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Mortality attributable to occupational exposure in Sweden

by Bengt Järvholm, MD, PhD,1 Christina Reuterwall, PhD,1 Jennie Bystedt, MD2

Original article

It has been estimated that in 2002 globally about 2 million deaths per year were attributed to occupational related diseases and 960 000 to fatal occupational accidents (1). The risk and type of disease will vary between countries and time depending on exposure and the size of the exposed population. A Finnish study estimated that in 1996 there were about 1800 work-related deaths in Finland, of which about 80 were from accidents and the rest from diseases, ie, work-related fatalities were estimated to about 7% of all work-related deaths (2). A recent UK study estimated that 5.3% of all cancer deaths in Britain were work-related (3). The Swedish Cancer Committee estimated in the 1980s that about 2% of cancer cases were work-related (4).

The exposure and risks have changed considerably over time in Sweden. Reporting of occupational fatal accidents has been mandatory since the mid-1950s and the number of deaths has decreased from about 400 in 1955 to approximately 50 per year during the last years (ie, 40 cases in 2009 and 54 cases in 2010). Furthermore, the number of persons working in manufacturing has decreased while the service sector has increased in size. The objective of the present study was to estimate the number of work-related deaths from cancer and cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in Sweden today as a basis for forming future preventive strategies.

Methods

In order to estimate occupational mortality, criteria are required to decide which diseases can be considered as being caused by occupational factors. For cancer,
there are reviews from authorities like the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), but for other diseases different criteria must be used. Another issue is to decide what fraction of each of those diseases is attributable to different occupational factors. In our study, we used estimates from published reviews and national studies or, for a few diseases, we considered all deaths attributable to occupational factors.

Cancer. For cancer, we considered sites/exposure classified as 1 or 2a according to IARC and the same sites as a recent British study (3). For cancer sites, except lung cancer and mesothelioma, there are rather few estimates of work-related attributable fractions (AF) in the literature. We primarily used AF from a Finnish and British study as we considered the work environment in those countries fairly similar to that of Sweden (2, 3). With the exception of larynx and lung cancer, we applied the AF estimates from the British study when calculating our estimates of work-related cancer mortality in the Swedish population. We preferred the British estimates over the Finnish as they were more clearly explained. For lung cancer, we used results from Swedish case reference studies, and we used the Finnish estimates for larynx cancer as they were from a Swedish case-referent study (5).

Respiratory diseases. In our analysis, we regarded all deaths resulting from pneumoconiosis and hypersensitivity pneumonitis as work-related. The only respiratory diseases we considered in our analysis apart from pneumoconiosis and alveolitis were asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). There are some studies estimating the AF for work-related asthma; a recent study concluded that 17.6% of adult-onset asthma was work-related (6). An expert group of the American Thoracic Society (ATS) estimated the work-related AF for COPD to be 15% in 2003 (7). As none of these estimates differentiated between women and men, we also used the estimate for both sexes.

Cardiovascular diseases. We chose to consider only acute myocardial infarction as there are better established work-related factors for this diagnosis. We also regarded the evidence for a causal association with work to be more established for myocardial infarction than for other cardiovascular diseases, eg, stroke. Several studies have shown an increased risk in cardiovascular diseases and “stress”, the latter has been defined in different ways between studies. The international study “Interheart” found that 9% of acute myocardial infarction cases could be attributed to occupational stress (8). Reviews have also found an association, especially among men (9, 10). Several studies have found an association between air pollution in the general environment and cardiovascular diseases due to exposure for particulates coming from, for example, motor exhausts and combustion (11). Occupational studies also indicate an increased risk for cardiovascular disease and particulates, however the origin of such particulates is more variable (12). In our analysis of work-related acute myocardial infarction, we included the following risk factors: irregular working hours including shift work (abbreviated here to “shift work”), job strain, motor exhausts, combustion products and ETS. Also causes other than those mentioned above (eg, noise) are discussed as being work-related but the evidence for causality is limited (13). We considered that the factors we selected were somewhat more established as being work-related than noise, but our choice of factors was also influenced by the availability of good AF estimates for job strain, shift work, motor exhausts, combustion products, and ETS from a national study (14–17). A Finnish study included shift work, noise, motor exhausts and ETS as work-related risk factors for ischemic heart disease (2). The total AF for all exposure linked to myocardial infarction was estimated by adding the estimates for the respective exposure.

