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Elongation factor P is required for ribosomes to synthesize polyproline-containing proteins. Here, Starosta et al. reveal that only one polyproline-containing protein is invariant throughout the three domains of life, namely the essential valine tRNA synthetase ValS, suggesting that the invariant proline triplet in ValS may explain the coevolution of EF-P.
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SUMMARY

Bacterial ribosomes stall on polyproline stretches and require the elongation factor P (EF-P) to relieve the arrest. Yet it remains unclear why evolution has favored the development of EF-P rather than selecting against the occurrence of polyproline stretches in proteins. We have discovered that only a single polyproline stretch is invariant across all domains of life, namely a proline triplet in ValS, the tRNA synthetase, that charges tRNAVal with valine. Here, we show that expression of ValS in vivo and in vitro requires EF-P and demonstrate that the proline triplet located in the active site of ValS is important for efficient charging of tRNAVal with valine and preventing formation of mischarged Thr-tRNAVal as well as efficient growth of E. coli in vivo. We suggest that the critical role of the proline triplet for ValS activity may explain why bacterial cells coevolved the EF-P rescue system.

INTRODUCTION

Polymerization of amino acids by ribosomes to form polypeptide chains is a fundamental process in all cells. Ribosomes can polymerize most polypeptide chains without difficulty, but distinct amino acid combinations pose serious problems. For example, three or more consecutive proline residues induce translational arrest by preventing peptide-bond formation (Doerfel et al., 2013; Peil et al., 2013). Ribosome stalling results from the slow rate of peptide-bond formation between the peptidyl-Pro-Pro-tRNA located in the P site and the Pro-tRNA in the A site (Doerfel et al., 2013). Ribosome stalling in the absence of elongation factor P (EF-P) has also been observed at diprolyl motifs (XPPY), with the efficiency of stalling dependent on the nature of the amino acid located before (X) and after (Y) the diprolyl motif (PP) (Hersch et al., 2013; Peil et al., 2013; Woolstenhulme et al., 2013). The translational arrest at PPP and XPPY motifs was in all cases relieved by the presence of the translation elongation factor EF-P (Peil et al., 2013), which binds to the stalled ribosomes and stimulates peptide-bond formation (Doerfel et al., 2013; Ude et al., 2013). A conserved lysine residue of Escherichia coli EF-P is subject to posttranslational modification by YjeA, YjeK, and YfcM (EpmA, EpmB, and EpmO) (Navarre et al., 2010; Peil et al., 2012; Yanagisawa et al., 2010), and this lysinylation modification is required for the rescue activity of EF-P (Doerfel et al., 2013; Peil et al., 2013; Ude et al., 2013). The equivalent lysine of the ortholog of EF-P in archaea and eukaryotes, IF-5A (a/ef-5A), is also posttranslationally modified, but via hypusinylation rather than lysinylation (Park et al., 2010). In yeast, the posttranslational modification of eIF-5A is also critical for rescue of ribosomes stalled on polyproline stretches (Gutierrez et al., 2013). Moreover, the associated archaeal and eukaryotic enzymes, deoxyhypusine synthase (DHS) and deoxyhypusine hydroxylase (DOHH), are evolutionarily unrelated to their bacterial counterparts (Park et al., 2010). Thus, nature has evolved not only specialized translation factors to overcome stalling at polyproline stretches but also independent sets of modification enzymes to activate these factors. This in itself implies that the benefits of retaining polyproline stretches significantly outweigh the cost of implementing and maintaining the EF-P and a/ef5A rescue systems.

