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Abstract

Background: Studies of time trends of adolescent self-reported mental health suggest an increase of mental health symptoms globally. Unfortunately, several studies within the field have methodological problems, such as short time-period between measurements and different mental health measures over time. When estimating mental health through self-report measures, the measures need to be both valid and reliable. Reports from the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare have shown that several self-report scales used in Child- and Adolescent Psychiatry lack validation in Swedish, and some are direct translations of adult self-report scales without proper age-adaption.

Aims: This thesis aims to add to previous knowledge regarding time trends of self-reported mental health among Swedish youth and to validate internationally used reliable self-report measures for use in Sweden.

Methods: In Study I, we investigated changes in self-reported mental health symptoms, both internalized and externalized, in two samples: The first sample in 1981 and the second in 2014, both samples including all grade 9 students of Luleå. The same composite self-report measures were used at both time points. In study II we translated and validated the Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale second edition (RADS-2) with classical test theory. In study III, eight pediatric Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS®) item banks were translated to Swedish and culturally adapted using the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACT) methodology. Study IV describes the item response theory (IRT) validation of two item banks, the PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0 – Anxiety and the PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0 - Depressive Symptoms, in a school- and Child- and Adolescent Psychiatry patient sample.

Results: Study I: There has been an increase in internalizing symptoms, especially among girls. Externalizing symptoms have decreased, especially among boys, and in 2014 compared to 1981; there is no significant difference between girls and boys. Study 2: The factor structure of the Swedish version of RADS-2 was confirmed and measurement invariance for sex and age-group. Reliability was acceptable to excellent for all subscales and the RADS-2 total scale. Concurrent, convergent, and discriminant validity was acceptable. Study III: All of the eight pediatric PROMIS item banks had translation issues to resolve. However, the translated and adapted versions were linguistically acceptable. Study IV: After removing a few items, the pediatric PROMIS item banks of anxiety and depressive symptoms showed good IRT fit statistics and no differential item functioning. A computer adaptive test (CAT) simulation supports the idea of the item banks to be appropriate to use with CAT.

Conclusion: This study supports the previous knowledge pointing to a rise in self-reported mental health, especially among girls. Valid and reliable diagnostic measures are needed in Child- and Adolescent Psychiatry. RADS-2 is an internationally established measure, and the Swedish version is now validated in a relatively large school sample. Item response theory has several advantages compared to classical test theory. We have translated eight PROMIS item banks to Swedish, and two of them, anxiety and depressive symptoms, have been validated with IRT in a school- and patient sample.
# Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td>Chi-Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BYI-A</td>
<td>Beck Youth Inventories of Emotional and Social Impairment Anger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BYI-D</td>
<td>Beck Youth Inventories of Emotional and Social Impairment Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Child and Adolescent Psychiatry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>Computer-Adaptive Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA</td>
<td>Confirmatory Factor Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>Comparative Fit Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence Interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTT</td>
<td>Classical Test Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIF</td>
<td>Differential Item Functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWLSSS</td>
<td>Robust Diagonal Weighted Least Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFA</td>
<td>Exploratory Factor Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACIT</td>
<td>Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>Functional Somatic Symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDPR</td>
<td>General Data Protection Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLM</td>
<td>General Linear Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRM</td>
<td>Graded response model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRT</td>
<td>Item Response Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISPOR</td>
<td>International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMO</td>
<td>Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin factor adequacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Major Depressive Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Measurement Invariance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>National Institute of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADS-2</td>
<td>Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale second edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>Root Mean Square Error Approximation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHO</td>
<td>PROMIS Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMIS</td>
<td>Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTM</td>
<td>Power Threat Meaning Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Randomized Controlled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDoC</td>
<td>Research Domain Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Standard Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>Tucker-Lewis Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPOP</td>
<td><em>Ungdomars upplevelse av psykisk ohälsa – psykometriska egenskaper i nya svenska versioner av test</em> (Adolescents’ experiences of mental illness – psychometric properties of new Swedish versions of test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO-5</td>
<td>World Health Organization Wellness Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLS</td>
<td>Weighted Least Square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of papers


Blomqvist, I., Chaplin, J.E., Henje, E., Dennhag, I. Item response theory validation of the Swedish pediatric PROMIS item banks of anxiety and depressive symptoms in clinical and community samples. Manuscript.
Populärvetenskaplig sammanfattning

Denna avhandling syftar till att öka vår kunskap gällande eventuella skillnader i självskattad psykisk ohälsa hos ungdomar i Sverige över tid och vidare att ta itu med bristen på åldersanpassade, och tillförlitliga självskattningsskalor på svenska.


I Studie IV har PROMIS självskattningsskalor för ångest- och depressionssymtom utvärderats med IRT och CAT-simulering hos en grupp ungdomar från både skolor och Barn- och Ungdomspsykiatri (BUP). Användandet av IRT vid validering har många fördelar jämfört med traditionella
metoder för validering (klassisk testteori) t.ex. så erhålls för varje fråga en uppfattning om dess svårighetsgrad i att mäta den underliggande förmågan. Det går också att utvärdera så att varje fråga uppfattas lika, dvs inte mäter olika på grund av grupptillhörighet såsom kön och åldersgrupp. Sammanfattningsvis så har självskattningsskalorna visat sig fungera bra och kan med fördel användas med CAT i fortsättningen.

Våra resultat tyder på att psykisk ohälsa ökat hos ungdomar framför allt flickor under de sista trettio åren. I ett försök att teoretisera kring orsakerna till denna utveckling använde vi Urie Bronfenbrenners ekologiska systemteori. Denna postulerar att barn och ungas mående och utveckling påverkas av flera omgivande system och att dessa interagerar med varandra med en potentiellt ackumulerande effekt på barnet. Larsson et al. från Göteborgs Universitet skriver i boken ”Transformations of the Swedish welfare state: from social engineering to governance?” att vi under de senaste årtiondena i Sverige gått från ett välfärdssamhälle till ett samhälle med mer neoliberalistiska strömningar. Därmed har självkontroll och förmågan att vara ”sin egen lyckas smed” blivit alltmer viktigt, vilket ökar stressen på unga. Flera rapporter från Skolverket tyder också på att socioekonomiska skillnader påverkar skolresultaten, exempelvis läsförmåga i åk 4.

1. Introduction

This thesis aims to increase our knowledge of the variation in self-reported mental health symptoms in adolescents in Sweden over time and address the lack of Swedish-language, age-adapted, reliable, and valid self-report scales.

There have been several studies on time trends in mental health. However, these have had various methodological problems, such as different demographics in the compared groups, different mental health measures over time, or short time between measurements. Furthermore, many self-report measures used in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry clinics (CAP) in Sweden have not been validated in Swedish. Some are direct translations of adult measures without age adaption. The Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale second edition (RADS-2) measures symptom severity of depression and is extensively used internationally but is not yet validated in Swedish. Therefore, we set out to validate RADS-2 in Swedish adolescents. In the process of validating RADS-2 with the most common psychometric method, Classical Test Theory (CTT), the disadvantages with CTT became evident, and the need to further explore alternative psychometric methods, such as Item Response Theory (IRT), became apparent. The US National Institute of Health has funded the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) project. PROMIS aims to advance measurement scales through the use of IRT, and the last part of this thesis focuses on the translation of several pediatric PROMIS item banks to Swedish. In addition, we provide an item response theory validation of the item banks of anxiety and depressive symptoms in a school and CAP-clinic patient samples.

Here, a short review of the current state of the art in the field is given to provide a rationale for the work.
2. Background

2.1 Time trends in adolescent mental health

Studies of time trends, including a meta-analysis of internalized mental health symptoms among young people from the 1980s to the early 2000s, suggest an increase of mental health symptoms globally, especially internalizing symptoms in adolescent girls. At the same time, the development among boys is less clear (1, 2). After the early 2000s, a more stable increasing trend has been noted (3). A limitation of the meta-analysis was the relatively low amount of studies included because there were not many studies of sufficient quality. Studies of externalized symptoms in the general population also indicate an increasing trend from the 1970s to the 1990s (2, 4). After that, the trend levels off. A review covering 1985–2011 indicates stable levels of externalized problems in Swedish youth, with only a few of the included studies reporting increasing levels of these symptoms for girls (1).

Unfortunately, several studies in the field have methodological problems, such as comparing groups with different demographics, using different mental health measures over time, a short time between measurements, and inconsistent reporting on gender differences (1, 5). Furthermore, most studies lack comparable measures of mental health over time. Repeated cross-sectional investigations using the same mental health measures in geographically and socially comparable groups are needed (6-8).

In Sweden, data from the World Health Organization (WHO) report “Health Behavior among School-aged Children” show an increased prevalence of headache, stomach ache, backache, and dizziness among 15-year-olds, predominantly girls, from the mid-1980s to today (9). Psychiatric symptoms such as insomnia, depression, irritability, and nervousness have also increased in 15-year-olds, especially among girls (9). The Royal Swedish Academy’s Health Committee’s state-of-science conference in 2010 reported that there seems to be an increase in depressive and anxiety symptoms in children and adolescents over the last decades. However, they concluded that the knowledge base is thin, with too few studies with insufficient quality, and therefore more research is needed for conclusive results (10, 11).
There seems to be a congruence between self-rated internalized symptoms in particular and clinical diagnoses of anxiety syndromes and major depressive disorder (MDD) (12, 13). At present, MDD accounts for a major part of the global disease burden in adolescents and young adults (14). The global projections of the WHO predict that unipolar depression will be the leading cause of the global burden of disease by 2030 (15). The consequences of this trend could be severe since adolescent depression increases the risk of suicide and is associated with considerable present and future morbidity (16). Early-onset MDD increases the risk of recurrent depressive episodes in adulthood and is related to a more severe course of the disease (17-19).

