptelinin, V., Kiselev, A., Loutfi, A., Hellström, T. (2017)
Robots in Contexts: Human-Robot Interaction as Physically and Socially Embedded
In: *Proceedings of the European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics 2017* (pp.
203-204). ACM Digital Library
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3121283.3121424>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.

Permanent link to this version:

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:umu:diva-141183>

Robots in Contexts: Human-Robot Interaction as Physically and Socially Embedded

Victor Kaptelinin

Department of
Informatics
Umeå University
901 87 Umeå
Sweden

victor.kaptelinin@umu.se

Andrey Kiselev

Center for Applied
Autonomous Sensor Systems
Örebro University
70182 Örebro
Sweden

Andrey.Kiselev@oru.se

Amy Loutfi

Center for Applied
Autonomous Sensor Systems
Örebro University
70182 Örebro
Sweden

Amy.Loutfi@oru.se

Thomas Hellström

Department of
Computing Science
Umeå University
901 87 Umeå
Sweden

thomash@cs.umu.se

ABSTRACT

Robotic technologies are being increasingly integrated into real life settings. The adoption of robots by the society is transcending the initial fascination with novel technology and is gradually entering a new phase, characterized by a massive impact of the technology on various aspects of our everyday lives. These developments emphasize the need to better understand how robotic technologies shape, and are being shaped by, the physical and social contexts in which they are used. The aim of the proposed workshop is to explore possible ways of addressing this issue by bringing together a group of human-robot interaction (HRI) researchers within the ECCE context in order to reflect on a range of methods, concepts, and design approaches that could help understand, anticipate, and deal with HRI challenges associated with the upcoming “robotic revolution”.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-Centered Computing** → *Human-Computer Interaction (HCI)*; Collaborative and social computing • **Computer systems organization** → Robotics

KEYWORDS

Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), context, agency, affordances

ACM Reference format:

V. Kaptelinin, A. Kiselev, A. Loutfi, and T. Hellström. 2017. Robots in contexts: Human-Robot Interaction as physically and socially embedded. In *Proceedings of the 35th European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics, Umeå, Sweden, September 2017 (ECCE 2017)*, 2 pages. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3121283.3121424>

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.
ECCE 2017, September 19–22, 2017, Umeå, Sweden
© 2017 Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5256-7/17/09.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3121283.3121424>

1 INTRODUCTION

The adoption of robots by the society is transcending the initial fascination with novel technology and is gradually entering a new phase, characterized by a massive impact of the Social Robotic technology on various aspects of our lives. For instance, autonomous robots and Mobile Remote Presence (MRP) systems, are more and more common in offices, shops, hotels, classrooms, households, and healthcare settings [1,7,10,11,12,13,16].

These developments emphasize the need for a better understanding of how robotic technologies shape, and are being shaped by, the physical and social contexts in which they are used. The aim of this workshop is to explore possible ways of addressing this need by bringing together a group of HRI researchers within the context of ECCE to reflect on a range of methods, concepts, and design approaches that could help understand, anticipate, and deal with new HRI challenges.

2 KEY TOPICS OF DISCUSSION

The discussion at the workshop will be organized around the following sets of key topics.

2.1 Studies of physically/ socially embedded HRI: A critical perspective on the state of the art

The participants will collaboratively create a conceptual map of existing relevant research and identify main findings, open questions, and unexplored areas.

2.2 Issues for future research

The participants will identify and discuss a diversity of research issues that need to be addressed in order to understand and support physical and social embeddedness of human-robot interaction. As a starting point of the discussion the participants will consider the following list of issues

- spacing and orientation,
- agency,
- activity awareness and accountability,

- robot and human identities
- responsibility, rights, and ownership,
- power differences,
- action capabilities and affordances,
- human-robot collaboration,
- perceptions and identities,
- social etiquette,
- social interaction,
- ecosystems of interconnected smart objects.

2.3 Conceptual frameworks

Conceptual frameworks in Human-Computer Interaction (HCI), HRI, and other areas of human-technology interaction research, which have the potential to provide relevant insights and form a theoretical foundation for interdisciplinary studies of “contextually embedded HRI”, will be discussed, including (but not limited to) the following ones:

- Embodied interaction (e.g., [4]),
- Activity theory (e.g., [8,14]),
- Proxemic interactions (e.g., [15]),
- Ecological approaches (e.g., [3,5]),
- Sliding autonomy (e.g., [6]),
- Human-robot learning (e.g. [2]).

2.4 Analysis and evaluation methods

Relevant analysis and evaluation methods, which are currently common in HRI, will be identified, discussed, and reappraised. The participants will also consider a wider range of user research and research through design methods in HCI and related fields to see whether they can be usefully employed to address the issues discussed at the workshop.

