



<http://www.diva-portal.org>

This is the published version of a paper published in *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*.

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Rutegård, M., Rutegård, J. (2015)

Anastomotic leakage in rectal cancer surgery: The role of blood perfusion.

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 7(11): 289-292

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v7.i11.289>

Access to the published version may require subscription.

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

Permanent link to this version:

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:umu:diva-114917>

Anastomotic leakage in rectal cancer surgery: The role of blood perfusion

Martin Rutegård, Jörgen Rutegård

Martin Rutegård, Jörgen Rutegård, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Umeå University, S-90187 Umeå, Sweden

Author contributions: Rutegård M conceived and wrote the article; Rutegård J edited and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflict of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Correspondence to: Martin Rutegård, MD, PhD, Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Umeå University, SE-901-87, S-90187 Umeå, Sweden. martin.rutegard@surgery.umu.se
Telephone: +46-90-7858628
Fax: +46-90-7851156

Received: May 20, 2015

Peer-review started: May 20, 2015

First decision: July 10, 2015

Revised: July 12, 2015

Accepted: September 29, 2015

Article in press: September 30, 2015

Published online: November 27, 2015

Abstract

Anastomotic leakage after anterior resection for rectal cancer remains a common and often devastating complication. Preoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage have been studied extensively and are used for patient selection, especially whether to perform a diverting stoma or not. From the current literature,

data suggest that perfusion in the rectal stump rather than in the colonic limb may be more important for the integrity of the colorectal anastomosis. Moreover, available research suggests that the mid and upper rectum is considerably more vascularized than the lower part, in which the posterior compartment seems most vulnerable. These data fit neatly with the observation that anastomotic leaks are far more frequent in patients undergoing total compared to partial mesorectal excision, and also that most leaks occur dorsally. Clinical judgment has been shown to ineffectively assess anastomotic viability, while promising methods to measure blood perfusion are evolving. Much interest has recently been turned to near-infrared light technology, enhanced with fluorescent agents, which enables intraoperative perfusion assessment. Preliminary data are promising, but large-scale controlled trials are lacking. With maturation of such technology, perfusion measurements may in the future inform the surgeon whether anastomoses are at risk. In high colorectal anastomoses, anastomotic revision might be feasible, while a diverting stoma could be fashioned selectively instead of routinely for low anastomoses.

Key words: Anastomotic leakage; Blood perfusion; Rectal cancer; Anterior resection; Diverting stoma

© **The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Anastomotic leakage after anterior resection for rectal cancer is still common. Several preoperative risk factors may inform the surgeon of the leakage risk. The surgeon might choose to perform a diverting stoma to mitigate this risk, or to construct an end colostomy and thus avoid an anastomosis altogether. Intraoperatively, clinical judgment of the viability of the anastomosis is not reliable. However, research using blood perfusion measurement technology has evolved in recent years; technology using near-infrared light seems to be promising, allowing assessment

of the bowel perfusion. In the future, such technology may aid in the decision-making concerning colorectal anastomoses.

Rutegård M, Rutegård J. Anastomotic leakage in rectal cancer surgery: The role of blood perfusion. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2015; 7(11): 289-292 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v7/i11/289.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v7.i11.289>

INTRODUCTION

Anterior resection is considered standard procedure for patients with cancer in the mid and high rectum. With the advent of the total mesorectal excision (TME) technique, complications such as anastomotic leakage have been increasing in frequency^[1]; current population-based studies indicate rates of around 10%-11%^[2,3]. The impact of anastomotic leakage is considerable, leading to major morbidity and mortality^[4]. Anastomotic breakdown is a multifactorial event, influenced by patient factors as well as surgical technique^[5,6], although the pathogenesis has not been clearly elucidated. Axiomatically, the fundamental principles of a successful anastomosis entail anastomosing two ends of healthy bowel with adequate blood supply and lack of tension after union. The former aspect has been the subject of considerable debate but perhaps less investigation. Surgeons' ability to predict anastomotic leakage by judging the appearance of the serosa has been shown to be highly unreliable^[7]; in current practice, only risk factor appraisal is available to guide the surgeon when making decisions whether to, *e.g.*, perform a diverting stoma, revise the anastomosis, or fashion an end colostomy. However, the advent of new studies and technologies may soon provide surgeons with effective means of assessing anastomotic viability.