RESULTS

Conservation of Polyproline Stretches

In order to understand which proteins have in fact retained a polyproline stretch (three or more consecutive prolines) throughout evolution, we initially examined the number and conservation of polyproline-containing proteins across 1,273 completely sequenced bacterial genomes. As seen in Figure 1A, the number of polyproline-containing proteins varies across the different bacterial phyla and is generally higher in bacteria with larger genomes, for example, the delta-proteobacterium Sorangium cellulosum, which has the largest sequenced genome to date (8,367 protein-coding genes [CDS]) (Schneiker et al.,...
2007), has the most polyproline-containing proteins (i.e., 2,406 occurrences in 1,779 of the 9,367 proteins, or 19%). By comparison, a typical K12 E. coli strain has 0.05% polyproline-containing proteins from a total of 4,100 CDS (2%). Curiously, we identified 19 bacterial genomes ranging in size from 182 to 2,013 CDS encoding only a single polyproline-containing protein (0.05%–0.5%) (Figures 1A and 1B). These include both free-living bacteria of the Mycoplasma genus as well as many obligate endosymbiotic bacteria, such as Carsonella ruddii, which cohabit with sap-feeding insects, such as aphids, psyllids, and cicadas (Figure 1B). In all cases, the single polyproline-containing CDS was identified as ValS (E. coli nomenclature), the Val-tRNA synthetase that aminoacylates tRNA Val with the amino acid valine. The valS gene, encoding ValS, is an essential gene (Gerdes et al., 2003) and is correspondingly present in all domains of life. The presence and location of the proline triplet in ValS is invariant not only in bacteria but also in the 205 archaeal and 98 eukaryotic genomes that we analyzed (Figure 1C). In fact, the proline triplet has a higher conservation than the “HIGH” motif that defines ValS as a class 1 tRNA synthetase (Arnez and Moras, 1997). No conserved polyproline stretches longer than a triplet were identified. The protein with the next-highest conservation of a polyproline stretch was the translational GTPase LepA, which contains a proline triplet in 65% of the 1,273 sequenced genomes, and, unlike valS, the lepA gene is not essential for viability (Baba et al., 2006). Given that XPPY motifs other than PPP also cause stalling (albeit at lower levels than PPP), which is relieved by EF-P (Peil et al., 2013), we also analyzed the bacterial genomes for conservation of XPPY motifs. We detected only one diprolyl motif as being correspondingly conserved in all domains of life, namely, the GPP motif found within IleS, the Ile-tRNA synthetase that aminoacylates tRNA Ile with the amino acid isoleucine. The presence and location of the GPP motif in IleS is homologous to the PPP motif in ValS, also being located adjacent to the HIGH motif (Figure 1C). We have previously demonstrated that ribosomes stall only weakly at GPP motifs in the absence of EF-P, whereas much stronger stalling is observed at PPP motifs (Peil et al., 2013).

ValS Expression Is Dependent on Modified EF-P

To show that active EF-P is in fact required for ValS expression, we analyzed our previous stable isotope labeling and mass...
The Proline Triplet Is Located at the Active Site of ValS

If the EF-P rescue system really evolved to allow the proline triplet in ValS to be retained, this would imply that the proline triplet in ValS is critically important for function, as indicated by its universal conservation. Crystal structures of *T. thermophilus* ValS reveal that the proline triplet (P41–P43, *E. coli* numbering) resides in the active site of ValS, namely where valine is activated by ATP to form valyl-AMP and then transferred to tRNAVal to form Val-tRNAVal (Figure 2A) (Fukai et al., 2000, 2003). The side chain of Pro41 of ValS contacts the γ1-CH3 group of valyl-AMP, whereas Pro42 and Pro43 appear to also contribute to the stable binding of valyl-AMP by positioning the γ-CO group of Pro42 to hydrogen bond with the γ-NH3 group of valyl-AMP (Figure 2A) (Fukai et al., 2000, 2003). In IleS, the presence of Gly45, rather than Pro, allows accommodation of isoleucyl-AMP (Figure 2B) (Nureki et al., 1998; Silvian et al., 1999), consistent with the high conservation of the GPP motif in Ile-tRNA synthetases (Figure 1C). In contrast, accommodation of isoleucyl-AMP in ValS is less favorable due to the close distance between Pro41 and the γ1-CH3 group of isoleucyl-AMP (Figure 2C), which led to the proposed role of Pro41 in ValS in the discrimination between valine and isoleucine (Fukai et al., 2000). In a simplified model, one might predict that mutation of P41G in ValS should increase the level of misincorporation of isoleucine (Figure 2D); however, this has not been tested.

