




# Global Bifurcations in Duopoly when the Cournot Point is Destabilized through a Subcritical Neimark Bifuraction

*Anna Agliari<sup>1</sup>, Laura Gardini<sup>2</sup>, Tönu Puu<sup>3</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>*Catholic University of Milano, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Urbino, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*University of Umeå, Sweden*







# Global Bifurcations in Duopoly when the Cournot Point is Destabilized through a Subcritical Neimark Bifuraction

*Anna Agliari<sup>1</sup>, Laura Gardini<sup>2</sup>, Tönu Puu<sup>3</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>*Catholic University of Milano, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Urbino, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*University of Umeå, Sweden*

**Abstract:** An adaptive oligopoly model, where the demand function is isoelastic and the competitors operate under constant marginal costs, is considered. The Cournot equilibrium point then loses stability through a subcritical Neimark bifurcation. The present paper focuses some global bifurcations, which precede the Neimark bifurcation, and produce other attractors which coexist with the still attractive Cournot fixed point.

Font: Minion (Adobe)

[CERUM Working Paper](#) 2003:66

ISBN 91-7305-540-9

ISSN 1404-5362

CERUM; Umeå University; SE-90187 Umeå; Sweden

Ph.: +46-90-786.6079 Fax: +46-90-786.5121

Email: [regional.science@cerum.umu.se](mailto:regional.science@cerum.umu.se)

[www.umu.se/cerum](http://www.umu.se/cerum)



# Contents

Introduction	7
The model	9
The equilibrium point and local stability analysis . . . . .	11
Border collision bifurcations	13
Multistability	19
Acknowledgments	23
Bibliography	25



# Introduction

Oligopoly theory, founded in 1838 by Cournot [1], is one of the oldest, if not *the* oldest branch of mathematical economics. It is also one of the oldest dynamic theories in economics that were suspected to lead to complex dynamic phenomena. Rand [2] suggested that if the reaction functions of the competitors were of the shape known from the logistic iteration, i.e., first increasing and then decreasing, then duopoly theory would be capable of producing all known phenomena of complex dynamics: orbits of any periodicity, as well as quasiperiodic and chaotic ones, along with multistability of attractors.

In traditional industrial organization textbooks the reaction functions are always drawn as simple straight lines, so no interesting dynamic phenomena at all appear at the average economics student's horizon. However, there exist many circumstances under which the shapes suggested by Rand can arise. One of the simplest is maybe the case suggested in [3]: an isoelastic demand curve, combined with constant marginal costs for the duopolists.

The isoelastic demand curve (of unit elasticity) itself arises whenever the consumers maximize utility functions of the popular Cobb-Douglas variety. As is well known, the consumers then spend fixed budget shares on each commodity, so the demand for any commodity becomes reciprocal to the price of this particular commodity (independently of the prices of all the other commodities).

This reciprocity, for once, also makes aggregation over individual consumers an easy matter, and so aggregate demand as well retains this property of reciprocity to price. Constant marginal costs, likewise, belong to the simplest first approximations in microeconomic models.

In [3] it was shown that under these assumptions a period doubling cascade to chaos occurs when the competitors react in the Cournot mode. It was also shown that, making the adjustment process adaptive, i.e. assuming that the competitors move to a weighted average of their previous moves and their calculated new best responses according to Cournot, results in the loss of stability occurring through a Neimark bifurcation. So, once the fixed point loses stability, it is replaced by a periodic solution, or by a closed orbit in phase space.

There is a special characteristic to this Neimark bifurcation: it is not supercritical, but subcritical. So, it is not the loss of stability for the fixed Cournot point that gives rise to another attractor. Rather, this other attractor (or several of them) exist even before the Neimark bifurcation, so at the bifurcation moment, the fixed point just loses stability through the collapse of its basin of attraction around it, thus eliminating the fixed point itself from the list of attractors. Before this, there is coexistence, or multistability.

This also shows up in the bifurcation diagrams, where the periodic Arnold's tongues protrude right through the Neimark bifurcation curve. For illustrations see [4].

All this means that the global bifurcations, through which multistability arises, producing other attractors along with the Cournot point, is more interesting than the Neimark bifurcation itself, which just signifies the final destabilization of the fixed point.

The objective of the present paper is a more close study of this emergence of multistability preceding the subcritical Neimark bifurcation in oligopoly models of the type described.

It is worth stressing that subcriticality is not just a mathematical property, it also has considerable significance in terms of economic substance. This is because, with subcriticality, the bifurcations become "hard", so that the trajectory makes a jump to approach some distant attractor, and cannot be stabilized towards the Cournot point again through any fine tuning.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we introduce the Cournot

duopoly model and we analyze the properties of the map that governs the adjustment process. In particular we prove that a subcritical Neimark-Hopf bifurcation of the fixed point occurs. In section 3 we show a border collision bifurcation which leads to the emergence of a closed repelling invariant curve  $\Gamma$ , whose shrinking process causes the loss of stability of the Cournot equilibrium point. Such a border collision gives rise also to an attracting invariant closed curve which coexists with the attracting equilibrium point. In section 4 we show that more complex multistability situations, always due to border collision bifurcations, are possible.

# The model

Consider a market in which the demand function is isoelastic, i.e.

$$Q = \frac{1}{p},$$

$Q$  denotes total demand and  $p$  the price of the commodity.

Moreover, assume there are two competitors in the market, producing under a technology of constant marginal costs, denoted  $a$  and  $b$ . Following the Cournot hypothesis, the competitors act simultaneously, choosing their outputs  $q_i$ . We assume they have no capacity constraints, so  $q_i \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

The inverse demand function is  $p = 1/Q$ , where  $Q = q_1 + q_2$ . Hence,  $p = 1/(q_1 + q_2)$ , so the profit function of producer 1 becomes

$$U_1(q_1, q_2) = \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2} - aq_1$$

and his optimal production, given the expected production  $q_2^e$  of the competitor, is the solution to the problem

$$\max_{q_1 \geq 0} U_1(q_1, q_2^e). \quad (1)$$

From (1), it is easy to obtain the best response of producer 1:

$$R_1(q_2^e) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{q_2^e}{a}} - q_2^e & \text{if } 0 \leq q_2^e \leq \frac{1}{a} \\ 0 & \text{if } q_2^e > \frac{1}{a} \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

The best response of producer 2 can be obtained analogously:

$$R_2(q_1^e) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{q_1^e}{b}} - q_1^e & \text{if } 0 \leq q_1^e \leq \frac{1}{b} \\ 0 & \text{if } q_1^e > \frac{1}{b} \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

$R_1(q_2^e)$  and  $R_2(q_1^e)$  are normally called the reaction functions of the competitors. If we identify actual and expected outputs, then  $R_1(q_2)$  and  $R_2(q_1)$  represent curves in the  $q_1, q_2$  plane, which intersect at the origin and in the Cournot equilibrium point.