2.5 Design approaches

Design concepts that can help more efficiently integrate human-robot interaction into physical and social contexts (e.g., double remote interaction, [9]) will be discussed by the participants.

3 PARTICIPANT RECRUITMENT, WORKSHOP FORMAT, AND RESULTS DISSEMINATION

A call for position papers will be distributed internationally through mailing lists and social media. Prospective participants will be asked to submit 2-page position statement papers and will be selected on the basis of the relevance and quality of the papers. We are confident that the workshop will attract a number of participants sufficient for a productive discussion, as several people from Örebro University and Umeå University have already expressed their interest.

The full-day workshop will start with a round of self-introductions and finalizing the agenda. After that the participants will present their position papers. Then each of the sets of key topics, outlined above, will be discussed both in small groups and through a general discussion involving all participants. The workshop will conclude with an attempt to summarize the work

conducted by the participants in the form of a conceptual map of research into contextually embedded HRI.

The organizers are considering different strategies for disseminating the results of the workshop. One possibility, which has been discussed, is putting together a special issue of a journal in the general area of human-technology interaction, comprising extended version of position papers.

4 ABOUT THE ORGANIZERS

Victor Kaptelinin is professor at the Department of Informatics, Umeå University.

Andrey Kiselev is senior lecturer at the School of Science and Technology and researcher at the Centre for Applied Autonomous Sensor Systems, Örebro University.

Amy Loutfi is professor at the School of Science and Technology and Head of the Centre for Applied Autonomous Sensor Systems, Örebro University.

Thomas Hellström is professor at the Department of Computing Science, Umeå University.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jenay M. Beer and Leila Takayama. 2011. Mobile remote presence systems for older adults: Acceptance, benefits, and concerns. *Proceedings of HRI 2011*. NJ: IEEE.
- [2] Erik A Billing and Thomas Hellström. 2010. A formalism for learning from demonstration. *Paladyn, Journal of Behavioral Robotics 1 (1)*, 1-13
- [3] Eli Blevis, Susanne Bødker, John Flach, Jodi Forlizzi, Heekyoung Jung, Victor Kaptelinin, Bonnie Nardi, Antonio Rizzo. 2015. Ecological perspectives in HCI: Promise, problems, and potential. *CHI '15 Extended Abstracts*. ACM Press.
- [4] Paul Dourish. 2001. *Where the Action Is: The Foundations of Embodied Interaction*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- [5] Jodi Forlizzi. 2008. The Product Ecology: Understanding Social Product Use and Supporting Design Culture. *International Journal of Design*, 2(1), 11-20.
- [6] Michael A. Goodrich and Alan C. Schultz. 2007. Human-robot interaction: a survey. *Foundations and Trends in Human-Computer Interaction*, v.1 n.3, p.203-275.
- [7] Shang Guo, Jonathan Lenchner, Jonathan Connell, Mishal Dholakia, and Hidemasa Muta. 2017. Conversational Bootstrapping and Other Tricks of a Concierge Robot. In *Proceedings of HRI'17*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 73-81
- [8] Victor Kaptelinin and Bonnie Nardi. 2006. *Acting with Technology: Activity Theory and Interaction Design*. Cambridge, Mass: The MIT Press.
- [9] Victor Kaptelinin, Patrik Björnfot, Karin Danielsson, and Mikael Wiberg. 2017. Mobile Remote Presence Enhanced with Contactless Object Manipulation: An Exploratory Study. *CHI'17 Extended Abstracts*. NY: ACM Press.
- [10] Andrey Kiselev, Annica Kristoffersson, Amy Loutfi Kiselev. 2014. The effect of field of view on social interaction in mobile robotic telepresence systems. *Proc. HRI 2014*. NY: ACM Press.
- [11] Annica Kristoffersson, Silvia Coradeschi, Amy Loutfi. 2013. A Review of Mobile Robotic Telepresence. *Advances in Human-Computer Interaction*. V. 2013 (2013), Article ID 902316.
- [12] Annica Kristoffersson, Kerstin Severinson Eklundh, and Amy Loutfi. 2013. Measuring the quality of interaction in mobile robotic telepresence: A pilot's perspective. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 5 (1), 89-101.
- [13] Min Kyung Lee, Leila Takayama. 2011. "Now, I have a body": Uses and social norms for mobile remote presence in the workplace. *Proc. CHI 2011*. NY: ACM Press.
- [14] Alexey Leontiev (Leont'ev). 1978. *Activity, Consciousness, and Personality*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- [15] Nikolai Marquardt and Saul Greenberg. 2015. *Proxemic Interactions: From Theory to Practice*. Morgan and Claypool.
- [16] Jorge Sales, Jose V Martí, Raúl Marín, Enric Cervera, Pedro J Sanz. 2016. CompaRob: The shopping cart assistance robot. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, Volume: 12 issue: 2.