Critical Importance of the Proline Triplet for ValS Charging Activity

To experimentally validate the role of Pro41 as well as the general functional importance of the proline triplet for ValS activity, we generated the three single ValS Pro-to-Gly mutants (ValS-GPP, -PGP and -GGG) as well as a triple PPP-to-GGG mutant (ValS-GGG). The ability of the ValS mutants to charge tRNAVal with [14C]valine was assessed and compared to wild-type ValS (Figure 2E). Under our reaction conditions at 37°C, maximal charging of tRNAVal with [14C]valine by wild-type ValS occurred within the first minute (Figure 2E). In contrast, all ValS mutants were less efficient than wild-type; the ValS-PGP and -GGG mutants were completely devoid of activity, whereas the ValS-PGP and -GGP mutants retained some activity but at lower levels than the wild-type ValS (Figure 2E).

Charging of tRNAVal by ValS occurs in two steps. First, valine is activated with ATP to form Val-AMP, leading to the release of pyrophosphate (Figure 2F). Second, the valine is then transferred to tRNAVal to form Val-tRNAVal, with a concomitant release of AMP (Figure 2G). Initially, we employed thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and γ[32P]-ATP to monitor the release of pyrophosphate ([γ[32P]]PP) by wild-type ValS, or the mutant ValS-GPP, in the presence of valine, and in the absence or presence of tRNAVal (Figure 2F). Pyrophosphate (PPI) release is monitored indirectly by treatment with pyrophosphatase that converts the PPI to inorganic monophosphate (Pi), which is then visualized directly by TLC. As seen in Figure 2F, Pi formation is observed only for wild-type ValS in the presence of the pyrophosphatase and, as expected, is stimulated by the presence of tRNAVal. The migration position of Pi on the TLC was determined using a control reaction of [γ[32P]]-ATP treated with Apyrase, an ATP diphosphatase that hydrolyzes ATP.
sequentially to yield AMP and Pi. Surprisingly, the ValS-GPP mutant was observed to produce large quantities of \( \gamma^{32P} \)-containing material that migrated at a position similar to but distinct from Pi (termed Pi*). Moreover, formation of Pi* by the ValS-GPP mutant was independent of pyrophosphatase treatment or the presence of tRNAVal (Figure 2 F). Pi* was also produced by the ValS-PGP and ValS-PPG mutants in a pyrophosphatase- and tRNAVal-independent fashion, whereas the ValS-GGG mutant was virtually inactive (Figure S2A). Based on the retention factor (Rf) of Pi* (Rf 0.69), we can conclude that Pi* is not AMP (Rf 0.83), ADP (Rf 0.17), ATP (Rf 0), or monophosphate Pi (Rf 0.56). Furthermore, Pi* cannot be PPI, since PPI is not observable on our TLCs and addition of pyrophosphatase does not influence the migration position of Pi* (Figure 2 F). PPI generated by tRNA synthetases has been reported to attack ATP and ADP to generate diadenosine polyphosphates, such as Ap3A and Ap4A via phosphorolysis (Plateau and Blanquet, 1976); however, we can exclude that Pi* is Ap3A or Ap4A, since these compounds are resistant to phosphatase treatment whereas Pi* is not (Figure S2B). Further experiments will be required to determine the exact nature of Pi*.