If the competitors have perfect foresight, and if they do not try any smart strategy of for instance the Stackelberg type, they immediately jump to the Cournot equilibrium point, whose coordinates are easily calculated:

$$E^* = (q_1^*, q_2^*) = \left( \frac{b}{(a+b)^2}, \frac{a}{(a+b)^2} \right) \quad (4)$$

Observe that for each firm this equilibrium point is a decreasing function of its own marginal cost, and, seen as a function of the marginal cost of the competitor, attains its maximum when  $b = a$ .

If we adopt the “myopic rationality” of Cournot’s original setup, then each of the firms assumes the output of the competitor to remain the same as it was in the previous period, i.e.

$$q_i^e(t) = q(t-1)$$

Using this convention, we could also set up a dynamic process in which the Cournot equilibrium point is reached, not in one step, but approached asymptotically through successive adjustments according to the reaction functions (2)-(3).

This, of course, only holds provided the Cournot equilibrium point is stable. As indicated in the introduction, the Cournot point can be destabilized even in such a simple adjustment process, and be replaced by periodic processes or even by chaos.

However, we do not consider this at present, but adopt the adaptive format right from the outset, i.e., we assume that the competitors do not immediately aim for the optimum predicted by the myopic best reply function. As a conservative concession to their limited knowledge concerning the actual reactions of the competitor, they only adjust their previous decision in the direction of the new optimum. Thus:

$$\begin{cases} q_1(t) = (1 - \lambda)q_1(t-1) + \lambda R_1(q_2(t-1)) \\ q_2(t) = (1 - \mu)q_2(t-1) + \mu R_2(q_1(t-1)) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where we assume fixed weights, or adjustment speeds  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , for the previous decision and the calculated myopic best response. Of course  $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq 1$ . Observe that if both adjustment speeds equal 1, then we are back to the original Cournot duopoly as verbally described above.

Substituting the best response functions (2) and (3) in (5), we obtain the map  $T$ , which is the object of the present study

$$T : \begin{cases} x' = \begin{cases} (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda \left( \sqrt{\frac{y}{a}} - y \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{a} \\ (1 - \lambda)x & \text{if } y > \frac{1}{a} \end{cases} \\ y' = \begin{cases} (1 - \mu)y + \mu \left( \sqrt{\frac{x}{b}} - x \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{b} \\ (1 - \mu)y & \text{if } x > \frac{1}{b} \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

In (6), as in the rest of the discussion, we use the variables  $x$  and  $y$  to denote the quantities  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , and the prime symbol ( $t$ ) to denote the unit advancement operator, i.e. if  $x$  is the decision of producer 1 at time  $t-1$ ,  $q_1(t-1)$ , then  $x'$  denotes  $q_1(t)$ .

The two-dimensional map  $T$  is continuous and piecewise smooth in the plane  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ . It depends on four parameters, the marginal costs  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  and the adjustment speeds  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , both constrained to the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

But it is possible to show that in order to study the dynamical behaviour of  $T$ , only three parameters are essential. Without loss of generality, we can fix any of the marginal costs at the value 1, for instance the smaller of them, because the following proposition holds:

**Proposition 1** *The map  $T$  with parameters  $(a, b, \lambda, \mu)$  is topologically conjugated to the map  $\tilde{T}$  with parameters  $(\tau a, \tau b, \lambda, \mu)$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , via the homeomorphism  $\Phi(x, y) = (\tau x, \tau y)$ .*

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Phi(x, y)) &= \left( \begin{cases} (1 - \lambda)\tau x + \lambda \left( \sqrt{\frac{\tau y}{a}} - \tau y \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq \tau y \leq \frac{1}{a} \\ (1 - \lambda)\tau x & \text{if } \tau y > \frac{1}{a} \\ (1 - \mu)\tau y + \mu \left( \sqrt{\frac{\tau x}{b}} - \tau x \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq \tau x \leq \frac{1}{b} \\ (1 - \mu)\tau y & \text{if } \tau x > \frac{1}{b} \end{cases} \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{cases} \tau \left[ (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda \left( \sqrt{\frac{y}{\tau a}} - y \right) \right] & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{\tau a} \\ \tau(1 - \lambda)x & \text{if } y > \frac{1}{\tau a} \\ \tau \left[ (1 - \mu)y + \mu \left( \sqrt{\frac{x}{\tau b}} - x \right) \right] & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{\tau b} \\ \tau(1 - \mu)y & \text{if } x > \frac{1}{\tau b} \end{cases} \right) \\ &= \Phi(\tilde{T}(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

■

In what follows we consider  $b = 1$  and  $a > 1$  so the map  $T$  in (6) becomes

$$T : \begin{cases} x' = \begin{cases} (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda \left( \sqrt{\frac{y}{a}} - y \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{a} \\ (1 - \lambda)x & \text{if } y > \frac{1}{a} \end{cases} \\ y' = \begin{cases} (1 - \mu)y + \mu(\sqrt{x} - x) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ (1 - \mu)y & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

## The equilibrium point and local stability analysis

As we observed, the map  $T$  in (7) is piecewise smooth. This means that we need to consider four maps, defined in four different regions of  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ . More precisely, write

$$\mathbb{R}_+^2 = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3 \cup R_4$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= [0, 1] \times \left[0, \frac{1}{a}\right]; R_2 = (1, +\infty) \times \left[0, \frac{1}{a}\right] \\ R_3 &= (1, +\infty) \times \left(\frac{1}{a}, +\infty\right); R_4 = [0, 1] \times \left(\frac{1}{a}, +\infty\right) \end{aligned}$$

In each region  $R_i$  the composite map  $T$  is given by a different map  $T_i$ .

The simplest is

$$T_3 : \begin{cases} x' = (1 - \lambda)x \\ y' = (1 - \mu)y \end{cases}$$

which is linear, with an attracting fixed point at  $(0, 0)$ . But the origin does not belong to region  $R_3$  where  $T_3$  applies. After a finite number of iterations each trajectory starting in  $R_3$  leaves that region.

