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Serum untargeted lipidomic profiling reveals dysfunction of phospholipid metabolism in subclinical coronary artery disease
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Purpose: Disturbed metabolism of cholesterol and triacylglycerols (TGs) carries increased risk for coronary artery calcification (CAC). However, the exact relationship between individual lipid species and CAC remains unclear. The aim of this study was to identify disturbances in lipid profiles involved in the calcification process, in an attempt to propose potential biomarker candidates.

Patients and methods: We studied 70 patients at intermediate risk for coronary artery disease who had undergone coronary calcification assessment using computed tomography and Agatston coronary artery calcium score (CACS). Patients were divided into three groups: with no coronary calcification (NCC; CACS: 0; n=26), mild coronary calcification (MCC; CACS: 1–250; n=27), or severe coronary calcification (SCC; CACS: >250; n=17). Patients’ serum samples were analyzed using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry in an untargeted lipidomics approach.

Results: We identified 103 lipids within the glycerolipid, glycerophospholipid, sphingolipid, and sterol lipid classes. After false discovery rate correction, phosphatidylcholine (PC)(16:0/20:4) in higher levels and PC(18:2/18:2), PC(36:3), and phosphatidylethanolamine(20:0/18:2) in lower levels were identified as correlates with SCC compared to NCC. There were no significant differences in the levels of individual TGs between the three groups; however, clustering the lipid profiles showed a trend for higher levels of saturated and monounsaturated TGs in SCC compared to NCC. There was also a trend for lower TG (49:2), TG(51:1), TG(54:5), and TG(56:8) levels in SCC compared to MCC.

Conclusion: In this study we investigated the lipidome of patients with coronary calcification. Our results suggest that the calcification process may be associated with dysfunction in autophagy. The lipidomic biomarkers revealed in this study may aid in better assessment of patients with subclinical coronary artery disease.
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Introduction
Coronary artery disease (CAD)-related mortality has recently fallen in the Western World, particularly Sweden, because of lifestyle and treatment improvements resulting in less people smoke and a better control of blood pressure, diabetes, and dyslipidemia.¹–³

Fast advances in interventional management of coronary disease with drug-eluting stents have also played an important role. Despite these achievements, CAD remains the leading cause of death in the West.⁴ Risk evaluation systems, for example Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation, Framingham Risk Score (FRS) and Pooled Cohort
Equations, have also developed to predict individual’s risk for developing cardiovascular events, which plays a pivotal role in primary prevention.\textsuperscript{5,6} However, the evidence-based benefits for commencing treatment in asymptomatic individuals remains uncertain.

Coronary artery calcification (CAC) is a marker for subclinical coronary artery disease in patients with intermediate risk and has been shown to improve risk prediction of CAD.\textsuperscript{7} CAC is accurately quantified by computed tomography (CT). However, its use in regular follow-up of patients is questionable because of the associated cost and radiation exposure.\textsuperscript{6} CAC has been shown to be related to conventional risk factors of atherosclerosis, including abnormally high cholesterol and triacylglycerols (TGs).\textsuperscript{8–12} Meta-analyses and genetic studies have also reported hypertriglyceridemia as independent predictor for CAD.\textsuperscript{13–15} However, the exact role of individual products of lipid metabolism in the calcification process remains undetermined. Because of the diverse composition and distribution of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids (FAs) in lipid headgroups, for example, glycerol (in TGs) and choline (in phosphatidylcholine [PC]), individual lipid molecules may differ in their functional properties.\textsuperscript{16}

The aim of this study was to identify lipids, which are likely to be involved in the biochemical process of CAC and its severity. If found, they may help in generating novel hypothesis of CAC mechanisms and potentially serve as diagnostic biomarker candidates.