Blood flow measurement technology

A plethora of methods has been used to determine blood flow or oxygenation in general surgery^[8]. The most commonly used method has been laser-Doppler flowmetry (LDF), the principle of which is to measure the Doppler shift - the frequency change that light waves undergo when reflected by moving objects, *e.g.*, red blood cells. Laser light is emitted and the backscattered light is collected, producing an output signal that is proportional to the number and velocity of the moving blood cells in the measured volume. The method has proven to be reproducible and has been correlated with other flow measurements, but LDF measurements are easily perturbed by motion artefacts and require direct tissue contact, which may disturb local blood flow. In order to measure oxygenation, visible light spectrophotometry offers shallow penetration of tissue at the capillary level, while near-infrared (NIR) light goes deeper and allows for a global oxygenation assessment.

Spectrophotometry systems employ devices that emit light on or near the bowel wall - this light penetrates, diffuses and is subsequently analysed as it re-emerges variably coloured, according to the oxygenation level. In combination with injection of fluorescent agents, perfusion may also be evaluated by the NIR technique, which has lately been introduced into clinical studies^[8].

Vascular anatomy and the anastomosis

The importance of the knowledge of gross vascular anatomy cannot be overstated. Much attention has been directed at the colonic limb of the colorectal anastomosis, as evidenced by the controversy surrounding high ligation of the inferior mesenteric artery - high arterial ligation may compromise blood supply to the oral part of the anastomosis, if the sigmoid or descending colon is used and the marginal artery is not present or patent.

A Japanese group performed LDF on patients operated for cancer of the rectum and the sigmoid colon; colonic measurements were made before and after clamping, and showed marked reductions in perfusion after clamping, particularly for high tie patients^[9]. Similar methodology was used by a Dutch group, but these authors compared measurements made immediately after laparotomy to measurements made before fashioning the anastomosis, and found that there were blood flow reductions in high tie patients; however, low tie patients displayed an increase in blood flow, a difference between groups that was statistically significant^[10].

Observational studies on the clinical impact of high ligation have not consistently shown that this is a risk factor for anastomotic leakage^[3,11,12], while no randomized clinical trial data are available. It is entirely possible that any perfusion compromise is uncommon due to collateral networks and also that surgeons adjust the colonic resection margins when faced with perfusion loss; thus, any perfusion disadvantage rendered by the high tie on the oral part of the anastomosis might be mitigated.

Using the TME technique, dissection at the level of the pelvic floor is sometimes extensive. The rectal blood supply after anterior resection is dependent on the inferior and the variable medial rectal arteries, but perfusion to the different parts of the rectum is not equally distributed. Angiographic findings suggest that the lower rectum has a sparse network of intramural collaterals, in contrast to the more vascularized upper and mid rectum^[13]; this might explain the lower leak rate when performing partial mesorectal excision (PME), an oncologically feasible alternative for tumours in the upper rectum^[14]. Moreover, the dorsocaudal aspect of the rectum is sparsely perfused^[15], lending biological rationale to the clinical experience that most anastomotic leaks are located in the posterior aspect of the rectum^[16]. Furthermore, laser-Doppler blood flow measurements recently made by our group have indicated that TME surgery, as compared to PME, markedly reduces perfusion in the posterior quadrant of the rectum^[17].

An Italian group considered both the proximal and distal circulations in surgery for rectosigmoid cancers, where TME surgery was performed for cancers in the middle and lower rectum. Low tie was routinely performed, and measurements were made at the colonic serosa in and at the rectal mucosa, after division of the artery and before fashioning the anastomosis. The authors noted that most patients displayed colonic as well as rectal blood flow reduction, but the latter was more predictive of anastomotic leaks^[18].

More recently, there have been several studies on NIR with fluorescent agents in the setting of colorectal surgery in general, including anterior resection. In a large series of open colorectal procedures, imaging of the bowel serosa prompted surgeons to revise transection margins in 16% of cases; reoperation for anastomotic leakage was decidedly less common in the group using this technique, compared to matched but historical controls^[19]. As the bowel wall is difficult to assess aborally to the anastomosis in particularly low anterior resection, mucosal evaluation might be more important. Initial experiences have shown that reliable imaging of the perianastomotic region could be achieved^[20], and suggested that revision of anastomoses, which displayed questionable perfusion, decreased leak rates^[21]; in another study on NIR, the perceived imaging results provided confidence to avoid a diverting stoma in low anterior resection cases^[22]. These studies all share small sample sizes and results cannot be validly extrapolated. However, the largest and most recent study to date on NIR included 139 laparoscopic colorectal resections, where all anastomoses were evaluated; in eleven patients, poor perfusion changed operative strategy, in most cases leading to an altered transection margin. In these patients, no leaks were detected^[23]. However, no control group was enrolled and most anastomoses were high, making even this study difficult to apply to low rectal cancer. Arguably, the very low anastomoses may be challenging to revise, as any attempt may lead to a short and possibly damaged rectal stump; this would subsequently demand a purse string suture, hand-sewn under pressure, in order to be able to insert another circular stapler.