There are two methods to estimate the AF of a disease. It can be estimated from a population-based case-referent study [(proportion of cases that are exposed) × (RR-1)/RR]. Alternatively, risk estimated from an exposed (occupational) population can be used in conjunction with estimates of the fraction of exposed persons in the general population (18). We have prioritized the use of estimates from population-based case-referent studies. Where these were not available, we used estimates of AF from two other studies from the UK and Finland respectively (2, 3).

For each disease, the number of attributable cases has been calculated from AF and the number of deaths in Sweden in 2007. The numbers of deaths will strongly depend on the oldest group especially if similar AF is used for all ages. There are rarely good data to yield separate estimates of AF for different age groups. For myocardial infarction, we did not have good national estimates for ages >75 years. Therefore, we restricted the analysis to 25–74 years of age. Separate estimates of the number of work-related deaths were calculated for women and men.

Results

In total, we estimated 207.2 male and 62.7 female cancer deaths to be work-related (table 1). Of these, 133.7 deaths (115.9 among men and 17.8 among women) were lung cancer deaths. There are four Swedish population-based case-referent studies of lung cancer among men where cases and referents have been interviewed (19–
Some studies have indicated that the number of work-related lung cancer deaths attributed to asbestos is similar in size to the number of work-related mesothelioma deaths (24). There were in total 105 male deaths of mesothelioma in 2007 in Sweden, of which 60 victims were 25–74 years old. We attributed 90% of these deaths to asbestos, the same proportion as in the Finnish and British study. Thus, applying the ratio 1:1 to lung cancer, mesothelioma would indicate about 100 asbestos-related male deaths from lung cancer in all ages. The British and Finnish studies estimated that 40–50% of male work-related lung cancer is due to asbestos exposure. If we assume that 50% of Swedish male work-related lung cancer is caused by asbestos exposure, there would be about 200 cases per year in all ages. Such an estimate of work-related male lung cancer corresponds to an AF of 11.2%. This estimate is in accordance with the estimates from earlier mentioned Swedish population-based case-referent studies and was used in our calculations. Thus, we estimated the AF for male deaths from lung cancer to be 11.2% (ie, 115.9 cases in the age group 25–74 years). Estimation of female lung cancer related to occupational exposure of asbestos using the relation to mesothelioma (1:1) indicates 4.5 cases in all ages (18 deaths in mesothelioma of which 25% were estimated to be work-related to asbestos). According to Nurminen & Karjalainen (2), ETS is an important factor for female work-related lung cancer (AF=2.0%), while Rushton et al (3) attributed ETS to 0.8% (not stratified for sex). In 1993, 10% of Swedish women reported occupational ETS exposure, compared to just 5% in 2007 (25). A relative risk of ETS around 1.25 among non-smokers and an exposure prevalence of 5% indicates an AF of 1.2% among non-smoking women [0.05 × (1.25-1)/(1+0.05 × [1.25-1])=1.2%]. If it is assumed that around 80% of women are occupation-ally active (the approximate percentage in Sweden since 1980s) and 30% of the female lung cancer cases occur among non-smokers, this would indicate around 5 cases. If the exposure prevalence is 10% it would yield about 10 cases. Thus, only asbestos and ETS would together cause about 10–15 lung cancer deaths among women of all ages. Both Nurminen & Karjalainen (2) and Rushton et al (3) estimated the AF in female lung cancer to be 3.1%, which corresponds to 52 deaths in Sweden. In our calculation, we used 30 cases in all ages or 3.1%, which is approximately the mean between an estimate based on an EF of 5.3% and the lower estimate based on ETS and asbestos (10 cases).

Overall lung cancer and mesothelioma constituted 32% of work-related cancer cases among women and 82% among men. Work-related breast cancer was 55% of all work-related cancer cases among women (table 1).

There were in total 14 pneumoconiosis deaths (13 men and 1 woman) and one hypersensitivity pneumonitis death (1 woman) in Sweden in 2007. Of these, three were ≤74 years (men with asbestosis, coal workers’ pneumoconiosis, and unspecified pneumoconiosis respectively) (table 1).