2.2 Measures of mental symptoms in adolescents

In child and adolescent psychiatry, self-report questionnaires are used to assess symptoms like depression and anxiety. The scales are used as proxies for variables that cannot be directly observed, such as the subjective experience of a patient’s inner depressive feelings, cognitions, and symptoms. By summing the rating of each item, a total score can be calculated as an indication of symptom severity. Because no objective biomarkers have been identified that can aid the diagnostic process of psychiatric disorders to date, self-reported measures and clinical interviews constitute the primary diagnostic support for the clinician.

At present, various types of measures are being used to estimate adolescent mental health. For example, depressive symptom severity can be measured by clinical assessment and self-reporting or parent/teacher-report instruments. In general, for internalizing symptoms in adolescents, self-reported measures are considered to give better information than parent or teacher reports (20, 21). According to reports from the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare, an array of different instruments is in use both for screening purposes (22) and for clinical use, such as measurement of the treatment outcome (23). About half of the instruments have not been investigated regarding psychometric properties in the Swedish versions (23, 24). Some instruments are direct translations of adult depression self-rating scales and do not consider the difference of depression symptomatology between adult and adolescent depression. In addition, there are no Swedish instruments for adolescent depression symptom severity rating.
(which are compatible with the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) system), nor any instruments that provide subscales measuring different aspects of MDD (22-24).

2.3 The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information Systems (PROMIS)

The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information Systems (PROMIS) originated as a National Institute of Health (NIH) Roadmap Initiative. The purpose was to address major gaps in biomedical research that “no single NIH institute could tackle alone, but which the agency as a whole [could] address to make the biggest impact possible on the progress of medical research” (25). In the process, a multi-center cooperative group was founded to create:

“...new paradigms for how clinical research information is collected, used, and reported. PROMIS addressed a need in the clinical research community for a rigorously tested patient reported outcome (PRO) measurement tool, that uses recent advances in information technology, psychometrics, and qualitative, cognitive, and health survey research to measure PROs such as pain, fatigue, physical functioning, emotional distress, and social role participation that have a major impact on quality-of-life across a variety of chronic diseases.” (26)

PROMIS has several advantages over traditional questionnaires, such as the utilization of item response theory (IRT) in the validation process. IRT validated measures or, in other words, item banks, are set of items calibrated to the same underlying construct. Item banks can, in turn, be utilized with Computer Adaptive Testing (CAT), the advantages of which will be discussed in the next section. PROMIS has been shown to have higher reliability, validity, and better sensitivity to change than most legacy health measures (27-31), and responsiveness has also been shown to be better than traditional questionnaires (30, 32, 33). PROMIS has now been used in several studies in children, adolescents, and adults with varying mental and physical conditions (34-38). Several PROMIS item banks have been translated and used in various countries such as Brazil, the Netherlands, and China during recent years (39-44).
2.3.1 Translation of PROMIS item banks

Various methods are available for translation and cultural adaption of items, such as the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) (45) and the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT) (46) methodologies. Both have a multistep approach, e.g., including translation, back translation, reconciliation, cognitive debriefing. However, a review evaluating translation methods recommended a multistep process and noted that different methods can be used while still obtaining similar results (47). Therefore, the research team conducting the translation is granted the freedom to choose methodology according to logistics and preferences. Differential item functioning (DIF) tests whether an item is perceived differently, e.g., due to potential group prerequisites, such as language, gender, socioeconomic situation. DIF tests between languages are a possible method for further evaluating the translations of the items between languages (45).

2.4 Overview of methods for validating self-report measures

2.4.1 Classic Test Theory (CTT)

Classic Test Theory (CTT) is sometimes called ‘true score theory.’ It is based on the understanding that the sum of the score of any given questionnaire has two components (48, 49).

\[ \text{Observed score} = \text{True score} + \text{Error} \]

For any given response, the observed score will reflect the ‘true’ score but also (inevitably) include an error score. Whether the errors for each question are negative or positive is assumed to be random and independent from each other. Therefore, their mean is assumed to be zero, and when all errors are combined, they should cancel each other out (48). However, many circumstances can affect the error, such as the setting in which the test is taken. For example, a noisy setting or place with lots of disturbances might generate a different outcome than
a quiet place without disturbances. Also, the state of the respondent might influence the results if the respondent is troubled or has not slept well, or if they, on the contrary, are feeling focused and motivated. These circumstances are assumed to be the same for all respondents and should cancel each other out in theory (48).

Standard error of measurement describes the expected fluctuation of a test due to error. Statistically, if two-test takers have a different score, but the confidence interval of the standard error overlaps, the difference between the respondents is not considered significant (at a predefined significant level such as 0.05). In CTT, one standard error of measurement is obtained for the whole scale. The validation is sample-dependent, i.e., the parameter estimates of the scale will depend on the sample (57).

When testing the psychometric properties of a psychiatric self-report questionnaire, conclusions are drawn about the test’s reliability and validity. Reliability refers to whether a measure is stable and repeatable for different persons, occasions, conditions, and time points. Here, we will focus on two different types of reliability measures, namely test-retest and internal consistency. Test-retest is measured by the correlation between the two different measurement points (50). In contrast, internal consistency measures the average intercorrelations among the single items often tested using Cronbach’s alpha (51, 52) and is commonly used for establishing reliability in CTT.

Validity can be measured and conceptualized in a few different ways. In this thesis, the term ‘concurrent validity’ will be used to refer to the potential overlap between a new measure and a well-established, previously validated measure. ‘Convergent validity’ will be used to refer to how well a total score correlates with a measure of associated constructs. ‘Discriminant validity’ will be used to measure how well any specific instrument differentiates the construct it measures from other constructs (53, 54). Each of these validity measures will be tested by correlations with measures of the same (concurrent), similar (convergent), or different (discriminant) constructs.
2.4.1.1 Disadvantages of CTT

CTT-validated scales only yield one standard error of measurement (SEM or standard error SE), that is, one SE for the full scale (55). The SE will be regardless of the respondents’ latent ability estimate, regardless of the respondents’ scored low, medium, or high on the scale, unlike scales validated with item response theory (IRT) (56, 57). IRT validated scales obtain an SE at a point estimate of the latent ability. Hence, the standard error of measurement will depend on the estimate of the respondents’ latent ability (57). Therefore, CTT validated scales are less accurate than scales validated with IRT.

CTT-validated scales enumerate all item scores in the measure, with the same weight for all items, into a total score. Summated scores can be problematic because a symptom (e.g., crying) may not be as critical relative to others (e.g., suicidal thoughts) in measuring a specific trait (e.g., depression) but yet will hold the same level of importance. Ideally, core symptoms of the underlying trait, e.g., depression, such as suicidal thoughts, should be influencing the overall score more than symptoms less critical such as crying. The weighting of the items is not possible with CTT-scales; all items will have equal weight on the total score. Furthermore, for CTT validated scales, the more items in the scale, the higher reliability (48, 57). Many items in the scale add a risk of having items that are more of a noise than actual underlying core traits (of the measured construct) and that the sum of these incorrectly point to a diagnosis such as depression.

CTT measures are sample dependent, which means that, in theory, a new validation should take place for every new sample. The results of any given validation cannot be generalized to a new sample (56, 58, 59). Therefore, it is troublesome if the scale is used to draw conclusions on patient diagnosis or severity of a disease if the patient does not adhere to the sample the measure is validated in, such as the use of scales in Sweden not validated in a Swedish context.

A disadvantage of CTT is that there is no robust way of evaluating group differences at an individual item level. In other words, we cannot assess whether scale items are measurement invariant. Therefore, systematic bias between
groups may exist, but they will not be identified, which may have significant implications in psychological research.

Another disadvantage with CTT is its inability to control for systematic errors (56) such as factors within the person, e.g., fatigue or inattention. This, in turn, has implications for the interpretation of raw scores. If the error term is not randomized, it will affect the observed score without the ability to know how much influence the error term has on the observed score.

Furthermore, the underlying assumption in classical test theory that the true score is normally distributed is also problematic since most psychological measures are not (56). This can lead to biased parameter estimates.

Whether Cronbach’s alpha can be used with ordinal data is debated among researchers (60, 61). Cronbach’s alpha assumes tau-equivalence, which is the idea that the same factor loading applies for all test items in a scale in a factorial model. This assumption is rarely met for most scales used in a psychiatric context. Cronbach’s alpha also assumes that variables are continuous rather than ordinal (most variables in psychological measures are ordinal) and that there is no error variance. Finally, Cronbach’s alpha assumes that the measure is only measuring one dimension. Therefore, for scales with several dimensions with a total score that sums the dimensions, such as the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (62), Cronbach’s is not appropriate to measure reliability for the total scale.