Future implications

Preoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage have been identified^[24], and serve as a means to select patients to either anterior resection or operation with end colostomy. The unselected use of a diverting stoma in low anterior resections seem to reduce anastomotic leakage in a trial setting^[25], while recent audits provide data that favour more selective use, tailored to the individual patient risk factor profile^[26].

Ideally, the experimental data on rectal perfusion above could be translated into clinical practice. First, the anatomical knowledge on rectal vasculature may inform the surgeon that deep extensive dissection in the posterior aspect of the rectal stump may be potentially harmful. Second, blood flow measurements before and

after the construction of the anastomosis could inform the surgeon that this particular anastomosis is at risk, and subsequently the case for anastomotic revision (for high anastomoses) or a diverting stoma (for low anastomoses) could be stronger. Presently, it seems that the evolving NIR methodology may offer such an opportunity in the near future. Naturally, such a strategy would need extensive support from more experimental and clinical data, but would provide a valuable tool for the colorectal surgeon.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Carlsen E**, Schlichting E, Guldvog I, Johnson E, Heald RJ. Effect of the introduction of total mesorectal excision for the treatment of rectal cancer. *Br J Surg* 1998; **85**: 526-529 [PMID: 9607540 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.1998.00601.x]
- 2 **Bertelsen CA**, Andreassen AH, Jørgensen T, Harling H. Anastomotic leakage after anterior resection for rectal cancer: risk factors. *Colorectal Dis* 2010; **12**: 37-43 [PMID: 19175624 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2008.01711.x]
- 3 **Rutegård M**, Hemmingsson O, Matthiessen P, Rutegård J. High tie in anterior resection for rectal cancer confers no increased risk of anastomotic leakage. *Br J Surg* 2012; **99**: 127-132 [PMID: 22038493 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.7712]
- 4 **den Dulk M**, Marijnen CA, Collette L, Putter H, Pahlman L, Folkesson J, Bosset JF, Rödel C, Bujko K, van de Velde CJ. Multicentre analysis of oncological and survival outcomes following anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer surgery. *Br J Surg* 2009; **96**: 1066-1075 [PMID: 19672927 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.6694]
- 5 **Matthiessen P**, Hallböök O, Andersson M, Rutegård J, Sjö Dahl R. Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after anterior resection of the rectum. *Colorectal Dis* 2004; **6**: 462-469 [PMID: 15521937 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2004.00657.x]
- 6 **Davis B**, Rivadeneira DE. Complications of colorectal anastomoses: leaks, strictures, and bleeding. *Surg Clin North Am* 2013; **93**: 61-87 [PMID: 23177066 DOI: 10.1016/j.suc.2012.09.014]
- 7 **Karliczek A**, Harlaar NJ, Zeebregts CJ, Wiggers T, Baas PC, van Dam GM. Surgeons lack predictive accuracy for anastomotic leakage in gastrointestinal surgery. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2009; **24**: 569-576 [PMID: 19221768 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-009-0658-6]
- 8 **Urbanavičius L**, Pattyn P, de Putte DV, Venskutonis D. How to assess intestinal viability during surgery: A review of techniques. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2011; **3**: 59-69 [PMID: 21666808 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v3.i5.59]
- 9 **Seike K**, Koda K, Saito N, Oda K, Kosugi C, Shimizu K, Miyazaki M. Laser Doppler assessment of the influence of division at the root of the inferior mesenteric artery on anastomotic blood flow in rectosigmoid cancer surgery. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2007; **22**: 689-697 [PMID: 17082922 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-006-0221-7]
- 10 **Komen N**, Sliker J, de Kort P, de Wilt JH, van der Harst E, Coene PP, Gosselink MP, Tetteroo G, de Graaf E, van Beek T, den Toom R, van Bockel W, Verhoef C, Lange JF. High tie versus low tie in rectal surgery: comparison of anastomotic perfusion. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2011; **26**: 1075-1078 [PMID: 21445553 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-011-1188-6]
- 11 **Hinoi T**, Okajima M, Shimomura M, Egi H, Ohdan H, Konishi F, Sugihara K, Watanabe M. Effect of left colonic artery preservation on anastomotic leakage in laparoscopic anterior resection for middle and low rectal cancer. *World J Surg* 2013; **37**: 2935-2943 [PMID: 24005279 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-013-2194-3]
- 12 **Trencheva K**, Morrissey KP, Wells M, Mancuso CA, Lee SW, Sonoda T, Michelassi F, Charlson ME, Milsom JW. Identifying important predictors for anastomotic leak after colon and rectal resection: prospective study on 616 patients. *Ann Surg* 2013; **257**: 108-113 [PMID: 22968068 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318262a6cd]
- 13 **Allison AS**, Bloor C, Faux W, Arumugam P, Widdison A, Lloyd-