In region  $R_2$  we have the map

$$T_2 : \begin{cases} x' = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda \left(\sqrt{\frac{y}{a}} - y\right) \\ y' = (1 - \mu)y \end{cases}$$

This too always admits a fixed point at the origin, which again does not belong to  $R_2$ . We observe that at each iteration a contraction of the  $y$  value occurs (remember that  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ). So  $y$  tends towards 0, and  $y = 0$  is an attracting direction for the fixed point  $(0, 0)$ . Then a trajectory starting in  $R_2$  leaves that region entering in  $R_1$  after a finite number of steps. Similarly the map

$$T_4 : \begin{cases} x' = (1 - \lambda)x \\ y' = (1 - \mu)y + \mu(\sqrt{x} - x) \end{cases}$$

admits as fixed point the origin, but it does not belong to  $R_4$  and it contracts the  $x$  value. Then a trajectory starting in  $R_4$  after a finite number of iterations enters  $R_1$ .

Thus we conclude that, after a finite number of iterations, every trajectory enters  $R_1$ , and so the asymptotic behaviour of  $T$  strongly depends on the map

$$T_1 : \begin{cases} x' = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda \left(\sqrt{\frac{y}{a}} - y\right) \\ y' = (1 - \mu)y + \mu(\sqrt{x} - x) \end{cases} . \quad (8)$$

As we will see, the region  $R_1$ , however, is not a trapping set for the map  $T$ . Hence a trajectory can escape from  $R_1$ , but, if so, it has to re-enter this region (in a finite number of steps). This leads us to state that the attracting sets for the map  $T$  must belong to or intersect the region  $R_1$ . More precisely, when the attractor is a fixed point, it must be a fixed point of  $T_1$  and when the orbit is periodic (or quasi-periodic) some periodic points must belong to  $R_1$ . Also in the case of chaotic behavior, a portion of the strange attractor must be contained in  $R_1$ . For this reason, in order to study the map  $T$ , we have to start from the properties of the map  $T_1$ .

The fixed points of  $T_1$  are: the origin, always repelling, and the Cournot equilibrium point  $E^*$ , given in (4), which belongs to  $R_1$  for every  $a > 0$ .

In order to study the local stability of  $E^*$ , we, as usual, consider the Jacobian matrix of  $T_1$  evaluated at the equilibrium point.

We have  $J^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & \lambda \frac{1-a}{2a} \\ \mu \frac{a-1}{2} & 1 - \mu \end{bmatrix}$ , from which we can deduce the stability conditions

- 1)  $1 - \text{trace}(J^*) + \det(J^*) = \frac{1}{4a}(a+1)^2 \lambda \mu > 0$
- 2)  $1 + \text{trace}(J^*) + \det(J^*) = 2(2 - \lambda - \mu) + \frac{\mu \lambda (a+1)^2}{4a} > 0$
- 3)  $1 - \det(J^*) = \lambda + \mu - \frac{\mu \lambda (a+1)^2}{4a} > 0$

It is obvious that conditions 1 and 2 are always fulfilled, whereas condition 3 defines a surface in the parameter space on which a Neimark-Hopf bifurcation takes place.

Moreover, in the case of equal adjustment speeds, we can state the following

**Proposition 2** *If  $\lambda = \mu < 1$ , then at any crossing of the curve*

$$\lambda = \frac{8a}{(a+1)^2} \quad (9)$$

*a Neimark-Hopf bifurcation of subcritical type takes place.*

**Proof.** In the case  $\mu = \lambda$ , the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian matrix  $J^*$ , denoting the eigenvalues by  $S$ , can be written

$$(S + \lambda - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{4a}(a-1)^2\lambda^2.$$

We deduce that  $J^*$  has complex conjugated eigenvalues for every  $\lambda$  and  $a$ . The modulus of such eigenvalues is  $|S| = (1 - \lambda)^2 + \frac{1}{4a}(a-1)^2\lambda^2$ , so they belong to the unit circle when (9) is fulfilled. Moreover if  $\lambda < 1$ , then  $|S|^j \neq 1$ ,  $j = 2, 3, 4$ .

This proves that at any crossing of the curve (9) a Neimark-Hopf bifurcation takes place. Finally, computing coefficients  $d$  and  $A$  ( $a$  in the book) of theorem 3.5.2, p.162, in [5], we obtain  $d = 1$  and  $A < 0$  in the relevant parameter range. This proves that the Neimark-Hopf bifurcation is of subcritical type. ■

In what follows we shall focus the particular case of equal adjustment speeds for the competitors,  $\mu = \lambda$ , where, of course,  $\lambda < 1$ .

In such a case we know from Proposition 2 that just before the bifurcation a repelling closed curve  $\Gamma$  exists while the fixed point is still attracting, and that, at the bifurcation values, it disappears, shrinking on  $E^*$ , which then becomes repelling.

The appearance of the repelling curve  $\Gamma$  will be the object of our study. In fact the existence of such a repelling closed curve is very important, also for economic considerations, as it implies the existence of points in the phase plane with different asymptotic behaviour. Then we can expect that the Cournot equilibrium coexists with some other attractors, which, as we will see, are periodic and/or quasi-periodic (as two more attractors can exist besides the stable Cournot point). In any case, the closed curve  $\Gamma$  is the boundary of the immediate basin of attraction of  $E^*$ .

In particular we will see that  $\Gamma$  can appear with a cycle or an attracting closed curve, via a border collision bifurcation (typical of piecewise smooth maps), and that different multistability situations are possible. In our study we proceed by fixing the value of the parameter  $\lambda$  and letting  $a$  change.

# Border collision bifurcations

In order to understand the global bifurcation causing the appearance of the closed curve  $\Gamma$ , we consider the map  $T_1$  defined in (8), as noted in the previous section.

Given we are particularly interested in  $T_1$  with  $\lambda = \mu$ , let us rewrite the map accordingly:

$$T_1 : \begin{cases} x' = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda \left( \sqrt{\frac{y}{a}} - y \right) \\ y' = (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda (\sqrt{x} - x) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Due to the appearance of the square root, it is obvious that  $T_1$  is defined only at points belonging to the nonnegative quadrant of the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let us now define the *feasible region*  $F_1$ . This is the set of points in the plane defined by

$$F_1 = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$$

Note that the feasible region is larger than the region in which the map  $T_1$  is well defined. Indeed, we can only say that  $T_1$  is “a map” in  $D$  if, given any initial condition  $(x_0, y_0) \in D$ , we have that  $T_1^n(x_0, y_0)$  exists and is feasible for any  $n$ . In other words  $D$  is a region in which  $T_1$  is defined forever in its forward iterations. This set  $D$  includes the basins of attraction of the attracting sets of the map.

In this section we shall describe the shape of  $D$ , and in particular of its boundary. We shall see that this boundary may be the repelling invariant closed curve  $\Gamma$  we are looking for.