Another measure called ordinal alpha is conceptually similar to Cronbach’s alpha but does not demand tau-equivalence. However, it also assumes that there is no variance between the error factors (63). Ordinal alpha has been proposed as a better measure than Cronbach’s alpha for ordinal data; however, at least one researcher has pointed out that ordinal alpha is more of a theoretical measure and should be interpreted only as such (64). There are other types of theoretical (but rarely used) alternatives, such as Coefficient H (65) and Greatest Lower Bound (60). However, it is hard to find a reliability measure in which the assumptions are not violated by common factors of psychological measures, e.g., ordinal variables, skewed data, or tau-inequivalence.
2.4.2 Item Response Theory

Item response theory (IRT) is a conceptually different method than CTT. IRT uses a more probabilistic approach as opposed to CTT, which uses a more correlational approach. IRT rests on the assumption that a scale measures a latent construct and that the scale captures the respondent’s ability on a continuum from low to high on that construct. In other words, it is an estimate of a person’s underlying ability on that latent construct in question, and the probability of the endorsement of any single item rests upon that underlying ability. Consequently, the items on the scale capture a specific ability level of that construct. Items can also have different levels of difficulty, and answers about items are therefore differentially informative. E.g., different levels of information about shoulder function are obtained by the items “Can you touch your earlobe?” and “Can you raise your arm above shoulder level?” The respondent’s answers to each item allow conclusions to be drawn about the respondent’s ability. The IRT model will provide an information function that gives knowledge about the items’ specific ability/difficulty level range. Therefore, it is possible to conclude the respondents’ underlying abilities of the measured construct by how they answer the items. The item difficulty and a persons’ latent ability are estimated on the same continuum.

The following assumptions are tested before applying an IRT model: unidimensionality, local independence, and monotonicity. Unidimensionality describes whether the scale measures a single construct and can, for example, be shown in a factor analytic framework (through exploratory factor analysis or confirmatory factor analysis) by all items loading highly on the same latent construct and with fit indices with-in predefined boundaries (31). Local independence assumes no significant association between item responses after controlling for the dominant factor. Thus, the dominant factor is the only thing that influences a person’s response to an item. Items that risk not having local independence are, for example, items that are too similar, like “I feel lonely” and “I feel alone.” Monotonicity is the probability of selecting an item response that corresponds with the trait levels that are being assessed. For example, monotonicity assumes that a person with a high level of depression will select an item response that will adequately reflect that level of depression.
After unidimensionality, local independence, and monotonicity has been established, an item response model can be applied. There are several different item response models; in this thesis, the graded response model (GRM) will be used. The GRM model is suitable for polytomous response data with ordered categories and is recommended for PROMIS item banks (31). In a graded response model, threshold values are estimated, e.g., on which ability level respondents will change from scoring ‘never’ to ‘almost never’ ‘almost never’ to ‘sometime,’ ‘sometimes’ to ‘often,’ and so on. Furthermore, thetas (θ) are obtained. Thetas are the underlying ability of the respondent on the constructs measured. Figure 1 shows an example of a graph of the changing probabilities, using theta (θ) as the measure of the underlying capability of the trait measured. The y-axis is the probability of a given theta P(θ), and the x-axis is the theta (θ) level. In the example shown, namely answers to PROD7 (the PROMIS depressive symptoms item bank, item 7), the threshold values of changes from one answer to the next (i.e., where the lines cross in the graph) are at 0.10, 0.67, 1.37, and 1.81 (62) on the x-axis.

Figure 1. Trace lines for the pediatric PROMIS depressive symptoms item bank item 7. Blue line = never, pink line = almost never, green line = sometimes, red line = often, yellow line = almost always.
For every item calibrated with IRT, a standard error is obtained, and the individual standard error can be summed together, giving the whole item bank standard error. The standard error is inversely related to test information (I), as given by the equation (57):

$$SE(\theta) = 1/\sqrt{I(\theta)}$$

SE (θ) = standard error given theta

I(θ) = Information given theta

θ = theta (i.e., the underlying ability of respondent of the construct measured)

The plotting of the thetas and standard errors can give a graphical overview of which ability levels an item measures and its reliability. Reliability in the IRT framework can be assessed by the equation below (57):

$$r = 1 - SE^2$$

r = reliability

SE = standard error

For example, a standard error of 0.30 equals a reliability of 0.91 (that is, $1 - 0.30^2 = 0.91$) (57).
Figure 2 presents the test standard errors, and figure 3 shows the test information. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, the standard error is lowest (in conjunction with the highest information and, in turn, highest reliability), ranging between 0 to 2 on theta levels. Thetas between 0 to 2 indicate higher levels of reliability and progressively changes as it spreads to both ends of the ability spectrum (which indicates lower levels of reliability).

Figure 2. Test information and reliability for the PROMIS depressive symptoms item 7. $I(\theta) = \text{Information given theta}; \theta = \text{theta/ability level of the item.}$
Figure 3. The standard error for the PROMIS depressive item 7. $SE(\theta)$ = standard error of the item given theta/ability; $\theta$ = theta/ability level of the item.
Figure 4 presents the test standard error, and figure 5 shows the test information for the full item bank. The figures can be interpreted similarly, as Figures 2 and 3. The standard error is lowest (in conjunction with the highest information and, in turn, highest reliability), ranging between approximately -3.5 to 4 on theta levels for the full item bank. Thetas between -3.5 to 4 indicate higher levels of reliability and progressively changes as it spreads to both ends of the ability spectrum (which indicates lower levels of reliability).

Figure 4. The standard error for the total PROMIS depressive symptoms item bank. SE (θ) = standard error of the item given theta/ability; θ = theta/ability level of the item.
2.4.2.1 Computer adaptive testing (CAT)

Computer adaptive testing (CAT) is a modern technological approach to present questionnaire items. With CAT, the items administered uniquely adapt to each respondent based on the attempts of current questions in the same test session. In other words, this method iteratively selects the following item and generally reduces the number of items needed to be presented and, consequently, the respondent’s burden in having to answer all items in the bank while maintaining high levels of accuracy in estimating the respondents’ latent ability.

The item parameters of the item bank used in a CAT are derived from an IRT model. An algorithm with a predefined stopping criterion will constrain the number of items being administered—a maximum of 12 items, or a predefined standard error of 0.3 are examples of stopping rules. The starting item is generally one with a medium difficulty level relative to the targeted population in order to acquire a first general idea of the respondents’ level of the underlying construct.
The next item will vary depending on how the respondents answer the preceding/previous item, i.e., low, medium, or high difficulty. Therefore, the preceding items will be centered around the estimate of the respondents’ underlying ability to get the best possible measurement preciseness. No more items are administered when the stopping rule criteria have been met. This thesis uses the Maximum Fisher Information (MFI) for the next item selection in the CAT (66, 67). This is one of the most popular selection methods that select the next item by maximizing the test information function.

CAT is a way to have a respondent answer fewer items but still maintain a low measurement error (although the lowest measurement error will almost always be achieved by answering the total item bank) (68). CAT can be used for screening purposes at Child- and Adolescent Psychiatry (CAP) clinics. Several item banks can be administered while still keeping the total amount of items low. Most scales currently in use in CAP have a large number of items, for example, Becks Depression questionnaires and Becks Anger questionnaire with 20 items each (the more items in the scale, the higher the reliability, when validated with CTT). In contrast, using a CAT item bank may produce a valid result after only 4 or 5 items. Thus, it is possible to administer several different item banks (e.g., fatigue, physical function, anxiety, depressive symptoms, or anger) without administering more than 20 items. However, while CAT may be efficient in item administration, the SE calculation changes depending on the position of the person’s latent ability. Consequently, respondents on the ends (lower or higher) of the ability spectrum may be given more items. Furthermore, CAT can only be used on a computer and not via paper and pencil. Thus, this could be one of the reasons that may have hindered clinics from adopting the modern approach to assessing people’s psychological state.
3. Aims

3.1 Overall aims

The overall aim of this thesis is to address two major research gaps: first, the lack of population-based repeated-measures studies of mental health in adolescents; second, the lack of age-adapted, reliable, validated, and internationally comparable self-report scales to assess mental health in adolescents.

3.2 Specific aims

The specific aims of the individual studies in this thesis were:

Study I: to measure possible changes of self-reported mental health symptoms in two samples of grade 9 students (about 15 years old), one group in 1981 and the second in 2014, in the same geographical area of Northern Sweden, regarding internalized symptoms and conduct problems.

Study II: to test the psychometric properties of the Swedish version of Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale second edition (RADS-2) in a school sample.

Study III: to translate and culturally adapt eight pediatric PROMIS item banks for Swedish use.

Study IV: to validate the Swedish PROMIS pediatric item banks of anxiety and depressive symptoms in a school and patient sample.
4. Methodological considerations

4.1 Description of the samples

4.1.1 The Luleå sample in Study I

4.1.1.1 Participants

Two samples were obtained, the first in 1981 (n = 1083, 46.7% girls, response rate 99.7%) and the second in 2014 (n = 682, 49.6% girls, response rate 98.3%). The selection procedure was the same and included all pupils in their last year of compulsory school (most of them 16 years old) in the Swedish municipality of Luleå.

4.1.1.2 Study design and settings

This study was cross-sectional and compared two separate but geographically identical groups of 9th-grade students from 1981 and 2014, respectively. It was performed in a middle-sized industrial municipality, Luleå, in Northern Sweden. The municipality was representative for Sweden concerning sociodemographic factors and health status among young people (14).