Material and methods

Patients

Seventy patients who presented to Umeå Heart Centre (elective patients) with chest pain and whose diagnostic coronary angiogram, performed within the 12 months prior to recruitment, excluded significant (>50%) coronary artery stenosis were included in this study. A research nurse explained the study protocol to the patient who signed an informed consent to participate in the study. No patient had prior myocardial infarction, coronary artery intervention, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, significant valvular heart disease (more than mild stenosis or regurgitation), chronic heart failure (left ventricular ejection fraction <45%), or renal failure (creatinine >130 μmol/L). The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Umeå (2012-307-32M)(08-11M) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

All patients underwent multislice CT scan of the chest, from which coronary artery calcium score (CACS) was measured using the Agatston score,\textsuperscript{17} and they also completed a clinical questionnaire investigating lifestyle, prior clinical conditions, and medications. According to the CACS, the total cohort of patients was divided into the following subgroups: 26 patients with no coronary calcification (NCC), 27 with mild coronary calcification (MCC), CACS: 1-250, and 17 with severe coronary calcification (SCC), CACS: >250.

Blood sample storage

Blood samples were taken from the patients after an overnight fasting using the conventional venipuncture method, and the collected samples were kept in room temperature to clot, and then the serum was separated after conventional centrifugation. Samples were stored in −80°C in a dedicated freezer at the Academic Clinical Physiology Department, Umeå, for future biochemistry and metabolic profiling analysis. A tube from each patient was sent to the Swedish Metabolomics Center in Umeå, for analysis.

Metabolomics investigations and data collection and identification

Sample preparation

110 μL of organic solvent (2/1 v/v chloroform:methanol) was added to 20 μL of serum and the sample was shaken at 30 Hz for 2 mins, then let to stand at room temperature for 0.5–1 hrs. The sample was then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm and 4°C for 3 mins, then 50 μL of the organic phase was transferred to a microvial and 70 μL of internal standards was added (phosphatidylserine (PS)(17:0/17:0), phosphatidylglycerol (PG)(17:0/17:0), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE)(17:0/17:0), monoacylglycerol (MG)(17:0/0:0), diacylglycerols (DGs)(17:0/17:0/0:0), TG(17:0/17:0/17:0), PC(19:0/19:0), PC(17:0/0:0), TG(16:0/16:0/16:0), ceramide (Cer)(16:0). Quality control samples were also prepared by pooling 10 μL from each extract. All samples were stored at −80°C until analysis.

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis

The chromatographic separation was performed on an Agilent 1290 Infinity UHPLC-system connected to an Agilent 6550 Q-TOF mass spectrometer equipped with a jet stream electrospray ionization. 1 μL of the extracted serum was injected onto an Acquity UHPLC-Q-TOF-MS system. The samples were analyzed first in positive electrospray ionization polarity mode (ESI+) and then the instrument was switched to negative polarity mode (ESI−) and the samples were re-analyzed. In
ESI+, the lipid species including TGs, DG, PC, PE, or sphingomyelins (SMs) are detected, whereas ESI− gives better sensitivity for phosphatidylinositols (PIs), PS, phosphatidic acids (PAs), ceramides, cardiolipins and nonesterified free fatty acids (FFAs).

Data extraction
MS data processing was performed using open-source software MZmine 2.18.18 Peaks were identified using a custom database search and normalized using lipid class-specific internal standards, and also utilizing MS/MS data. Unknown lipids were normalized with the closest eluting internal standard. The custom database used in this study was recently assessed as part of the NIST lipidomics ring study, which comprised 31 laboratories worldwide.19

Statistical analysis
We applied principal component analysis (PCA) on the data to have an overview of the CACS classes.20 We used orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) to discriminate the 3 combinations of classes (NCC vs MCC; NCC vs SCC; MCC vs SCC) separately for the negative and positive modes. A model is considered statistically significant if it obtains relatively high Q2 values (according to cross-validation [CV]) with simultaneously low analysis of variance (ANOVA) and permutation test p-values (in this work α=0.05 for both). All variables were normalized to unit variance (z-scores).