Since the ValS mutants produce Pi*, rather than PPI, we reasoned that the ValS mutants may also catalyze a reaction other than canonical ATP to AMP, as catalyzed by a ValS-tRNA synthetase. To address this, charging assays were performed as before but using \( \alpha^{32P} \)-ATP instead of \( \gamma^{32P} \)-ATP. Each reaction contained the amino acid valine, together with either wild-type ValS or one of the ValS mutants, and was performed in the absence or presence of tRNAVal. As expected, wild-type ValS produced AMP only in the presence of tRNAVal, whereas the ValS-GGG mutant was virtually inactive (Figure 2F). Each reaction contained the amino acid valine, together with either wild-type ValS or one of the ValS mutants, and was performed in the absence or presence of tRNAVal. ATP at the origin indicates where the samples were loaded onto the TLC plate. In (F), the migration position of Pi is determined by treatment of \( \gamma^{32P} \)-ATP with Apyrase (A), and in (G), the migration of AMP and ADP was determined by treatment of \( \alpha^{32P} \)-ATP with increasing concentrations of Apyrase.

Figure 2. PPP at the Active Site of ValS Is Required for Efficient tRNA Charging
(A) Location of PPP in the active site of ValS relative to Val-AMP (Protein Data Bank [PDB] ID 1GAX) (Fukai et al., 2000).
(B) Active site of IleS with Ile-AMP (1JZQ) (Nakama et al., 2001).
(C) ValS from (A) but with superimposed position of Ile-AMP from (B).
(D) Experiments performed as in (C) but with in silico Pro41Gly mutation.
(E) Charging efficiency of tRNAVal with valine by wild-type (WT) ValS and ValS mutants as a function of time (min).
(F and G) Autoradiograph of TLC separation of (F) \( \gamma^{32P} \)-Pi from \( \gamma^{32P} \)-ATP, and (G) \( \alpha^{32P} \)-AMP and \( \alpha^{32P} \)-ADP from \( \alpha^{32P} \)-ATP, when wild-type ValS (WT), or ValS mutan(t)s were incubated with valine, in the absence (–) and presence (+) of pyrophosphatase (PPi-ase) and/or deacylated tRNAVal. ATP at the origin indicates where the samples were loaded onto the TLC plate. In (F), the migration position of Pi is determined by treatment of \( \gamma^{32P} \)-ATP with Apyrase (A), and in (G), the migration of AMP and ADP was determined by treatment of \( \alpha^{32P} \)-ATP with increasing concentrations of Apyrase.
and also occurred when no amino acid was present (Figure S2C). Moreover, the $^{32}$P-ADP phosphorylated by the ValS-GPP and -PPG mutants could be converted to $^{32}$P-AMP by Apyrase (Figure S2D). In summary, these assays suggest that mutations within the conserved proline triplet of ValS not only reduce the efficiency of tRNA charging but also cause the ValS mutants to nonproductively hydrolyze ATP to ADP.

The Proline Triplet Is Important for the Editing Activity of ValS

To test the hypothesis that mutation of Pro41 in ValS distinguishes between valine and isoleucine (Figure 2D), we performed mischarging assays where $[^{14}C]$isoleucine replaced $[^{14}C]$valine. No mischarging of tRNA$^{Val}$ with $[^{14}C]$isoleucine by wild-type ValS, or any of the ValS mutants, was observed (data not shown). Furthermore, introduction of T222P mutation that disables the ValS editing function did not promote Ile-tRNA$^{Val}$ formation (data not shown), consistent with Ile not being a substrate for the editing domain of ValS (Tardif et al., 2001). Similarly, isoleucine did not stimulate AMP formation by ValS-GPP (Figure S2E), collectively suggesting that Pro41 is not the only residue in ValS involved in discrimination of valine from isoleucine. On the other hand, threonine, which is isosteric with valine, is readily activated by ValS and transferred to tRNA$^{Val}$, but the mischarged Thr-tRNA$^{Val}$ that forms is rapidly deacylated by the editing domain of ValS (Fersht and Kaethner, 1976) (Figures 3A and 3B). Indeed, in mischarging assays where $[^{14}C]$threonine replaced $[^{14}C]$valine, we observed a low rate of Thr-tRNA$^{Val}$ formation by wild-type ValS, which was enhanced when the editing domain was disabled by the T222P mutation (Döring et al., 2001) (Figures 3B and 3C). Formation of Thr-tRNA$^{Val}$ was also enhanced by the ValS-GPP and -PPG mutations. The introduction of the T222P mutation in ValS-GPP did not further enhance mischarging, indicating that the GPP mutation may disable the editing function of ValS (Figure 3C). Surprisingly, mischarging was suppressed when the T222P was introduced into the ValS-PPG mutant (Figure 3C), suggesting an intricate communication exists between the activation and editing domains of ValS. This interplay was also observed in the TLC analyses measuring the misactivation of threonine, namely, in that the nonproductive ADP formation by the ValS-GPP and -PPG mutants was suppressed in the presence of the additional T222P mutation (Figure 3D). Collectively, the in vitro assays indicate that the conserved proline triplet of ValS is important not only for efficient tRNA charging but also for communication with the editing domain to ensure efficient deacylation of mischarged Thr-tRNA$^{Val}$.