In the case of noninvertible maps, such as the one we are interested in, the study of the basins of attraction can be performed using the *Riemann foliation* of the phase plane as defined by the map. Recall that, according to the literature on non-invertible maps (see [6], [7]), a *Riemann foliation of the plane* means superposed “sheets”, which cover the plane and explain the number of preimages that exist in its different parts.

Usually, this information is obtained considering the critical curve  $LC$  of the noninvertible map, which separates regions of the phase plane that have different numbers of rank-1 preimages. These regions are denoted  $Z_i$ , where the index  $i$  denotes the number of distinct rank-1 preimages of any point in that region. The critical curve  $LC$  is the locus of points having two merging preimages. In our case it can be obtained as the image by  $T_1$  of the set of points for which the Jacobian determinant  $|J|$  vanishes. (This set itself is denoted  $LC_{-1}$ , and is called critical curve of rank-1).

We have


$$\begin{aligned} |J| &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{ay}} - 1 \right) \\ \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} - 1 \right) & 1 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= (1 - \lambda)^2 - \lambda^2 \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{ay}} - 1 \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solving for the variable  $y$  in explicit form, we obtain  $LC_{-1}$ :

$$\begin{cases} y = \frac{1}{4a} \left( \frac{\lambda^2(2\sqrt{x}-1)}{4\lambda\sqrt{x}-2\sqrt{x}-\lambda^2} \right)^2 \\ 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$$

An example of this curve is shown in Fig.1, along with its image  $LC = T_1(LC_{-1})$ .

For the map  $T_1$  the critical line  $LC$  is not enough to give the *Riemann foliation*, because of the square root in its definition. In fact, we have to take into consideration that some preimages may be unfeasible, i.e. they can have a negative coordinate. To define the regions  $Z_i$  properly, we must therefore also consider the images



**Figure 1:** Riemann foliation of the phase plane defined by the map  $T_1$ . In white the region  $Z_1$ , in light grey the region  $Z_0$  and in light blue the small region  $Z_2$ .

by  $T_1$  of the coordinate axes, i.e. of the boundary of the set  $F_1$ . The images of these two curves  $TX = T_1(\{y = 0\})$  and  $TY = T_1(\{x = 0\})$  are obtained as

$$\begin{cases} TX : & y = \lambda \left( \sqrt{\frac{x}{1-\lambda}} - \frac{x}{1-\lambda} \right) \\ TY : & x = \lambda \left( \sqrt{\frac{y}{a(1-\lambda)}} - \frac{y}{1-\lambda} \right) \end{cases}.$$

The curves  $TX$  and  $TY$  are not critical lines in the sense of [6], [7], because their points do not have merging preimages. However the essential features of the critical curves theory apply also to such curves because the crossing through them still causes the appearance (or disappearance) of a rank-1 preimages. In Fig.1 we show the regions  $Z_0, Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  so obtained. In particular we observe that the points of the  $x$ -axis that have admissible forward images belong to the segment  $OA$ , where  $O$  is the origin and  $A$  is the point  $(0, \frac{1}{a})$ . Likewise, those of the  $y$ -axis belong to the segment  $OB$ , where  $B$  is the point  $(1, 0)$ . This fact has a trivial explanation: 1 and  $1/a$  are the supply quantities of the respective firms, for which the competitor's maximum profits turn negative.

We also observe that, consequently, the points of the  $x$ -axis having preimages belong to the half-line starting from  $A_1 = T(A)$ , and those of the  $y$ -axis to the half-line starting from  $B_1 = T(B)$ .

Let us now return to the set  $D$ . In order to obtain the boundary of  $D$  we reason as follows. Starting from  $F_1$ , i.e., the feasible region of  $T_1^1$ , we can compute  $F_2$ , the feasible region of  $T_1^2$ . This is the subregion of  $F_1$ , such that not only  $(x, y)$ , but also  $(x_1, y_1) = T_1(x, y)$ , are feasible, so that we are able to compute  $T_1^2$ . Thus

$$F_2 = \{(x, y) \in F_1 : T_1(x, y) \in F_1\}.$$


Clearly  $F_2 \subseteq F_1$ , and the boundary of  $F_2$  contains the rank-1 preimages of the boundary of  $F_1$ , as well as a portion of  $\partial F_1$  itself. This means that a point belonging to  $\partial F_2$  is either mapped into  $\partial F_1$  or it belongs to  $F_1$ .

And so forth:

$$F_k = \{(x, y) \in F_{k-1} : T_1(x, y) \in F_1\}$$

It may occur that a finite  $k$  exists such that  $F_{k+1} = F_k = D$ , as in the case displayed in Fig.2. In this picture, obtained for  $\lambda = 0.5$  and  $a = 11.6$ , the set  $D$  is the basin of attraction for the Cournot equilibrium point  $E^*$ , and it coincides with  $F_4$ . Its boundary is made up by four preimages of the segment  $A_1A$  of the  $y$ -axis, denoted by  $\xi$ .

Indeed, the segment  $\xi$  belongs to  $Z_1$ : its rank-1 preimage is bounded by  $A$  and  $A_{-1}$  (the rank-1 preimage of  $A$ ), and it is always located in  $Z_1$ , up to the line  $y = 1/a$  (and then we deduce that  $R_1$  is not a trapping set for  $T_1$ ). There exists a rank-2 preimage of  $\xi$ , bounded by  $A_{-1}$  and  $A_{-2}$ , which always belongs to  $Z_1$ . Only a



**Figure 2:** The set  $D$  (yellow points) is the basin of attraction for the Cournot equilibrium point  $E^*$ , and it coincides with  $F_4$ . Its boundary is made up by four preimages of the segment  $A_1A$  of the  $y$ -axis, denoted by  $\xi$ .

portion of the preimages of rank-3 of  $\xi$  belong to  $Z_1$ . Its upper part is bounded by  $A_{-2}$  and  $C$  (a point on the curve  $TX$ ), whereas the portion bounded by  $C$  and  $G$  (located on  $LC$ ) belongs to  $Z_2$  and a small portion (bounded by  $G$  and  $A_{-3}$ ) to  $Z_0$ . This means that there exist rank-1 preimages of the portion  $A_{-2}G$ , which are rank-4 preimages of  $\xi$ . The portion  $CG$  has an extra preimage, located below the  $LC_{-1}$  curve, and it has an extremum on the  $x$ -axis. Such preimages belong to  $Z_0$ , and this completes the construction of  $\partial D = \partial F_4$ .