4.1.2 The UPOP samples in Studies II, III, and IV

4.1.2.1 Participants, school sample Studies II and IV

Participants were recruited from four junior and high schools from different socioeconomic areas in northern Sweden. This convenience sample included students from different school programs such as natural science, social science, media, and the arts. Permission was granted from the principals at the schools, and the class teacher gave the students information about the study. Additional information was provided by research assistants. Eight hundred ninety-seven students were asked to participate in the study, and 637 (71%) of them agreed. The mean age was 15.73 (SD = 1.76).
Seventy percent of the participants lived with both parents, and eighty-eight percent of the participants were born in Sweden. A Swedish socioeconomic classification system was used (69, 70) to estimate the socioeconomic status of the participants’ households. The distribution of the socioeconomic classification of the participants’ parents was as follows: 17.2% workers, 28.4% assistant and intermediate non-manual workers, 32.1% professionals, civil servants, and executives, 7.1% self-employed of various kinds, and 15.2% unknown.

4.1.2.2 Participants, cognitive debriefing in Study III

Cognitive debriefing interviews were carried out in a sample of eleven healthy children/adolescents between 8 and 17 years old (9 girls and 2 boys, mean age 14 years, median age 14 years). The cognitive debriefing sample was recruited within the social networks of the researchers, with the inclusion criteria being in the suitable age range and fluency in spoken and written Swedish. The only exclusion criteria were any psychiatric diagnoses.

4.1.2.3 Participants, clinical sample in Study IV

Participants in the clinical sample for study 4 were recruited from child and adolescent psychiatry (CAP) clinics in Northern Sweden. The patient sample was recruited through fliers at the CAP clinics and staff working at the CAP clinics.

4.1.3 Other general factors

In the samples recruited from schools and clinics, absolute inclusion criteria were age between 12 and 20. Exclusion criteria were non-fluency in written Swedish and inability to complete online or paper forms (e.g., severe dyslexia).
Due to law restrictions and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) implemented during data collection, the online forum used for the students had to be replaced, and paper forms were used for the patient sample before a proper GDPR platform could be established.

4.2 Study I

4.2.1 Measurements

In this study, pre-constructed composite measures of mental health symptoms were used (66). The composite measures were constructed from single-item questions and inspired by the Youth Self-Report scale, subscales from the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, and the DSM system’s diagnostic symptom criteria for anxiety and depression disorders. The following composite measures were developed for internalizing problems: depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, and functional somatic symptoms (FSS). For externalized behavior, items were dichotomized into 'occurrence' and 'non-occurrence and then summarized into a measure of conduct problems. The validity of these composite measures has been tested and found to be acceptable (71).

4.2.2 Data analyses

Data were analyzed with descriptive statistics, and the Mann–Whitney U test was used to assess potential differences between demographic data. We used a general linear model (GLM) for parameter estimates and a two-way ANOVA to compare groups. In the analyses, each subscale (symptoms of anxiety, symptoms of depression, FSS, and conduct problems) served as the dependent variable, and gender (girls, boys), year (1981 and 2014), and parental socio-demographics served as the independent variables. The GLM was done in two applications: Model 1 analyzed the crude model, which included gender, year, and the interaction between gender and year, and Model 2 controlled for parents' sociodemographic factors (i.e., occupational classification, country of origin, (un)employment and living arrangements). Missing values were excluded from analyses. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 24.
4.3 Study II

4.3.1 Instruments used for validation

Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale second edition (RADS-2) (54) consists of 30 brief self-statements on a 4-point scale ranging from 'Almost never' to 'Most of the time.' The items are divided into four subscales/dimensions (dysphoric mood, anhedonia/negative affect, negative self-evaluation, and somatic complaints). The anhedonia/negative affect items are formulated with positive questions such as "I feel happy" and thus are reverse coded. Higher scores indicate higher symptom severity, and the scale has a theoretical raw score between 30 and 120 (54).

The subscales of Depression (BYI-D) and Anger (BYI-A) in the Beck Youth Inventories of Emotional and Social Impairment (72) each consist of 20 brief self-statement-questions on a 4-point scale ranging from 'Never' to 'Always,' each with a theoretical raw score between 0 and 60 (72). Higher scores indicate higher symptom severity. The BYI-D scale is extensively used in Swedish Child and Adolescent Depression clinics (24) and recommended by the Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services (73) to use when screening for MDD among adolescents. Cronbach’s alpha in the current sample was 0.93 (95% CI [0.93, 0.45]) for BYI-D and 0.93 (95% CI [0.92, 0.94]) for BYI-A.

The World Health Organization Wellness Index (WHO-5) consists of 5 salutogenic self-statements. For instance, the first question 1 is worded, "Over the past two weeks I have felt cheerful and in good spirits." The scale uses a 6-point scale option ranging from 'All of the time' to 'At no time.' The theoretical raw score is between 0 and 25. Higher scores indicate well-being, and a total score below 13 indicates poor well-being. The scale has adequate validity both as a screening tool for depression and as an outcome measure in clinical trials and has been applied successfully across a wide range of study fields (74). It has also been validated in depressed adolescents in Sweden (75). In the current sample, Cronbach’s alpha of this index was 0.87 (95% CI [0.86, 0.8]).
The Patient-Reported Outcome Measurements Information System (PROMIS) consists of item banks for various health, and lifestyle dimensions developed to advance the science and application of patient-reported outcomes. Items are worded in the past tense, for example, starting "In the last 7 days, ..." and continuing with a statement. For example, PROMIS Anxiety consists of 15 statements (e.g. "... I felt like something awful might happen"), and PROMIS Friend consists of 10 statements (e.g. "... I was able to count on my friends"). The respondent answers on a 5-point scale, with possible answers ranging from 'never' to 'almost always' (76). Theoretical score ranges are between 0 and 60 for PROMIS Anxiety and 0 and 40 for PROMIS Friend; higher scores indicate higher anxiety levels and better peer relationships. Cronbach’s alpha in the current sample was 0.92 (95% CI [0.91, 0.93]) for PROMIS Anxiety and 0.92 (95% CI [0.91, 0.93]) for PROMIS Friend.

### 4.3.2 Data analyses

Data were first analyzed with descriptive statistics, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to test mean differences between groups. We performed a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) with a four-factor correlated model to test the model proposed by Reynolds and previously confirmed in other versions of the scale (77). We used chi-square ($\chi^2$), the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the root mean square error approximation (RMSEA) to test the goodness-of-fit of the model. Good fit for a given model was determined by RMSEA less than 0.06, and at least less than 0.08, TLI and CFI > 0.95 for an excellent fit and > 0.90 for acceptable fit (78-80). Since RADS-2 has ordinal scale variables with only 4-point scale options, the robust diagonal weighted least square (DWLSSS) estimator was used (81, 82).

When evaluating a self-report questionnaire, it is also essential to know whether different groups perceive the measure the same way. Otherwise, it would be possible for mean differences to occur only because the scale is perceived differently by those different groups. Using measurement invariance (MI) as a part of the factor analytic framework can test this assumption. In order to test MI, the first step is to establish a configural model for the different groups and then constrain the factor loadings, thresholds, and residuals step-by-step to find evidence for metric, scalar, and strict MI. The model fit for the metric model is compared to the model fit for the configural model, the model fit for the scalar
model is compared to the model fit for the metric model, and so on. Since the observed means equal the intercept/thresholds of the variables added to the factor loadings multiplied by the factor score, it is, in theory, possible for the intercept/thresholds to be unequal, which would result in elevated or attenuated observed means for different groups. That, in turn, would give a biased observed mean (83). When MI holds, it allows for the interpretation that the observed mean differences among groups are due to actual differences.

We tested for MI according to Svetina and Rutkowski’s (84) method to establish that the scale measures are the same for both the older and younger age groups and sexes. Since chi-square is sensitive to sample size, ΔCFI and ΔRSMEA were evaluated, and also the Satorra-Bentler test was performed. If scalar measurement invariance holds, it is possible to evaluate latent mean differences. In order to establish measurement invariance, the following goodness of fit indices was used: ΔRSMEA ≤ 0.05 and significant Δχ² and CFI ≥ -0.004 for metric invariance, ΔRSMEA ≤ 0.01 and significant Δχ² and CFI ≥ -0.002 (84-86), as well as a negative Satorra-Bentler test indicating that the null hypothesis fails and that there is no difference between the models.

Reliability was tested with Cronbach’s alpha (see Introduction for discussion of this measurement). The comparative analyses of different reliability measures showed the risk of underestimating Cronbach’s alpha when assumptions are violated (60). Cronbach’s alpha ≥ 0.7 was classified as ‘acceptable,’ ≥ 0.8 as ‘good,’ and ≥ 0.9 as ‘excellent’ (87).

Concurrent validity is shown if a measure of the same construct, in this case, depression, has a high correlation with the scales currently validated, and correlation estimates between RADS-2 and BYI-D tested this type of validity. Convergent validity is considered to be established when various measures of similar constructs are correlated, and this type of validity was tested with correlation estimates between RADS-2 and PROMIS anxiety, the BYI-A subscale, and WHO-5. Finally, discriminant validity tests whether a measure of a different construct discriminates to the validated scale. In this study, discriminant validity was considered to be established if the correlation between RADS-2 and PROMIS friend was moderate. Concurrent, discriminant, and convergent validity were examined with Pearson’s r. Values of 0.1–0.29 were considered to be a slight
correlation, 0.3–0.49 medium correlation, and 0.50 and above were strong correlations (88).