The estimated number of work-related COPD deaths was much higher than asthma (about 90 versus 4 cases). The estimated AF for acute myocardial infarction due to job strain was 14.7 and 6.7 for women and men, respectively (table 1).

### Table 1. Estimated number of work-related deaths from cancer, and cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in Sweden for men and women aged 25–74 years in 2007. [AF=attributable fraction; COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICD=International Classification of Diseases]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>(ICD 10)</th>
<th>AF (%)</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancer (total)</td>
<td>C15</td>
<td>1.1 &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3 &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>C16</td>
<td>0.3 &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.0 &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>C22</td>
<td>0.1 &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.2 &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>C30–31</td>
<td>20.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nose, nasal sinuses</td>
<td>C32</td>
<td>0.5 &lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.3 &lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>C34</td>
<td>1.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>C44</td>
<td>1.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesothelioma</td>
<td>C45</td>
<td>25.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary bladder</td>
<td>C67</td>
<td>1.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>C64</td>
<td>0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>C50</td>
<td>4.6 &lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34.5 &lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix uteri</td>
<td>C53</td>
<td>0.7 &lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8 &lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>C56</td>
<td>0.5 &lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.8 &lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>C71</td>
<td>0.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>C82</td>
<td>1.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>C91–95</td>
<td>0.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory diseases (total)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumoconiosis</td>
<td>J60–65</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
<td>J67</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>J45–46</td>
<td>17.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>J44</td>
<td>15.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction</td>
<td>I21</td>
<td>23.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> According to Rushton et al, 2010 (3).
<sup>b</sup> According to Nurminen & Karjalainen, 2001 (2).
<sup>c</sup> See text for references.
<sup>d</sup> Caused by asbestos, coal dust and dust of unknown origin.
respectively. The AF for working on non-regular times including shift work was 3.8% for women and 4.1% for men (15). For motor exhaust, the two highest categories of cumulative exposure in the population study (23% of all cases, relative risks 1.2 and 1.3) indicated an AF of 3.8–5.3. Stockholm is a large city with a lot of traffic and the risk for the entire country is probably somewhat lower. Therefore, we used a lower AF (3.5%) in our calculations, the same AF for both women and men. For other combustion products, the study reported that the AF was 4.4% for men while no estimate was given for women (14). The jobs classified in the study as being exposed to combustion products (eg, engineers, firefighters and blacksmiths) are much more common among men than women in Sweden. Thus, for women we used an AF of 0 for combustion products. For ETS, the population-based case-referent study found a relative risk of 1.3 for women and 1.4 for men (17). According to the Swedish work environment survey in 2007, 4% of women and 5% of men are exposed to ETS at the job (26). Thus, we estimated AF for occupational ETS to be 0.95% and 1.4% among women and men, respectively.

Thus, among all persons aged 25–74 years who died of cancer, respiratory diseases, or acute myocardial infarction in 2007 in Sweden, we estimated that 240.6 and 590.7 cases were work-related among women and men, respectively. This corresponds to 2.5% and 3.9% of all female and male deaths, respectively. The major cause of death was acute myocardial infarction, which constituted 52% and 57% of these work-related deaths among women and men, respectively.

Discussion

The number of work-related deaths is dependent on which diseases are considered to be work-related and the fraction thereof attributed to work. The Swedish Work Environment Authority requested that this study be conducted, and the results can be used as one component of priority processes of preventive work. Therefore, it is important that the criteria for inclusion are similar for different causes and diseases. We have been conservative in regarding diseases as work-related, and we have also used conservative estimates of the AF. Compared to the Finnish study (2), we included fewer diseases and sometimes lower AF. They concluded that 10% of male deaths were work-related while our estimate was 3.9%. However, our estimate is slightly higher for women (2.5% versus 2%). We included job strain as a cause of myocardial infarction and work during non-regular time as a cause of breast cancer, which they did not. Excluding those associations would decrease our estimate for women to only 1.3%.

For all cancer sites together, our estimate for men is lower than those of the British and Finnish studies (2, 3). This is mainly due to the lower estimate for lung cancer (AF 11.2% in our study versus 21.1 and 29.0%, respectively). However, our estimate is in accordance with the four Swedish population-based case-referent studies. A recent Italian population-based case-referent study found that just 4.9% of lung cancer cases were work-related (27). Driscoll et al (28) estimated an AF of 12% and 4% among men and women, respectively, in a country like Sweden (28). Thus, our estimate is somewhat conservative but in a range similar to other estimates.