Observe that, due to the high marginal cost  $a$ , only the preimages of the  $y$ -axis are involved in the construction of the set  $\partial D$ . For a smaller value of  $a$ , the boundary of  $D$  would also be made up by the preimages of the segment  $B_1B$ , and this until the preimages of  $\xi$  do no longer have any contact with the curve  $TX$ , i.e. until the point  $C$  does not exist.


Obviously the structure of the set  $D$  can also be more complex, for instance, it can become disconnected, due to further contacts of its frontier with  $LC$ , but this is beyond the scope of the present paper.

It is interesting that, as the parameter  $a$  is increased, a greater number of preimages are involved in the construction of  $\partial D$ , i.e.  $F_{k+1} = F_k$  for  $k > 4$ , and a “cyclical appearance” of new preimages shows up due to contact bifurcations of the frontier with the curve  $TY$ .


Let us clarify using some examples, always obtained for  $\lambda = 0.5$ . In the first case, displayed in Fig.3a, the mechanism we consider is not yet working, but its “germ” just comes into existence: We can see that a portion of the rank-4 preimage of  $\xi$  belongs to  $Z_2$ , and that some of its preimages belong to  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ , so the preimage of rank-6 of  $\xi$ ,  $\xi_{-6}$ , exists.

Well, it is just  $\xi_{-6}$  (now located close to the  $y$ -axis in the  $Z_0$  region), and its contacts with  $TY$ , that are responsible of the quick appearance for six new preimages, which rotate around and inside the set  $F_6$ . Moreover, at each contact of a new preimage of rank- $6n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $TY$ , the same mechanism applies. For instance, in Fig.3b (where  $D$  is a disconnected set), we can observe that a great number (but always a multiple of six) of preimages of  $\xi$  are needed to obtain the boundary of  $D$ . A consequence of the increasing number of preimages is that the set  $\partial D$  becomes more smooth.

As the parameter  $a$  is increased further, a global bifurcation occurs, after which the boundary of  $D$  is made up by infinitely many preimages of the segment  $A_1A$ . This must be due to the appearance of two cycles (evidently of high order and therefore difficult to be identified), a saddle and a repelling node, whose saddle connection (the stable set of the saddle connecting to the repelling node) defines



**Figure 3:** (a) The bifurcation “germ” just comes into existence: A portion of the rank-4 preimage of  $\xi$  belongs to  $Z_2$ , and that some of its preimages (in the black square) belong to  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ , so the preimage of rank-6 of  $\xi$ ,  $\xi_{-6}$ , exists. (b) After the contact of  $\xi_{-6}$  with  $TY$ , a great number (but always a multiple of six) of preimages of  $\xi$  are needed to obtain the boundary of  $D$ . As a consequence the set  $\partial D$  becomes more smooth.




**Figure 4:** (a) A preimage of the segment  $A_1A$  is tangent to the curve  $TY$ , then there exist infinitely many preimages of  $A_1A$ ; now  $F_{k+1} \subset F_k$  for every  $k$ , and that the set  $\partial D$ , i.e. the repelling curve  $\Gamma$ , is the limit set of  $\partial F_k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . (b) An alternative way to check such a global bifurcation: all the forward iterates of  $\eta$  (the upper part of  $TY$ ) are tangent to  $\partial D$ .

a closed repelling invariant curve  $\Gamma$ . This curve is unstable, and bounds the set  $D$ , the basin of attraction of the fixed point.

We can observe such a global bifurcation in Fig.4a, where there exists a preimage of the segment  $A_1A$  of a rank greater than 17, tangent to the curve  $TY$ . This means that no point of such a preimage falls into  $Z_0$ , so the process of backward iteration of  $A_1A$  never ends, i.e. there exist infinitely many preimages. We conclude that in such a case  $F_{k+1} \subset F_k$  for every  $k$ , and that the set  $\partial D$ , i.e. the repelling curve  $\Gamma$ , is the limit set of  $\partial F_k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , which may also be defined as the limit set of  $T_1^{-k}(\partial F_1) = T_1^{-k}(A_1A)$ . An alternative way to check such a global bifurcation is to consider the forward iteration of the upper part of  $TY$ , denoted  $\eta$  in Fig.4b: We know that  $\partial D$  is tangent to  $\eta$  at the point  $P$ , hence its first forward iterate  $T_1(\eta)$  must be tangent to  $\partial D$  in  $P_1 = T_1(P)$ , and so forth... At the bifurcation value, all the forward iterates of  $\eta$  are tangent to  $\partial D$ , as in Fig.4b, obtained immediately after the bifurcation (where only a finite number of forward iterates are shown).

After the bifurcation, at a higher value of  $a$ , the repelling closed curve always



**Figure 5:** The repelling closed curve  $\Gamma$  is internal to the quadrant  $F_1$  and belongs to the region  $R_1 \cup R_4$ .

exists, it becomes smaller with no contact with the curve  $\eta$  and, consequently, with the  $y$ -axis, that is, it is internal to the quadrant  $F_1$  (see Fig.5).

We have thus seen that either  $\partial D$  is made up by a finite number of pieces of curves belonging to  $T_1^{-k}(\partial F_1)$ , or (when a couple of cycles exist, giving rise to the unstable closed curve  $\Gamma$ )  $\partial D$  is made up by  $\Gamma$  itself, which is the limit set of  $T_1^{-k}(\partial F_1)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .


As  $a$  increases, the region  $D$  shrinks more and more, merging with the fixed point at the Neimark-Hopf bifurcation value.

Let us now return to the map  $T$ , in order to show how the sequence of bifurcations just described also implies a global bifurcation for that map.

When it appears, the closed repelling curve  $\Gamma$  (for  $T_1$ ) belongs to the region  $R_1 \cup R_4$  (see Fig.5). Then it does not influence the dynamical behaviour of  $T$ . Indeed, for the parameter constellation we considered up to now, the Cournot equilibrium point  $E^*$  is the global attractor of the trajectories for  $T$ . But, during its shrinkage process, the curve  $\Gamma$  has a contact with the line  $y = \frac{1}{a}$ , which separates the regions  $R_1$  and  $R_4$ , as shown in Fig.6a. Let us denote the bifurcation value at which this happens by  $a_b$ . At  $a = a_b$  a bifurcation for  $T$ , called *border collision*, takes place, which results in the appearance of an attracting closed invariant curve  $\Gamma_s$ , very close to the curve  $\Gamma$ , which now bounds the basin of attraction for the Cournot equilibrium point (see Fig.6b). The effects of such a bifurcation are noticeable: The basin of attraction of the Cournot equilibrium point suddenly becomes smaller, coinciding with the set  $D$  of the map  $T_1$ , and the major part of the trajectories converge to the curve  $\Gamma_s$ , that is the major part of the trajectories are quasi-periodic, or periodic of high period.