4.4 Study III

4.4.1 Steps used to translate the PROMIS item banks into Swedish

In order to translate the eight PROMIS pediatric item banks into Swedish, authorization was obtained from PROMIS Health Organization (PHO). The translation process followed the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT) translation methodology (46) with some modifications (specifically the use of a review group). All item banks used unipolar verbal response scales with five response alternatives: never, almost never, sometimes, often, almost always. The PHO offers PROMIS pediatric item definition lists (PROMIS organization, 2018) aimed to help with the translation of the items. The following item banks had item definition lists: anger, anxiety, depressive symptoms, fatigue, pain interference, and peer relationships.

Semantic/linguistic, content, and conceptual adaptation was performed according to the method proposed by Vet et al. (89). Two independent forward translations were completed, and a third researcher and the original translators reconciled any differences between the two translations. This translation was then submitted to a multi-professional bi-lingual review group. The next step was to submit the questions to review groups, which is a modification of the FACIT method. The review groups provided an opportunity to get in-depth assessments from various professionals at the same time. The review group consisted of 21 persons with professions like questionnaire design experts; researchers experienced using patient-reported measures in healthcare; linguists; and pediatric healthcare professionals. The group review was conducted in a two-day session, after which back-translation was carried out. The bilingual translation team then did a final review. A PROMIS organization member (JC) reviewed each back-translated item to assess the equivalence of the source and target translation. A final report was written documenting the development of each translation. After that, cognitive debriefing interviews were carried out for all eight item banks. Four researchers were trained in conducting cognitive debriefing interviews, and a Swedish language cognitive interview manual was written and used for guidance. The interviews were performed with eleven
children aged between 8 and 17 years (9 girls and 2 boys, mean and median age 14 years). All children were fluent in Swedish. Think-aloud methodology and subsequent respondent debriefing were used (90). As a final step, the penultimate version of the questionnaire was completed by a small sample of the target population. The respondents answered the full battery of questions and were then asked how they perceived the questions and the web survey version used for the school sample in Studies II and IV.

4.4.2 Data analyses

Both inductive and deductive methodologies (content analysis(91)) were used to analyze the data qualitatively and to simultaneously quantify the data. The number of items that belonged to each subtheme was counted. It was noted when in the translation process the potential issues were discovered and how many times.

4.5 Study IV

4.5.1 Measures

PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0 – Anxiety consists of 15 questions, and the PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0 – Depressive Symptoms consists of 14 questions. They are both based on a 5-point response option scale ranging from ‘never’ to ‘almost always’ and use a seven-day recall period (76). The inferred severity of the condition of the respondents is given in theta, and PROMIS transforms thetas to T-scores through the formula (theta * 10) + 50 = T-score. Higher values indicate higher levels of the underlying construct (i.e., higher levels of anxiety or depressive symptoms).
4.5.2 Data analyses

We examined unidimensionality with Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin factor adequacy (KMO), parallel analysis, exploratory factor analysis (EFA), and single-factor confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). In order to avoid performing the EFA and CFA on the same sample (92), the sample was randomly split in half. The parallel analysis and EFA were conducted based on the polychoric correlations matrix using the weighted least square (WLS) estimation method. The KMO, parallel analysis, and EFA was performed using the R package Psych (93). The CFA was performed based on the polychoric correlations matrix with the robust diagonal least square (DWLSSS) estimation method using the R package lavaan (94). A KMO value greater than 0.9 is characterized as 'marvelous,' greater than 0.7 as 'middling,' and less than 0.5 as unacceptable (95). In the EFA, unidimensionality was assumed when the first factor accounted for at least 20% of the variability and the ratio of the variance explained by the first to the second factor was greater than four (94). In the single-factor CFA, the following fit indices were used: CFI > 0.95, TLI > 0.95, RMSEA < 0.06 and SRMR < 0.08 (78).

Secondly, we evaluated local independence. Local independence is verified when there is no significant association between item responses after the dominant factor has been controlled for. We evaluated local independence with Yen’s Q3 statistics Samejima’s graded response model (GRM) for polychotomous items using the mirt package in R (96). Yen’s Q3 < 0.02 was used to flag for LD (97).

Thirdly, monotonicity was assessed. Monotonicity is the probability of a respondent (correctly) selecting a higher response category in tandem with having a higher level of the underlying trait. Monotonicity was tested with nonparametric item response theory using the Mokken package in R (98). Monotonicity was evaluated with the scalability coefficient H > 0.30 for items and > 0.50 for the item banks (98).

After IRT model assumptions were evaluated and items that lacked local independence were deleted, the item banks were again fitted within the item response theory framework using Samejima’s graded response model (GRM) for polychotomous items using the mirt package in R (96). GRM yields the slopes and the threshold values of the items. The item slopes refer to the discriminative
ability of the item, where a higher value indicates a better discriminative ability. The item thresholds refer to the item difficulty, and for a 5-point option scale, four thresholds are located along with the measured trait. Fits for the items were evaluated with Orlando and Thissen’s S-X² statistics, where a non-significant value is an indication of adequate fit (p > 0.001) (99).

In order to ensure equivalent measurement between groups, measurement invariance was evaluated with differential item functioning (DIF). There are two types of DIF, namely uniform and non-uniform. Uniform DIF is when the magnitude of the difference between the groups is the same throughout the whole continuum of the trait, and non-uniform DIF is when the magnitude of the difference between the groups varies at various levels of the trait. DIF was evaluated for sex (girls vs. boys) and age groups (12–15 years vs. 16–20 years) with ordinal logistic regression using the Lordif package in R (100) using a McFadden’s pseudo-R² change of 2% as a critical value to flag for DIF.

Reliability was evaluated with information that is inversely related to the standard error of the estimated construct or theta level. Information (I) and subsequently the standard error (SE) differed across the continuum of theta. Theta was estimated based on the GRM model and ranged from approximately -4 to 4. A SE of 0.548 corresponded to the reliability of 0.70, and a SE of 0.316 corresponded to the reliability of 0.90.

### 4.5.2.1 Computer adaptive testing (CAT)

The standard PROMIS stopping rule is a standard error of 0.3, and for pediatric PROMIS item banks, 0.4. Both standard error values were tested to find the best balance between a low standard error of measurement and the number of items administered. The starting item was the item with the highest information value for the average level of participants in the population (theta=0) according to PROMIS practice. The catR package in R was used for the simulations (95). The stopping rule of a maximum of 12 items was not used because it is not possible to condition on both standard error and the maximum number of items in catR. The maximum Fisher information criterion was used for item selection, and to estimate expected thetas, a posteriori estimation (EAP) was used.
In order to avoid performing GRM and CAT on the same sample, we randomly split the sample into two. The first sample (the ‘evaluation sample’) was used for the GRM and gave the calibration item parameters to use in the CAT. The second sample (the ‘validation sample’) was used for the response matrix in the CAT simulation.

4.6 Ethical considerations

For study I, all data had been collected before the start of the thesis. The Research Ethics Committees at Uppsala University and the Regional Ethical Review Board in Umeå approved the study. The questionnaire questions may have been perceived as intrusive, but the risk and possible negative consequences for the participants in this project were considered low. The researchers had no access to personal data.

The Regional Ethical Review Board at Umeå University approved studies II-IV and studies II and IV, was also approved by the principals of each school. All participants received written and oral information about the study and gave written consent prior to participating. School participants < 15 years old also provided written parental consent. All participants were given a unique code that was only saved in a USB stick, separated from their names, and stored in a locked archive only accessible by the Principal Investigator. The participants were reimbursed after the first and second tests with a gift ticket. No adverse events were expected except that perhaps completing the assessments might have been perceived as tiring, tedious, or frustrating and that some of the questions may have made the adolescents feel uncomfortable. Participants were free to decline to answer any questions, leave the questionnaire incomplete, and ask the research assistant for clarifications if needed. We think that the benefits of conducting the study outweigh any potential risks for the participants.
5. Summary of studies

5.1 Study I
The primary aim of study I was to investigate whether there have been changes in self-reported mental health symptoms among adolescents. We compared two geographically identical groups of 16-year-olds at two time-points, 1981 and 2014, with regard to self-reported internalized and externalized mental health symptoms. In 1981, the sample comprised 1083 respondents (506 girls and 577 boys), and in 2014, the sample comprised 682 respondents (338 girls and 344 boys). The response rate was 99.7% in 1981 and 98.3% in 2014. In 1981, the number of parents born outside the Nordic countries and the number of respondents with divorced parents was significantly lower than in 2014, whereas the number of parents with blue-collar jobs was significantly higher in 1981 than in 2014 (Table 1).
Table 1. Demographic data describing the two samples, 1981 and 2014, with sample size (n), percentage (%), and z-value for comparison between the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1981 n (%)</th>
<th>2014 n (%)</th>
<th>z-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental origin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both Nordics</td>
<td>989 (98.3)</td>
<td>594 (87.0)</td>
<td>-9.51**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One parent Nordic</td>
<td>16 (1.6)</td>
<td>44 (6.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Nordic</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>45 (6.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental occupational</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-8.84**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue collar</td>
<td>494 (49.1)</td>
<td>206 (30.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and blue collar</td>
<td>331 (32.9)</td>
<td>250 (36.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White collar</td>
<td>181 (18.0)</td>
<td>231 (33.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Living arrangements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With mother and father</td>
<td>782 (78.0)</td>
<td>459 (67.0)</td>
<td>-5.01**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single parent or other</td>
<td>221 (22.0)</td>
<td>226 (33.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parental unemployment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>193 (19.3)</td>
<td>142 (21.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>809 (80.7)</td>
<td>532 (78.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Mann–Whitney U test for comparison between groups. Z-values were significant at *p < 0.001. **p < 0.001.*
Regarding internalized symptoms, we found that anxiety, depressive, and functional somatic symptoms (FSS) were significantly higher in 2014 than in 1981 for both girls and boys (Table 2), and at both time points, girls scored significantly higher on questions asking about symptoms than boys. The interaction effect for sex was significant, indicating that the slope of the increase was different in girls than in boys. In 2014, the difference between girls and boys was greater than in 1981 (Figure 6). Regarding externalized symptoms, we found that conduct problems were significantly lower in 2014 than in 1981 for both girls and boys, and the differences seen between girls and boys in 1981 were no longer seen in 2014.
Table 2. Mean and standard deviations for depressive and anxiety symptoms, functional somatic symptoms, and conduct problems sorted by gender and year. Between-group differences (girls/boys and 1981/2014) are shown separately for each symptom category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1981</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depressive symptoms</strong></td>
<td>0.48 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anxiety symptoms</strong></td>
<td>0.12 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FSS</strong></td>
<td>0.33 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conduct problems</strong></td>
<td>2.03 (1.47)</td>
<td>2.51 (1.46)</td>
<td>1.52 (1.31)</td>
<td>1.21 (1.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Depressive and anxiety symptoms and FSS 0–2p. Conduct problems 0–5p. Between values calculated with two-way ANOVA. a denotes significant differences between gender. b denotes significant differences between year.
Figure 6. Estimated marginal means adjusted for parents’ occupational classifications, parents’ country of origin, parents’ employment status, and adolescent’s living arrangements for 1981 and 2014 in girls and boys for depressive and anxiety symptoms, functional somatic symptoms (FSS), and conduct problems. The interaction effect between gender and year was significant in all cases (p < 0.001).
5.2 Study II