Work-related chronic diseases are usually an effect of exposure over several years or decades. Furthermore, the concentrations of the harmful factor and the exposed population may vary over time making estimations very complex and depending on a number of assumptions. The risk estimates of lung cancer and myocardial infarction are from recent decades. We used the present ETS exposure prevalence to estimate the number of myocardial deaths attributable to ETS exposure; however, we do not know the critical time window for such exposure. For COPD, we used international population estimates that may depend on smoking prevalence, which change over time and between countries.

COPD was the major cause for work-related respiratory diseases. During recent years, exposures other than tobacco smoke have been acknowledged as a cause of COPD, among them occupational exposure to air pollutants (7, 29, 30). Pneumoconiosis is today a rare cause of death in Sweden. In 2007, there were a total of 4 deaths in silicosis and all cases were >85 years of age.

A major finding in our study is the importance of acute myocardial infarction as a work-related cause of death. According to our calculations, it constituted >50% of the work-related deaths of 25–74-year-olds in Sweden in 2007. Even if our review does not include all diseases or fatal occupational accidents (75 deaths in 2007), cardiovascular diseases seem to be a major work-related disease to consider in preventive work. We only included acute myocardial infarction because we felt there was strong evidence for that disease. It is reasonable to assume that also some cases of chronic ischemic heart disease (IHD) are work-related. If we regard the same exposure factors and apply the same AF to IHD as used in calculations for work-related myocardial infarction, the number of work-related deaths in cardiovascular diseases would double. There are also some indications that psychosocial factors increase the risk for stroke (31). We have used job strain as one measure for work-related stress. There are several studies indicating a relation between job strain and IHD including myocardial infarction and more recent studies attribute the risk mainly to demands (10).

We used population-based case-referent studies
when possible to estimate the AF. It has been argued that this gives a more reliable estimate than using relative risks from cohort studies and estimates the exposure prevalence in the population (32). However, we have not adjusted for overlapping exposure, ie, the same individual may be exposed to several factors. Thus, AF for acute myocardial infarction may be overestimated when we add all AF for the different exposures (eg, some persons have probably been exposed to shift work as well as job strain and combustion products concurrently or during different parts of their occupational career). We do not have information to adjust for that. We applied the same AF for men and women in the estimations for asthma and COPD as the published estimates are not stratified for gender. The work environment for men and women differs in Sweden. More Swedish men than women work in manufacturing, mines, and construction. If a major cause of work-related COPD is dust, one would expect different estimates for men and women. Whether our estimate means an underestimation for men or overestimation for women is uncertain. We used the estimates of AF for onset of adult asthma, while asthma-related deaths may be more common among persons who suffered from severe asthma as children. Such persons may not take jobs with high exposure to pollutants. Even if the AF for asthma-related death is overestimated, it will not change the major findings as rather few persons die from asthma.

For primary preventive work, the study highlights common factors as important for the prevention of work-related deaths, namely, job strain, working irregular working hours, exposure to air pollutants such as motor exhaust, combustion products, and dust (eg, causing COPD).

Estimates of work-related deaths have to be based on models and assumptions both about which diseases are work-related and patterns of exposure and their variability over time. Usually there are gaps both in knowledge about exposure (eg, by lack of representative measurements) and uncertainties in dose–response relationships. Therefore, estimates of numbers are just an indication of magnitudes. We have prioritized methods that are easy to repeat and avoided complex models to make it possible for the readers themselves to judge possible influence and size of other assumptions. For example, our estimates are too low for cardiovascular disease if you consider chronic IHD to be work-related to some extent. When we have had alternatives, we have usually used estimates that tend to underestimate the number of work-related deaths. Our findings are results from exposure sometimes several decades ago, eg, for asbestos-related cancer. If the findings are used for prioritizing preventive work, such issues must be kept in mind when considering the preventive potential for the studied factors.

We have included acute myocardial infarction, cancer and respiratory diseases in our analysis and used rather conservative estimates. Adding the 75 fatal occupational accidents in 2007 to the numbers, it seems reasonable to conclude that at least around 900 deaths (3.6%) are work-related each year in Sweden among individuals aged 25–74 years.
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