We can explain this bifurcation only conjecturing that at  $a = a_b$  another couple of cycles are created: a saddle and a stable node, so that now the unstable set of the saddle cycle gives rise to a closed invariant (attracting) curve on which we also have the stable cycle.

Once more the high periodicity of the cycles involved makes a numerical verification difficult.



**Figure 6:** (a) The curve  $\Gamma$  has a contact with the line which separates the regions  $R_1$  and  $R_4$ . (b) Border collision bifurcation for the map  $T$ : An attracting closed invariant curve  $\Gamma_s$  appears, very close to the curve  $\Gamma$ , which now bounds the basin of attraction for the Cournot equilibrium point (in yellow). The light blue points converge to  $\Gamma_s$ .

# Multistability

In the previous section we have seen that the border collision bifurcation gives rise to a new attractor, and causes a drastic reduction of the basin of attraction for the fixed point. Now, analyzing a sequence of bifurcations that arise inside a periodic window, we shall see how the situations of multistability, always due to border collision bifurcations, can be even more complex.

From the bifurcation diagram of the map  $T$ , not shown here (see for instance [4]), we obtain that at  $\lambda = 0.5039$  a window of period 6 exists. Then we fix this value for  $\lambda$  and let  $a$  vary, in order to analyze the bifurcation sequence leading to the appearance of the cycles (stable and unstable), and of the repelling closed curve  $\Gamma$ .


As usual we start from the map  $T_1$ . Also in this case, the sequence of bifurcations leads to a set  $D$  internal to  $F_1$ , with its boundary given by the limit set of the preimages of the frontier of the feasible region (in a way similar to the one described in the previous section).

But now a periodic orbit, of period 6, on the repelling curve is obtained. In Fig.7a we observe two cycles of period 6 on  $\Gamma$ : a saddle (a periodic point of which is  $(0.00149, 0.0660)$  with eigenvalues  $S_1 = 1.1236$ ,  $S_2 = 0.9977$ ), and a repelling node (a periodic point of which is  $(0.001508, 0.0666)$  with eigenvalues  $S_1 = 1.1218$ ,  $S_2 = 1.0024$ ). Such cycles are very close to each other, because they are just born by a saddle-node bifurcation (the numerical values of the two eigenvalues  $S_2$  close to unity confirm this). In the present situation the curve  $\Gamma$  results from a saddle connection, i.e. the stable manifold of the saddle connects the periodic points, forming a repelling invariant set. Observe that both the saddle and the unstable cycle have a periodic point belonging to  $R_4$ , though very close to the line  $y = \frac{1}{a}$ , so they do not affect the dynamic behavior of  $T$  (for which only an attracting fixed point exists). But as the value of the parameter  $a$  is slightly increased, we can observe the contact between the saddle cycle and the upper bound of  $R_1$  (Fig.7b); as before this contact corresponds to a bifurcation for the map  $T$ . We can observe it in Fig.7c, where an attracting cycle of period 6 appears, reducing, though not so drastically, the basin of attraction of the Cournot equilibrium point. At  $a = 13.5668$ , shown in Fig.7c, this cycle is a focus with modulus  $|\lambda| = 0.24267$  and at this parameter configuration there also exists a saddle cycle of period 6, with eigenvalues  $S_1 = 1.05$  and  $S_2 = 0.2835$ . It is not visible in Fig.7c because it is quite indistinguishable from the attracting one (and different from the saddle cycle existing for  $T_1$ ). Its stable set bounds the basin of attraction of the stable cycle.


The closeness of the two cycles suggests that they are born together, but the presence of the focus and the eigenvalues do not suggest a fold bifurcation. Two possibilities are open: either an attracting node appears with the saddle at the bifurcation value (via the usual saddle-node bifurcation) and turns into a focus immediately after, or the two cycles appear via a border collision bifurcation not necessarily with an eigenvalue of unit modulus.

In any case, in the situation shown in Fig.7c the attracting focus is very close to the frontier of its basin of attraction, given by the stable manifold of the saddle cycle. As  $a$  increases the basin of the fixed point becomes smaller, as we can see in Fig. 8a, in which we also show (in grey) its unstable manifold. This converges to the fixed point from one side and to the attracting 6-cycle from the other.


From Fig.8a we can also observe that another border collision bifurcation is emerging. We note that the unstable manifold of the saddle is very close to the frontier of the basin of attraction of  $E^*$ , and it seems to "describe" a closed curve in phase space. Indeed, looking at the map  $T_1$ , we can observe that, slightly increasing the marginal cost  $a$ , the repelling curve  $\Gamma$  becomes tangent to the upper bound of the region  $R_1$  (see Fig.8b). As in the previous section, the border collision leads to the appearance of an attracting curve  $\Gamma_s$ , close to the repelling curve  $\Gamma$ . Then, after



**Figure 7:** (a) Two cycles of period 6 on  $\Gamma$ , a saddle (green points) and a repelling node (black points), born by a saddle-node bifurcation. The curve  $\Gamma$  results from a saddle connection, i.e. the stable manifold of the saddle connects the periodic points. (b) The contact between the saddle cycle and the upper bound of  $R_1$ . (c) Border collision for the map  $T$ : An attracting focus cycle of period 6 appears, as well as a saddle cycle of period 6 quite indistinguishable from the attracting one.



**Figure 8:** (a) The basin of the fixed point is smaller. The unstable manifold of the saddle cycle (in grey) very close to the frontier of the basin of attraction of  $E^*$ , and it seems to “describe” a closed curve in phase space. (b) For the map  $T_1$ , the repelling curve  $\Gamma$  becomes tangent to the upper bound of the region  $R_1$ : the border collision for the map  $T$  will lead to the appearance of an attracting curve  $\Gamma_s$ , close to the repelling curve  $\Gamma$ . (c) After a new border collision bifurcation, three coexisting attractors exist: the Cournot equilibrium point, an attracting curve  $\Gamma_s$  and the focus cycles of period 6. Their basins of attraction are separated by the invariant repelling closed curve  $\Gamma$ , which separates the trajectories converging to the fixed point (in yellow) from the quasi periodic ones (in red), and by the stable set of the saddle cycle, separating the periodic (in light blue) and the quasi-periodic trajectories.