This study aimed to test the psychometric properties of the Swedish version of the RADS-2 in a normative sample. RADS-2 is an internationally established multi-dimensional measure of adolescent depression that is compatible with the DSM and ICD systems and has not yet been translated and used in Sweden.

Reliability was tested with Cronbach’s alpha, which ranged from acceptable (0.77, anhedonia/negative affect subscale) to excellent (0.93, RADS-2 total scale) for the subscales and total scale. Correlations between RADS-2 and BYI-D ranged from 0.56 (anhedonia/negative affect) to 0.88 (RADS-2 total scale), thus indicating concurrent validity. Convergent validity was shown by correlation to PROMIS – Anxiety ranging from 0.43 (anhedonia/negative affect) to 0.70 (RADS-2 total scale), by correlation to BYI-A ranging from 0.46 (anhedonia/negative affect) to 0.73 (RADS-2 total scale), and by correlation to WHO-5 ranging from 0.48 (anhedonia/negative affect) to 0.72 (RADS-2 total scale). Discriminant validity was shown by correlation to PROMIS Friend ranging from -0.38 (somatic complaints) to -0.50 (anhedonia/negative affect). These findings are in line with previous findings that self-assessment measures of depression are strongly associated with scores on related internalizing and psychosocial measures such as anxiety and low self-esteem (101, 102). The model fit for the correlated 4-factor model for the total sample (sensitive to sample size) was significant ($\chi^2 (399) = 1738.61, p < 0.001$), but the other fit indices were found to be acceptable (CFI = 0.945, TLI = 0.940, RMSEA = 0.072 (90% CI [0.069 – 0.076])). Thus, the confirmatory factor analysis supported the 4-factor structure proposed by Reynolds (54) with acceptable fit indices. Measurement invariance was confirmed using the guidelines of Svetina and Rutkowski (84). RADS-2 was found to be invariant across both sex (girls, boys) and age groups (12–15 years, 16–20 years), making it a useful measure for interpreting gender and age differences in the assessment of depression symptoms. Table 3 presents this.
Table 3. Measurement Invariance Goodness of Fit for the 4-Factor Model of Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale second edition (RADS-2) presented by sex and age-group.

| Invariance (sex) | \(\chi^2(df)\) | CFI  | TLI  | RSMEA (90% CI) | \(\Delta \chi\) | \(\Delta df\) | \(\Delta CFI\) | \(\Delta RSMEA\) |
|------------------|----------------|------|------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Configural       | 1865.697** (798) | 0.951| 0.947| 0.065 [0.061 – 0.069] |                  |             |             |                |                |
| Metric           | 1907.590** (828) | 0.950| 0.948| 0.064 [0.060 – 0.068] | 41.893          | 30           | -0.001       | -0.001         |
| Scalar           | 1908.320** (854) | 0.952| 0.951| 0.062 [0.059 – 0.066] | 0.730           | 26           | 0.002        | -0.002         |

| Invariance group | \(\chi^2(df)\) | CFI  | TLI  | RSMEA (90% CI) | \(\Delta \chi\) | \(\Delta df\) | \(\Delta CFI\) | \(\Delta RSMEA\) |
|------------------|----------------|------|------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Configural       | 1984.697** (798) | 0.948| 0.944| 0.068 [0.065 – 0.072] |                  |             |             |                |                |
| Metric           | 2023.640** (828) | 0.948| 0.945| 0.067 [0.064 – 0.071] | 38.943          | 30           | 0            | -0.001         |
| Scalar           | 2012.682** (854) | 0.949| 0.949| 0.065 [0.061 – 0.069] | -10.958         | 26           | 0.001        | 0.002          |

Note. ** \(p < 0.001\). \(\chi^2\) = Chi square; df = degrees of freedom; CFI = Comparative Fit Index; RMSEA = Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; CI = Confidence Interval; TLI = Tucker-Lewis Index; \(\Delta CFI\) = Change in Comparative Fit Index. For sex groups (girls and boys), Satorra-Bentler \(\Delta \chi^2\): Config vs. Metric \(\chi^2\) (30) = 38.425, \(p = 0.139\). Metric vs. Scalar \(\chi^2\) (26) = 35.428, \(p = 0.103\). For age groups: Satorra-Bentler \(\Delta \chi^2\): Config vs. Metric \(\chi^2\) (30) = 26.83, \(p = 0.6322\). Metric vs. Scalar \(\chi^2\) (26) = 22.736, \(p = 0.648\).
5.3 Study III

This study aimed to translate and culturally adapt eight pediatric PROMIS item banks into Swedish. The item banks were anger, anxiety, depressive symptoms, family relationships, fatigue, pain interference, peer relationships, and physical activity, and contained 116, out of which 24 items presented translational problems to be resolved. We categorized the translation problems into three themes: 1. Lack of matching definitions with items across languages (6 items), 2. Problems related to language, vocabulary, and cultural differences (6 items), and 3. Difficulties in adaption to age-appropriate language (12 items). Each theme was then categorized into subthemes. See Table 4 for the themes and subthemes with examples.
Table 4. Themes of translation issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main themes</th>
<th>Subthemes</th>
<th>Example of issues (number of items)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of matching definitions with items across languages</td>
<td>Equivocal items with precise definitions</td>
<td>Anxiety item bank: ‘I was afraid of going to school’, where the item could mean both being in school and traveling to school. The item was translated in accordance to the item definition* and the concept of being in school. (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equivocal items without precise definitions</td>
<td>Physical activity item bank: ‘How many days did you run for 10 minutes or more?’. Whether it refers to 10 minutes of continuous running or 10 minutes in total during the day is not clear. The item was translated to 10 minutes of continuous running. (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems related to language, vocabulary and cultural differences</td>
<td>Adjectival agreement on intensity levels of the concept to be translated</td>
<td>Anxiety item bank: The translation of the word ‘scared’ used in the Anxiety item bank was translated to ‘rädd’. The word ‘rädd’, can be back-translated to either ‘scared’ or ‘afraid’. In Swedish, the grade difference between afraid and scared is more difficult to clearly illustrate using only a single word. (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culturally specific idiomatic phrases</td>
<td>Physical activity item bank: ‘How many days did you exercise or play so hard that your muscles burned?’ ‘Muscles burned’ is an idiomatic phrase in English and this could not be translated directly to Swedish. Instead, this was translated to ‘How many days did you exercise or play so much that you got aching muscles?’. (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultural differences of measurements</td>
<td>Pain interference item bank: ‘It was hard for me to walk one block when I had pain’. The informal American English measurement of ‘one block’ has no Swedish equivalent. The translation must therefore relate to either the exact distance of a block (if such an exact measure exists) or an approximation. The Swedish translation used both: ‘it was difficult for me to walk a short distance (about 100 meters) when I was in pain’. (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties in adaption to age-appropriate language</td>
<td>Comprehensibility of the items changes in the translation process</td>
<td>Anger item bank: I felt upset’ the most precise translation of the item ‘I felt upset’ in the Anger item bank was ‘upprörd’ which was difficult to understand for children of younger age. Hence, this item was instead translated to ‘I felt both angry and sad’. (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance of the items for all age groups</td>
<td>Peer relationships item bank: ‘Other kids wanted to be with me’ was not accepted by teenagers until ‘kids’ was replaced by ‘others my age’. This phrase was then reused in other items for consistency and thus avoided the problem of having to use the word ‘child’. (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 Study IV

Study IV aimed to describe the IRT analysis of the Pediatric PROMIS item banks of anxiety and depressive symptoms in a sample of Swedish adolescents and a Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (CAP) sample. A total of 637 students (mean age 15.73 (SD = 1.76) 61.1 % girls) and 291 patients (mean age 15.64 (SD =1.61) 71.4 % girls) participated in the study.