Unpaired t-tests at the 95% confidence level were used to evaluate the statistical significance for lipid differences between the CACS classes. Benjamini–Hochberg correction with α=0.10 was used to control the false discovery rate (FDR).21 A logistic regression model (for each lipid) with a binary outcome was used to test models that were adjusted for age, sex, and use of statin medication. In order to be considered statistically significant, a variable had to present both a p-value of t-test <0.05 and an FDR p-value of <0.10. A trend of statistical significance was defined as either a p-value of t-test <0.05, or a p-value of <0.05 in the multivariate logistic regression models adjusted for age, sex, and statin treatment.

Fold changes were calculated using the median intensities of two CACS classes at a time. They were calculated as the highest median intensity among the two classes divided by the lowest of them. In case the class with lowest CACS has highest median intensity, the value is multiplied by −1.

For cluster analysis, ESI+ data were scaled to zero mean and all variables were normalized to unit variance. K-means clustering was applied, to the scaled data, with cluster numbers based on silhouette scores, to group lipids with similar profiles across all samples. The analysis was performed using R software, version 3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) using function “kmeans” with the default algorithm.22 The number of clusters (cl) was determined to n=6, based on the silhouette score using package NbClust.23 ANOVA was applied to explain the average lipid profile in each lipid cluster by age and calcification group. The age-corrected average cluster profiles are visualized as barplot with grouped bars and 95% CIs, based on the Tukey adjustment.

Results
A summary of patient clinical characteristics is presented in Table 1. The median CACS for MCC and SCC was 50 and 801, respectively. Individuals with SCC were older (mean age 67.1 vs 60.8 years, p=<0.001) and they were more likely to be males (64.7 vs 26.6%, p=0.03) compared to NCC. They were also more likely to have hypercholesterolemia (100 vs 50.0%, p=<0.001), often on statin and aspirin treatment (88.2 vs 38.5%, p=<0.001 and 76.5 vs 42.3%, p=0.011, respectively) and had higher mean creatinine levels (85.2 vs 69.4 µmol/L, p=0.04) compared with NCC. There was no significant difference in the reported family history of CAD, frequency of smoking, diabetes mellitus, body mass index, and systolic or diastolic blood pressures (p=>0.05) between the two groups.

Identified lipids
Details of the identified lipids in the main lipid classes are presented in Table S1. We identified a total of 103 lipids within the glycerolipid, glycerophospholipid, sphingolipid, and sterol lipid classes; specifically, 38 glycerolipids (33 TGs and 5 diacylglycerides [DG]), 49 glycerophospholipid (26 PC, 2 PI, 5 PE, 8 ether phosphatidylcholines [O-PC], 8 lyso-phosphatidylcholines [LPC]), 15 sphingolipid (14 SM, 1 Cer) and 1 sterol lipid classes (1 cholesteryl ester [CE]).

Overview of CAC classes using PCA
The results of PCA in positive and negative mode of the three groups (NCC, MCC, and SCC) are shown in Figure S1. In model A of ESI− including the three classes, there was a tendency toward a difference between NCC and SCC, while MCC class has a less evident boundary. That difference was more visible in model B when only classes NCC and SCC were modeled together. The lipids detected with ESI+ did not show a class separation in any of the models (C and D).
Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients according to severity of coronary artery calcification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>NCC (n=26)</th>
<th>MCC (n=27)</th>
<th>SCC (n=17)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CACS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 [25–128]</td>
<td>801 [514–1005]</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>60.8±7.1</td>
<td>66.5±7.5</td>
<td>67.1±9.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive family history of CAD</td>
<td>7 (26.9)</td>
<td>18 (66.7)</td>
<td>14 (82.4)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>7 (26.6)</td>
<td>11 (40.7)</td>
<td>11 (64.7)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>13 (50.0)</td>
<td>23 (85.2)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active/former smokers</td>
<td>15 (57.7)</td>
<td>15 (55.5)</td>
<td>12 (70.6)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>4 (14.8)</td>
<td>3 (11.1)</td>
<td>5 (29.4)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>27.2±4.2</td>
<td>27.2±4.9</td>
<td>28.2±3.9</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>16 (61.5)</td>
<td>20 (74.1)</td>
<td>15 (88.2)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>138.4±19.0</td>
<td>140.1±15.5</td>
<td>143.1±17.7</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic, BP, mmHg</td>
<td>83.6±10.5</td>
<td>80.6±6.8</td>
<td>80.9±9.7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>10 (38.5)</td>
<td>21 (77.8)</td>
<td>15 (88.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>11 (42.3)</td>
<td>21 (77.8)</td>
<td>13 (76.5)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, µmol/L</td>
<td>69.4±11.7</td>
<td>81.4±21.1</td>
<td>85.2±27.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Demographics of the patient groups. Data are presented as n (%), mean ± SD or median and IQR. p-Values were calculated by Chi-square test of trend for categorical variables and for continuous variables that were normally distributed we used Pearson’s test of correlation. Spearman’s rank correlation test was used for continuous variables, not normally distributed.