**Figure 9:** (a) The contact of  $\Gamma_s$  with the saddle, which causes the disappearance of one attracting set. At the contact bifurcation the curve  $\Gamma_s$  connects all the periodic points of the saddle cycle. (b) After the contact only two invariant curves survive: a repelling one,  $\Gamma$ , which is the boundary of the basin of attraction of  $E^*$  and the saddle connection between the two cycles of period 6. The two colors (blue and light blue) in the basin of attraction of the attracting 6-cycle make in evidence the stable manifold of the saddle 6-cycle.

the bifurcation, we have three coexisting attractors, the Cournot equilibrium point, the attracting curve and the focus cycles of period 6. Their basins of attraction are separated by the invariant repelling closed curve  $\Gamma$ , which separates the trajectories converging to the fixed point from the quasi periodic ones, and by the stable set of the saddle cycle, separating the periodic and the quasi-periodic trajectories (see Fig.8c).

The interval of existence of the curve  $\Gamma_s$  is very short. A further slight increase of the parameter  $a$  leads to a contact of  $\Gamma_s$  with the saddle, which causes the disappearance of one attracting set. At the contact bifurcation the curve  $\Gamma_s$  connects all the periodic points of the saddle cycle (Fig.9a), and after the contact only two invariant curves survive: a repelling one,  $\Gamma$ , which is the boundary of the basin of attraction of  $E^*$  and the saddle connection between the two cycles of period 6 (Fig.9b). Now the unstable manifold of the saddle converges on the attracting 6-cycle, and the stable one separates the basin of the single periodic points of  $T^6$ , forming a spiral around  $\Gamma$ . This is shown in Fig.9b, obtained just after the bifurcation.



## Acknowledgments

This work has been performed as one of the activities of the national research project “Nonlinear Models in Economics and Finance: Complex Dynamics, Disequilibrium, Strategic Interaction”, MIUR, Italy and in the framework of the Joint Research Grant (0382) “Reconsideration of economic dynamics from a new perspective of nonlinear theory”, Chuo University, Tokio, Japan.



# Bibliography

- [1] Cournot, A., 1838, *Récherches sur les Principes Mathématiques de la Théorie des Richesses*, Hachette, Paris
- [2] Rand, D., 1978, Exotic phenomena in games and duopoly models, *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 5:173-184.
- [3] Puu, T., 1991, Chaos in duopoly Pricing, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 1:573-581.
- [4] Puu, T., 2003, *Attractors, Bifurcations, & Chaos-Nonlinear Phenomena in Economics*, Springer-Verlag.
- [5] Guckenheimer, J. & Holmes, P. [1997] *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields* (Springer-Verlag, New York).
- [6] Gumonowski, I. & Mira, C. [1980] *Dynamique Chaotique. Transition ordre-desordre* (Cepadues, Toulouse).
- [7] Mira, C., Gardini, L., Barugola, A. & Cathala, J. C. [1996] *Chaotic Dynamics in Two-dimensional Noninvertible Maps* (World Scientific, Singapore).



# CERUM Working Papers

Most of these are available at:

[www.umu.se/cerum/publikationer/index.html](http://www.umu.se/cerum/publikationer/index.html)

1. Einar Holm, Ulf Wiberg (Red.) (1995, in Swedish) *Samhällseffekter av Umeå universitet*
2. Örjan Pettersson, Lars Olof Persson, Ulf Wiberg (1996, in Swedish) *Närbilder av västerbottningar – materiella levnadsvillkor och hälsotillstånd i Västerbottens län*
3. Jeanette Edblad (1996) *The Political Economy of Regional Integration in Developing Countries*
4. Lena Sahlin, Lars Westin (1996, in Swedish) *Prissättning av subventionerad kultur. Vilka är de internationella erfarenheterna?*
5. Lars Westin, Mats Forsman (1997, in Swedish) *Regionerna och finansieringen av infrastrukturen: Exemplet Botniabanan*
6. Erik Bergkvist, Lars Westin (1997) *Estimation of gravity models by OLS estimation, NLS estimation, Poisson, and Neural Network specifications*
7. Niklas Nordman (1998) *Increasing Returns to Scale and Benefits to Traffic. A Spatial General Equilibrium Analysis in the Case of Two Primary Inputs*
8. Lars Westin, Niklas Nordman (1998) *The dialogue of universities with their partners: The case of Umeå University, Sweden*
9. Robert Sörensson (1998, in Swedish) *Systemanalys av godstransporter. Simulering av en uppgraderad Inlandsbana*
10. Carina Sundgren (1998, in Swedish) *Beräkning av bruttoregionprodukter för Sveriges regioner. En analys av metodvalet och regionindelningens betydelse*
11. Erik Sondell (1999, in Swedish) *Halvtidsutvärdering av Interreg-projektet Virtual Education Environment MittSkandia*
12. Erik Sondell (1999, in Swedish) *Det regionala uppdraget: En fjärde uppgift?*
13. Örjan Pettersson (1999) *Population Changes in Rural Areas in Northern Sweden 1985–1995*
14. Robert Pettersson (1999) *Foreign Second Home Purchases: The Case of Northern Sweden, 1990–96*
15. Patrik Asplund, Niklas Nordman (1999) *Attitudes toward the Third Mission: A Selection of Interviews from Seven Universities in Sweden*
16. Kent Eliasson, Magnus Johansson, Lars Westin (1999) *European Integration: Eastern Europe and the Swedish Regions*
17. Janerik Gidlund, Sverker Sörlin, Susanne Gidlund (2000, in Swedish) *Ensam hemma. Den norrländska elitens nya syn på regional utveckling*
18. Christine Hudson (2000) *The University and Regional Reciprocity*
19. Linda Helgesson (2000) *Why Some Girls Go to School and Others Don't. A study about girls' education on an upper primary level in northern Mozambique*
20. Hans Åkerlind (2000, in Swedish) *Framtidens stad*
21. Göran Aldskogius (2000) *Urban Policy in the Structural Policy of the European Union*
22. Leif Kåpe (2000, in Swedish) *Förändringar i medelstora svenska städer*
23. Örjan Pettersson, Anna Nordström, Linda Rislund (2000, in Swedish) *Utvärdering av LEADER II Stad och Land – Hand i Hand*
24. Sören Olsson (2000, in Swedish) *Stadens attraktivitet och det offentliga stadslivet*
25. Maria Asplund (2000, in Swedish) *Elektronik- och dataingenjörsutbildningen i Pajala, Studentperspektivet*
26. Lars Marcus (2000) *On Architectural Knowledge*
27. Henry Etzkowitz, Patrik Asplund, Niklas Nordman (2001) *Beyond Humboldt: Emergence of Academic Entrepreneurship in the U.S. and Sweden*
28. Maria Asplund (2001, in Swedish) *Om måluppfyllelsen för Umeå universitets elektronik- och dataingenjörsutbildning i Pajala*