We found that both item banks showed sufficient unidimensionality and monotonicity. In order to achieve local independence, two anxiety items and three depressive symptoms items were removed. Subsequently, a graded response model was fit to the item banks. Discriminative values gave high precision for all items, and threshold values ranged from -0.47 to 3.28 for the anxiety item banks and -1.24 to 2.00 for the depressive symptoms item banks. No DIF was found for sex, age group, or sample type. Mean thetas for the sample were 50.0 (SD = 9.5) for the anxiety item bank and 50.01 (SD = 9.6) for the depressive symptom item bank. Figure 7 presents the density plot for the theta distribution for the depressive symptoms and anxiety item banks.
Figure 7. T-score distribution for the Swedish pediatric Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) anxiety and depressive symptoms item banks

A CAT simulation showed high reliability (> 0.90) for both item banks between T-scores of approximately 45 to 75. At the lowest end of T-scores, the standard error of measurement was above the threshold of 0.3 (at a T-score of less than 45, the reliability is poor). However, these values are within the "normal" limits of symptoms, which means they are less likely to be useful in a CAP setting. At higher T-scores, the standard error of measurement and number of items are lower, indicating higher precision at higher levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms (Figures 8 and 9).
Figure 8 Reliability of the Swedish pediatric PROMIS anxiety item bank comparing CAT with full item banks on the validation and evaluation samples.
Figure 9 Reliability of the Swedish pediatric PROMIS depressive symptoms item bank comparing CAT with full item banks on the validation and evaluation samples
6. Discussion

6.1 Summary of time trends in the mental health of adolescents

In recent decades, self-reported mental health symptoms in adolescents seem to have increased, and reviews suggest a minor increasing trend in this age group (1, 3, 103). During the last two years, the Covid-19 pandemic and the measures taken to limit the spread of the virus seem to have helped accelerate this increase (104, 105). In recent years in Sweden, it has also been a dramatic increase in the number of children and adolescents seeking CAP care (106).

Our study of grade 9 students in Luleå (which took place before the Covid-19 pandemic) showed the same trend as noted in other studies, with increasing internalized symptoms, especially among girls. Below, we propose a way to contextualize the observed time trends regarding youth mental health in order to theorize about the reasons behind the increase of internalized mental health symptoms.

6.2 Possible societal explanations for the rise of mental health symptoms in the young

6.2.1 Child development according to Urie Bronfenbrenner

Urie Bronfenbrenner (1917-2005), a developmental psychologist, developed the ecological systems theory of child development (107). In this model, he put the individual child/youth in the center and described various levels of systems around that individual. The level closest to the child/youth was called the microsystem. The microsystem contains the immediate relations of the child, the people that the child has direct interactions with, such as family, friends, teachers, and classmates. The second level is the mesosystem, which includes the interactions between agents in the child’s microsystem, for example, between divorced parents or between the child’s teachers and parents. The third level is the exosystem, which includes factors outside the child’s intermediate contact,
such as the parents’ working environments or the neighborhood. The fourth level, the macrosystem, is the overall cultural, political and societal system in which the child lives, for example, Sweden, a country situated in northern Europe with democracy.

Last but not least is the chronosystem, which is the overall impact of events that may affect a child’s trajectory, such as a parent’s death, a pandemic, geopolitical changes, or climate change. All systems have direct or indirect interactions with each other, and therefore affect the development of the child/youth at the center; as Bronfenbrenner stated regarding ecological research, “…the principal main effects are likely to be interactions.” (107, p. 38).

6.2.2 Societal changes in Sweden

It may be perceived as paradoxical that mental illness in adolescents increased in Sweden since Sweden is a country where poverty and unemployment are not as prevalent as in many other countries. Sweden is a relatively well-organized, safe, and democratic welfare state and a society with functioning and accessible schools and healthcare systems. However, have there been changes in the micro, meso-, exo-, macro- and chronosystems during recent years that could explain the observed trends. If we start from the periphery, with a chronosystem analysis focusing on larger societal patterns, we can see changes such as climate change, the unraveling of ecosystems and increased urbanization, and (since March 2020) the Covid-19 pandemic. Several of these changes are driven by a global financial system built on unlimited economic growth on a finite planet and externalized costs of production (108). Lately, it seems that the mental health implications of the pending climate crisis (109) have dawned on us (110).

These changes have coincided with an exponential technological development that has changed our society immensely. Social media networks such as Instagram, Snapchat, and Facebook are new phenomena that reach many adolescents worldwide, perhaps as frequently as daily. The emerging studies in this field have shown associations between the use of these modalities and the increase of internalizing symptoms (111, 112).
The globalized economy and a more neoliberal value system have also brought on changes at the macrosystem level. During the last 30 years in Sweden, there has been a systemic shift from a social-democratic welfare state to a partly regulated neoliberal-based society in which individual capacity for self-regulation, discipline, and control is highly valued (113). Simultaneously, employees have less control and greater pressure to perform (113). These factors have been proposed to lead to increased individual stress and vulnerability, and they possibly correspond to a marked increase of stress-related disorders, which is now considered a significant public health concern, especially among women (114). Additionally, the “me-too movement” has uncovered aspects of a persistent gender order, in which men’s domination is created and maintained, hidden structural and institutionalized sexual violence against women, together affecting women’s mental health negatively (115-117).

On the exosystem level, over the last thirty years, we have seen changes in the labor market, such as increased job insecurity, more temporary employment, and increased work-related stress (118). On the meso- and microsystem levels, the school system has undergone alterations such as decentralization and educational marketization (119, 120). At the same time, Sweden has experienced a decline in school results (121). There are reports of differences in reading ability depending on socioeconomic factors in grade 4 students in Sweden (122). Differences between the educational quality and content concerning socio-demographic, gender, and ethnicity have also been established during this period (123).

It is possible to place several additional factors known to be adding risk for mental health symptoms in both adolescents and adults in the macro-, exo-, meso- and microsystems, for instance, poor sleep (124, 125), dietary habits (126, 127) and obesity (128). Studies indicate time trends worsening sleep habits with a decrease in sleep duration (129, 130), possibly due to the availability and use of media devices such as smartphones at night (131). There are tendencies in Europe and the US with more obesity among adolescents (128, 132). Studies show the correlations between sedentary behavior and depressive symptoms (133) and the interplay between sedentary behavior and unhealthy diet (134). A recent study also shows correlations between outdoor artificial light and mental health among adolescents (135) and correlations with screen time and depressive symptoms (136). There are also inequalities in the housing markets and evidence that areas
with lower socioeconomic status are more exposed to noise and air pollution (137, 138), affecting mental and physical health (139-143). There are also differences depending on socioeconomic factors on eating habits (144, 145).

The levels in the ecological systems that Bronfenbrenner described interact with each other. Therefore, changes in the outer circles will ripple into the epicenter, with a cumulative effect on children and youth. Bronfenbrenner’s theory challenges the belief that mental health problems in the young are purely medical disorders to be treated with medication or psychological problems treated with psychotherapy.

A way of adding even more layers to Bronfenbrenner’s model is to incorporate theories of intersectionality. In order to make visible how factors such as gender, sexual orientation, age, race, and socioeconomic status, may have additional adverse effects on mental health (146-148).

6.3 The relevance of self-report measures in Child- and Adolescent Psychiatry

There are yet no objective measures upon which to base psychiatric diagnoses. On a physiological level, magnetic resonance imaging, heart rate variability, and an array of blood biomarkers have been studied in conjunction with these measures. However, the relationships are not clear cut, and none of these methodologies are used as diagnostic tools in clinical settings (149-152). Therefore, the use of questionnaires is an even more important feature than for many other physical diseases that have objective biomarkers in addition to patient symptoms. For example, rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a disease with objective and subjective markers, such as a blood test showing high inflammatory parameters and a positive RA-factor besides patient symptoms such as fatigue, joint pain, and stiffness (153). In psychiatry, such clear connections between biomarkers and symptoms have yet to be found.
Therefore, self-reported measures are used to draw conclusions on several levels, such as patient, patient-group, and population levels. At the patient level, scales are used as diagnostic support and follow treatment outcomes (73, 154-156). Self-reported measures are also used on a patient-group level, such as the evaluation of clinical trials of medications or psychotherapy (157, 158). Most clinical trials are randomized controlled trials (RCTs). RCTs are considered the highest standard of intervention research, and therefore RCT results will have a high impact on clinical guidelines and treatment recommendations. Two recent studies from the Netherlands have shown the advantages of using IRT fit indices instead of sum scales when evaluating RCT results (159, 160). Using IRT scales lead to less bias (159, 160). Self-reported measures are also used to assess mental health development and time trends, which often constitute the basis of health policies and the distribution of resources (161).

6.3.1 Reflections on scale evaluation

A psychometric evaluation of a scale can potentially be a subjective task, such as in the case of confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), where there are many different estimators (162, 163). The maximum-likelihood estimator was the first to be used for CFA, and the equation for the maximum-likelihood estimator rests upon the assumption that the variables are continuous. When CFA started to be used in psychological research with ordinal data, other estimators were “developed,” such as the robust maximum-likelihood and diagonally weighted least squares (81, 82, 164). Most psychological measures have ordinal variables and, therefore, should use ordinal estimators in the CFA. However, ordinal estimators might lead to inflated fit indices, which erroneously result in an acceptable model fit (165).