The Proline Triplet of ValS Is Important for Viability of E. coli

Given the defects of the ValS mutants in vitro, we assessed their functionality in vivo using a genetic complementation system (Figure 4A). The ΔvalS E. coli strain was complemented with a plasmid bearing ValS containing an N-terminal 6xHistidine (6xHis) tag and small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) tag (Hay, 2005) followed by a WFCWS linker. The resulting JL001 strain (Table S1) was viable but exhibited a slightly reduced growth rate, presumably because of the low expression level of the plasmid-encoded ValS (Figure 4B). Next a plasmid expressing Ulp1 (pUlp1) was introduced into JL001. Ulp1 encodes a SUMO-specific protease that cleaves the SUMO tag from ValS exposing the N-terminal WFCWS sequence motif that, in accordance with the N-end degradation rule, leads to recognition and degradation of the ValS protein by the ClpAP protease (Doughan et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2007) (Figure 4A). Under the conditions used, western blotting against the 6xHis tag indicated a rapid loss of ValS protein in the cell within minutes of induction of Ulp1 expression (Figure 4B). Next a plasmid expressing a control plasmid together with an additional plasmid bearing an IPTG-inducible copy of either the wild-type ValS (pValS-PPP; Figure 4C)
or one of the ValS mutants (ValS-GPP, -PQP, -PPG, or -GGG; Figures 4D–4G). As expected, the presence of an additional copy of the wild-type ValS (pValS-PPP) could rescue the growth of JL001 following the degradation of the SUMO-ValS that occurs upon induction of Ulp1 expression (Figure 4C). Similarly, pValS-GPP was also able to rescue growth upon Ulp1 expression (Figure 4D), albeit not as efficiently as pValS-PPP. By contrast, the pValS-PGP, -PPG, and -GGG displayed little or no ability to rescue growth in the absence of wild-type ValS (Figures 4E–4G). Unfortunately, attempts to transform plasmid libraries of pValS-XXX mutants to select for viable mutations of the proline triplet failed; however, given the ability of the ValS-GPP mutant to rescue, we therefore generated all possible pValS-XPP mutants and monitored their ability to rescue growth in the absence of wild-type ValS (Figure 4H). Of the 20 pValS-XPP mutants tested, six mutants (PPP, GPP, APP, SPP, CPP, and TPP) rescued growth in the absence of wild-type ValS, with only APP and SPP being able to sustain growth at levels comparable to PPP and GPP (Figure 4H).

**DISCUSSION**

We identified only a single polyproline stretch that is invariant across all bacterial genomes, namely a proline triplet present in ValS, the Val-tRNA synthetase (Figures 1B and 1C), and demonstrated that efficient expression of ValS in vivo and in vitro requires the presence of EF-P. Since the PPP triplet in ValS is invariant in bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes (Figure 1C), this leads us to propose that EF-P and a/eIF-5A may have initially (co)evolved to facilitate primarily the expression of ValS. We cannot, however, exclude that other polyproline-containing proteins contributed to the evolution of EF-P or that EF-P and a/eIF-5A evolved to facilitate expression of another protein that contained a polyproline-stretch at the time but that was subsequently lost from some or all of the currently available bacterial genomes.