- Davies E, Maskell G. The angiographic anatomy of the small arteries and their collaterals in colorectal resections: some insights into anastomotic perfusion. *Ann Surg* 2010; **251**: 1092-1097 [PMID: 20485132 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181deb649]
- 14 **Law WL**, Chu KW. Anterior resection for rectal cancer with mesorectal excision: a prospective evaluation of 622 patients. *Ann Surg* 2004; **240**: 260-268 [PMID: 15273550 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000133185.23514.32]
- 15 **Vogel P**, Klosterhalfen B. [The surgical anatomy of the rectal and anal blood vessels]. *Langenbecks Arch Chir* 1988; **373**: 264-269 [PMID: 3268710 DOI: 10.1007/BF01276542]
- 16 **Floodeen H**, Hallböök O, Rutegård J, Sjødahl R, Matthiessen P. Early and late symptomatic anastomotic leakage following low anterior resection of the rectum for cancer: are they different entities? *Colorectal Dis* 2013; **15**: 334-340 [PMID: 22889325 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2012.03195.x]
- 17 **Rutegård M**, Hassmen N, Hemmingsson O, Haapamaki MM, Matthiessen P, Rutegård J. Anterior Resection for Rectal Cancer and Visceral Blood Flow: An Explorative Study. *Scand J Surg* 2015 Aug 6; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 26250353 DOI: 10.1177/1457496915593692]
- 18 **Vignali A**, Gianotti L, Braga M, Radaelli G, Malvezzi L, Di Carlo V. Altered microperfusion at the rectal stump is predictive for rectal anastomotic leak. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2000; **43**: 76-82 [PMID: 10813128 DOI: 10.1007/BF02237248]
- 19 **Kudszus S**, Roesel C, Schachtrupp A, Höer JJ. Intraoperative laser fluorescence angiography in colorectal surgery: a noninvasive analysis to reduce the rate of anastomotic leakage. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2010; **395**: 1025-1030 [PMID: 20700603 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-010-0699-x]
- 20 **Sherwinter DA**, Gallagher J, Donkar T. Intra-operative transanal near infrared imaging of colorectal anastomotic perfusion: a feasibility study. *Colorectal Dis* 2013; **15**: 91-96 [PMID: 22632448 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2012.03101.x]
- 21 **Jafari MD**, Lee KH, Halabi WJ, Mills SD, Carmichael JC, Stamos MJ, Pigazzi A. The use of indocyanine green fluorescence to assess anastomotic perfusion during robotic assisted laparoscopic rectal surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2013; **27**: 3003-3008 [PMID: 23404152 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-2832-8]
- 22 **Ris F**, Hompes R, Cunningham C, Lindsey I, Guy R, Jones O, George B, Cahill RA, Mortensen NJ. Near-infrared (NIR) perfusion angiography in minimally invasive colorectal surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2014; **28**: 2221-2226 [PMID: 24566744 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3432-y]
- 23 **Jafari MD**, Wexner SD, Martz JE, McLemore EC, Margolin DA, Sherwinter DA, Lee SW, Senagore AJ, Phelan MJ, Stamos MJ. Perfusion assessment in laparoscopic left-sided/anterior resection (PILLAR ID): a multi-institutional study. *J Am Coll Surg* 2015; **220**: 82-92.e1 [PMID: 25451666 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2014.09.015]
- 24 **Pommergaard HC**, Gessler B, Burcharth J, Angenete E, Haglund E, Rosenberg J. Preoperative risk factors for anastomotic leakage after resection for colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Colorectal Dis* 2014; **16**: 662-671 [PMID: 24655784 DOI: 10.1111/codi.12618]
- 25 **Matthiessen P**, Hallböök O, Rutegård J, Simert G, Sjødahl R. Defunctioning stoma reduces symptomatic anastomotic leakage after low anterior resection of the rectum for cancer: a randomized multicenter trial. *Ann Surg* 2007; **246**: 207-214 [PMID: 17667498 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3180603024]
- 26 **Snijders HS**, van Leersum NJ, Henneman D, de Vries AC, Tollenaar RA, Stiggelbout AM, Wouters MW, Dekker JW. Optimal Treatment Strategy in Rectal Cancer Surgery: Should We Be Cowboys or Chickens? *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015; **22**: 3582-3589 [PMID: 25691277 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-015-4385-7]

P- Reviewer: Altomare DF, de Bree E **S- Editor:** Qiu S
L- Editor: A **E- Editor:** Li D





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