In CTT, there are also the disadvantages noted in the background above. For example, the problem of the generalizability beyond the sample, technically the parameter estimates should not be generalized beyond the sample (56, 57); the use of Cronbach’s as the primary reliability measure (60); the lack of knowledge about the possibility of evaluating systematic errors; the assumption about normally distributed responses at an item level, often not fulfilled with psychological measures which can result in biased parameter estimates (166). Fortunately, the PROMIS initiative seems to have worked at least as a partial bridge in this respect since there is a proposed protocol (31) to follow for evaluating the scale with IRT, which improves the overall quality. Some of the
risks with deficient self-report measures can be counteracted with more precise scales. The use of IRT techniques makes it possible to perform differential item functioning (DIF) (100), which enables comparison of if the items are perceived differently depending on group prerequisites such as sex or age group. If the scale is invariant between groups hence has no DIF, then differences can be interpreted as such (100). However, scales validated with IRT also have some limitations, such as the difficulty of administering IRT-based tests, the lack of the necessary expertise to perform such analysis, and the interpretation of factor scores. Furthermore, CAT needs to be done on a computer, and both IRT and CAT have sample sizes recruitments, demanding more extensive samples than CTT for validation.

Finally, even if a scale is reliable and valid, what do we know of the diagnostic system used as the template for questionnaires.

6.4 Diagnostic systems

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) system is a diagnostic system classifying clusters of symptoms into different diagnoses. The first version was printed in 1952, and since then, there have been five versions. Currently, most CAP clinics in Sweden base their diagnostics on DSM-V. The system was intended to make psychiatric diagnoses comparable and more similar to other medical disciplines by applying a structured system. The system is well established and frequently used, but critique against DSM is also prevalent (167-170). As mentioned above, one problem with DSM-based psychiatric diagnoses is that they are only descriptions of symptoms that are clustered together into a diagnostic entity, and there is no empirical way of knowing if any particular clustering of symptoms is more meaningful in terms of reflecting underlying pathologies than any other (171). The National Institute of Health in the US (NIH) has recently started to move away from the DSM system in favor of the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) framework.

RDoC is an initiative of the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), and this framework was created to aid the development of individualized precision medicine for mental health and “help identify new targets for treatment
development, detect subgroups for treatment selection, and provide a better match between research findings and clinical decision making” (172). The structure of the RDoC framework is described as “a matrix in which the rows represent various constructs grouped hierarchically into broad domains of function” in which “the columns of the matrix denote different levels of analysis, from genetic, molecular, and cellular levels, proceeding to the circuit-level...”, “...and on to the level of the individual, family environment, and social context” (172). However, the RDoC system still assumes that psychiatric and mental health symptoms have neurobiological and biobehavioral correlations. It has been pointed out that the assumption that mental suffering is a medical disorder continues to favor a biological treatment approach, a view which might risk not acknowledging the impact of the social context (168).

As a reaction to the problems mentioned above with the diagnostic classification systems, the power threat meaning (PTM) framework has been developed by the British Psychological Society as a meta-framework that uses several different models, practices, and philosophical traditions for an alternative diagnostic approach. The aim is “to inform and expand existing approaches by offering a fundamentally different perspective on the origins, experience, and expression of emotional distress and troubled or troubling behavior” (168). The framework acknowledges that humans are social beings with core needs such as experiencing a sense of justice and fairness within their community, having a sense of security and belonging to a family and social group, being safe, valued, accepted, and loved in their earliest relationships with caregivers. Unfulfilled core needs can be experienced as a threat to physical, emotional, relational health and safety, and survival. The framework further describes how the dynamics of, e.g., biological, legal, economic, and cultural capital power ultimately affect all humans. These power dynamics can interfere on an individual or a community level and give rise to varying individual or collective narratives and formulations of meaning. The combination of power, threat, and meaning-making can lead to threat responses currently defined within DSM systems as ‘psychiatric symptoms’ leading to psychiatric diagnoses, when they are perhaps better understood as stress responses, that is, as acute or chronic reactions to threat or as adaptive and rational survival strategies. The weight and importance of intersectionality in understanding and treating mental health problems are heavily emphasized in the PTM-framework.
6.5 Limitations

During the work with this thesis, it became apparent that there are several limitations within the current research paradigm of psychiatry. As noted by Borsboom et al., the underlying measure needs to exist for a measure to have construct validity (in the term, it is incorporated the assumption that the measure captures an actual underlying disease). “If something does not exist, then one cannot measure it” (173). Mental health symptoms and the suffering they entail surely do exist but are the current diagnostic systems most commonly used the most accurate way of describing them. If there is a validity problem incorporated in the diagnostic system, that will also have implications on the psychometrics evaluations of scales (174). Psychometric evaluation and methodology will only be as strong as its weakest link.

The following limitations from the individual studies should also be noted: Study 1: A limitation may be that behavioral problems may be expressed differently in 2014 compared to the 1980s and therefore not captured the same way at the two time points. Study 2: A limitation of this study is that we did not gather data on ethnicity only on nationality in general and therefore could not validate the RADS-2 in ethnic minority groups. Furthermore, we relied on self-report measures alone as validation measures for RADS-2. Participants were not geographically stratified and did not match the Swedish general pediatric population. Instead, the participants constituted a convenience sample drawn from four different schools from different socioeconomic areas. Study 3: A possible limitation was that the cognitive debriefing took place in a single geographical area; hence, there is a risk of not reflecting the dialectal variations of Sweden. Richer data might have been obtained by including a more extensive representation of boys, younger children, and children from more diverse linguistic groups in the cognitive debriefing. Study 4: A limitation of this study was that we lacked appropriate ways to evaluate whether the respondents answered truthfully. They could have answered entirely at random without consideration of the item as such or their actual feelings. Such respondents can be hard to detect. One possible way to detect them is by adding reverse-coded items and deleting those with conflicting answers(175). Also, because of the GDPR jurisdiction, the online platform had to be changed during the data collection. We, therefore, collected some of the data in paper format. However, research on other scales has not shown significant differences whether the data has been adhered to online or in paper format (176).
6.6 Strengths

In addition to the limitation named above, these studies also have several strengths. In study I, the geographic setting was stable, an identical questionnaire was used at both time points, the scope of the total population in the defined age range was extensive, and there was an extraordinarily high response rate. In Study II, strengths included the evaluation of generalizability of scores on this measure over time (i.e., test-retest reliability) and collecting data from different schools from different socioeconomic areas. In Study II, expert review groups emanating from already-established pediatric healthcare networks throughout the country were used in the translation process. In Study IV, strengths included the inclusion of both school and patient samples and IRT use.

6.7 Summary and future directions

The objective of this thesis was to add to our knowledge regarding time trends of self-reported mental health among Swedish youth and to validate internationally-used and reliable self-report measures for use in Sweden. Study I was a cross-sectional study on two different samples of grade 9 students in Luleå county, 33 years apart, answering the same self-report measures. Results from this study confirmed previous research regarding a rise of internalizing mental health symptoms, especially among girls (it should be noted that the second time point was before the Covid-19 pandemic). In Study II, an internationally-established measure for depression (RADS-2), not previously validated in Sweden, was validated in a Swedish school sample with classical test theory. To further contribute to the field, the exploration continued from classical to modern test theory. Study III described the translation and cultural adaption of eight pediatric PROMIS item banks into Swedish (the PROMIS started as an initiative to advance patient-reported outcomes and has clear benefits such as the use of item response theory). Study IV used item response theory to validate two pediatric PROMIS item banks, anxiety and depressive symptoms, in a Swedish school and patient sample.

On a personal note, during the work with this thesis, the importance of being cautious in the interpretation of scales became apparent. A scale can always be interpreted qualitatively as a way of learning more about the respondent.
However, quantitative interpretation demands the knowledge that the scale is generalizable to the patient or patient group in question. Caution is also needed due to the inadequacies of the diagnostic system (168). As such, the implementation of PROMIS item banks in Swedish CAP clinics would advance the overall quality of measures. Last but not least, the work on this thesis has made me conscious of the importance of considering intersectional factors and societal changes when viewing the increase of mental health problems among youth.

From a historical perspective, over the last century, there has been an explosion in knowledge in the area of mental health, but there are still major knowledge gaps in our contemporary paradigms. As discussed by the philosopher Jonna Bornemark, this current explosion in knowledge may have led to a less-developed relationship to complexity and less acceptance of the unknown. That, in turn, may lead to an oversimplification of reality and premature conclusions of cause and effect (177). In her book “Det omätbaras renässans” (“The renaissance of the immeasurable”), Bornemark discusses our time through the eyes of Renaissance philosophers. In the process, it becomes clear that we live in a cultural and social context that puts a major emphasis on and faith in measurements, classification, and categorization (177). Consequently, we have a less-developed relationship to the narrative of the subjective experience, the qualitative, and the unknown. The human desire for ordering and categorizing, the contemporary belief in these categories, and dissociation from the relationship with all that is unknown can lead to loss of perspective. A strictly medical diagnostic approach will undoubtedly miss out on aspects of a human’s life that cannot be fully explained, categorized, or measured.

In summary, a future direction in child and adolescent psychiatry research from a psychometric perspective is that using item response theory and the concept of underlying ability may contribute to a better understanding of mental health symptoms. A shift in the current diagnostic approach and view on mental health, such as proposed by the Power Threat Meaning Framework, would be welcome, as would incorporation of contextual factors and their effect on mental health and theories such as intersectionality and Bronfenbrenner’s ecological systems theory.
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