Regardless of whether EF-P and a/eIF-5A evolved to facilitate expression of ValS or not, our study nevertheless identified a highly conserved PPP motif located in the active site of ValS (Figure 2A) that is critical for the tRNA charging activity (Figure 2E) and productive ATPase activity (Figures 2F and 2G) of ValS. Although comparisons of ValS and IleS led to the suggestion that Pro41 of ValS is important for the discrimination of valine from isoleucine (Fukai et al., 2000), we find no evidence to support this. Instead, we find that mutations within the PPP motif of ValS enhance formation of mischarged Thr-tRNA Val (Figure 3C). Moreover, we could also demonstrate that the T222P mutation within the editing site of ValS could suppress the nonproductive formation of ADP by the ValS mutants (Figure 4D) and in the case of ValS-PGP was even able to rescue the editing.
activity (Figure 4C). Collectively, these findings suggest an intimate communication between the aminoacylation and editing sites on ValS that warrants further study.

Consistent with our in vitro assays, the ValS-GPP mutant was able to rescue growth and viability of an *E. coli* strain lacking wild-type valS gene, but not as efficiently as ValS-PPP, whereas ValS-PGP, -PPG, and -GGG displayed little or no rescue phenotype. Testing of all possible ValS-XPP mutants demonstrated that it is possible to select for alternative motifs, such as APP or SPP, that support viability of *E. coli* under optimal conditions; however, it remains to be tested whether such mutants are also impaired in tRNA charging activities as observed for the ValS-GPP mutant.

The critical importance of the proline triplet of ValS for tRNA charging and viability of *E. coli* would provide an explanation for why nature needed to coevolve EF-P and a/eIF-5A to facilitate Ile-tRNA synthetase, phylogenetic analysis using FastTree (Price et al., 2010).

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

#### Oligonucleotides, Plasmids, and Bacterial Strains

Primers, plasmids, and strains used in this study are listed in Table S1.

### Bioinformatic Analysis

To search for ValRS protein sequences and determine the conservation of the PPP motif, 1,273 bacterial, 205 archaeal, and 98 eukaryotic genomes were searched with a hidden Markov model (HMM) profile (Eddy, 1998) with an E value cutoff of e-70 as described previously. The HMM was generated from a MAFFT (Katoh et al., 2005) alignment of ValRS homologs identified in an initial BlastP search (Altschul et al., 1990). As the HMM also hits the close relative ile-tRNA synthetase, phylogenetic analysis using FastTree (Price et al., 2010) was carried out to extract sequences from the ValRS clade of orthologs. The resulting sequences were aligned, and the PPP motif region was inspected for conservation.

### tRNA^Val^ Charging Assays

Charging reactions and assays to monitor aminoadenylate formation were performed as described previously (Splan et al., 2008).

### Growth Conditions

Lysogeny broth (LB) was used as complex medium (Bertani, 1951) and modified with NaCl. When indicated, LB was supplemented with 0.4% (w/v) glucose as a carbon source to suppress Ulp1 expression before induction with L-arabinose 0.2%. A total of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to induce expression of pQE70-ValS (pValS-PPP) and GPP, PGP, PPG, and GGG variants. Antibiotics were used when necessary with the following concentrations: 100 μg/ml ampicillin sodium salt, 50 μg/ml kanamycin sulfate, 34 μg/ml chloramphenicol, 20 μg/ml gentamycin sulfate.

### ValS Silencing

*E. coli* BW2113 was used to delete valS via pRED/ET recombination technology. Due to the fact that *valS* is an essential gene, gene deletion was performed in a strain containing plasmid pBR1MCS-5-PT7-SUMO-WFCWS-valS encoding SUMO-ValS (ValS*). The resultant strain JL001 was cotransformed with pBAD33 and pBBR1MCS-5-PT7-SUMO-WFCWS-valS and pBBR1MCS-5-PT7-SUMO-WFCWS-valS were analyzed.

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, three figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.09.008.
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