29. Maria Asplund, Anna Nordström (2001, in Swedish) *Utvärdering av SAMS-projektet*
30. Eva Bergdahl, Magnus Rönn (2001, in Swedish) *Planering för funktionsintegrering – problem och utgångspunkter*
31. Maria Asplund (2001, in Swedish) *Ex Ante utvärdering av E12 Alliansen*
32. Olof Stjernström (red.), Stig-Olof Holm, Johan Håkansson, Urban Lindgren, Håkan Myrlund, Jesper Stage, Kerstin Westin, Lars Westin, Ulf Wiberg (2001, in Swedish) *Den hållbara regionen. Om förutsättningar och framtidsmöjligheter för en hållbar samhällsutveckling i Västerbottens län – ett projektförslag*
33. Gemma Francès, Ian Layton, Jordi Rosell, Joan Santana, Erik Sondell, Lourdes Viladomiu (2001) *The Measurement of On-Farm Diversification*
34. Johan Lundberg (2001) *On the Determinants of Average Income Growth and Net Migration at the Municipal Level in Sweden*
35. Johan Lundberg (2001) *A Spatial Interaction of Benefit Spillovers from Locally Provided Public Services*
36. Chris Hudson (2001, in Swedish) *Regionala partnerskap – ett hot mot eller ett förverkligande av demokrati?*
37. Krister Sandberg, Jörgen Johansson (2001) *Estimation of Hedonic Prices for Co-operative Flats in the City of Umeå with Spatial Autoregressive GMM*
38. Elin Lundmark (2002, in Swedish) *Fastighetstaxeringsvärdets spridningsmönster i centrala Umeå*
39. Ulf Wiberg (2002, in Swedish) *Hållbarhet i glesa regionala strukturer – exemplet södra Norrlandskusten*
40. Robert Sörensson (2002) *Estimation of Interregional Empty Rail Freight Car Flows*
41. Emma Lundholm (2002, in Swedish) *Den sociala ekonomin i glesa miljöer – en teoretisk diskussion*
42. Niklas Bergström (2002, in Swedish) *Kontraurbanisering i Umeåregionen*
43. Ian Layton, Linda Rislund (2002) *Socio-Economic Dimensions of Agricultural Diversification in Västerbotten, Northern Sweden*
44. Aurora Pelli (2002) *Coping with Innovative On-farm Diversification – a Qualitative Analysis of Farm Household Case Histories from Västerbotten, Sweden*
45. Linda Sandberg (2002, in Swedish) *Rädslans restriktioner – En studie av kvinnors rädsla i Umeå*
46. Martin Paju (2002, in Swedish) *Kulturmiljön och den regionala tillväxten – Länsantikvariernas syn på de regionala tillväxtavtalen*
47. Tönu Puu, Irina Sushko (2002) *A Business Cycle Model with Cubic Nonlinearity*
48. Patricia Morton (2002) *Social Life and Urban Form in a Historical Perspective*
49. Marianne Nilson, Sven-Olof Lindquist, Birgitta Elfström, Martin Paju, Lotta Braunerhielm, Jonas Grundberg (2002, in Swedish) *Kulturarvet som resurs för regional utveckling*
50. Tönu Puu, Anna Norin (2002) *Cournot Duopoly when the Competitors Operate under Capacity Constraints*
51. Irina Sushko, Tönu Puu, Laura Gardini (2002) *Business Cycles: The Hicksian Floor-Roof Model Revisited*
52. Johan Lundberg (2002) *Using Spatial Econometrics to Analyze Local Growth in Sweden*
53. Klas-Göran Sahlén (2002, in Swedish) *Hälsa På-projektet: Effekter av förebyggande hembesök hos pigga pensionärer i Nordmaling*
54. Maria Fåhraeus, Sofia Lundberg (2002, in Swedish) – *Hur gick det till? En utvärdering av Sjuksköterskeprogrammet i Lycksele*
55. Maria Fåhraeus, Martin Paju (2002, in Swedish) *Utvärdering av SAMS-projektet etapp II*
56. Günter Löffler, Daniel Schrödl (2002) *Retail Business in the Functional Region of Umeå 1985–2000*
57. Urban Lindgren (2002) *Counter-Urban Migration in the Swedish Urban System*
58. Robert Sörensson (2002)
59. Johan Lundberg (2003, in Swedish) *Samverkan mellan forskningsinstitutioner*

- och näringsliv. En litteraturoversikt och förslag till framtida forskningsinsatser*
60. Malin Eriksson (2003, in Swedish) *Socialt kapital. Teori, begrepp och mätning. En kunskapsöversikt med fokus på folkhälsa*
  61. Martin Paju (2003, in Swedish) *Utvärdering av EU-projektet E12 Alliansens verksamhet under perioden 2000-05-01–2002-07-31*
  62. Mats-Olov Olsson (2003) *Institutional Change in the Russian Forest Sector. Stakeholder Participation in Forest Policy Formulation. The Case of Tomsk*
  63. Joachim Weißer, Backa Fredrik Brandt (2003, in Swedish) *Persontrafik på Botniabanan. En analys av kundpotentialen inom järnvägskorridoren*
  64. Örjan Pettersson, Pernilla Westerberg (2003, in Swedish) *Vägar för samverkan. Storumanföretagares inställning till planeringssamarbete över kommungränsen*
  65. Tönu Puu, Laura Gardini, Irina Sushko (2003) *A Hicksian Multiplier-Accelerator Model with Floor Determined by Capital Stock and Tongues of Periodicity in a Family of Two-dimensional Discontinuous Maps of Real Möbius Type*
  66. Anna Agliari, Laura Gardini, Tönu Puu (2003) *Global Bifurcations in Duopoly when the Cournot Point is Destabilized through a Subcritical Neimark Bifurcation*





The Centre for Regional Science at Umeå University, CERUM, initiates and accomplishes research on regional development, carries out multidisciplinary research, and distributes the results to various public organisations. The research projects are pursued in interaction with the numerous scientific disciplines within the regional science field.

The [CERUM Working Paper](#) are interim reports presenting work in progress and papers that have been submitted for publication elsewhere. These reports have received only limited review and are primarily used for in-house circulation.



CERUM

CERUM; Umeå University; SE-90187 Umeå; Sweden

Ph.: +46-90-786.6079 Fax: +46-90-786.5121

Email: [regional.science@cerum.umu.se](mailto:regional.science@cerum.umu.se)

[www.umu.se/cerum](http://www.umu.se/cerum)

ISBN 91-7305-540-9

ISSN 1404-5362