Abbreviations: CACS, coronary artery calcification score; BP, blood pressure; NCC, no coronary calcification (CACS=0); MCC, mild coronary calcification (CACS=1–250); SCC, severe coronary calcification (CACS>=250).

OPLS-DA model was not significant in ESI+ for SCC vs NCC (Table S2). As expected by inspection of the PCA results, the strongest OPLS-DA model was for the SCC vs NCC in ESI−. The model was significant based on CV-ANOVA (p=0.006) and the permutation test (p=0.004). OPLS-DA of MCC vs SCC in ESI− showed a weak model that was significant according to the permutation test (p=0.031) but not according to the CV-ANOVA (p=0.306). The weakest model in ESI− was observed for NCC vs MCC and was not significant by CV-ANOVA and permutation test. The model in ESI+ was not significant for NCC vs MCC. Inspection of the OPLS-DA loadings (not shown) showed results similar to the ones obtained using t-test.

SCC vs NCC
PC(16:0/20:4) was higher in SCC compared to NCC (p=<0.001), even after accounting for FDR and the adjusted logistic regression model (Table 2). PC(18:2/18:2), PC(36:3), and PE(20:0/18:2) were lower in SCC in both the adjusted models and after FDR correction compared with NCC (Table 2).

There was no significant differences in the levels of the identified TGs (Table 2); however, some trends of altered levels in the clustering analysis (Figure 1; Table S3) were found, when comparing the SCC and NCC groups. A trend toward higher levels of c13 containing lipids with mainly saturated and monounsaturated FA TGs in SCC compared to NCC was observed (p=0.059).

A trend toward lower levels of DG(36:2) and DG(36:3) in SCC compared with NCC was observed; however, these differences were not significant after FDR correction or in the adjusted models (Table 2). There was a trend for lower SM(d:34:1) and Cer(d18:1/24:0) in SCC compared to NCC (Table 2), which lost its significance in the adjusted model. CE(16:0) was lower in SCC compared to NCC, which lost significance after FDR correction (Table 2). In the clustering analysis, significantly lower levels of lipids (major phospholipids and cholesterol esters) in c11 were found in SCC compared to NCC (p=0.002, Figure 1, Table S3).

SCC vs MCC
PC(16:0/20:4) was significantly higher in SCC compared to MCC even in the adjusted model and after FDR correction (Table 2). In contrast, PC(18:2/18:2) was lower in SCC compared to MCC. PC(32:1), PC(33:0), PC(35:1), and PC (38:4) were lower in SCC compared to MCC in the adjusted models but not after FDR correction. PE(20:0/18:2) had only a tendency to be lower in SCC (Table 2). Lower levels of SM(d18:2/24:1) and SM(d36:2) were found in SCC using the adjusted models but not following FDR correction (Table 2).

TG(49:2), TG(51:1), TG(54:5), and TG(56:8) tended to be lower in SCC compared to MCC (Table 2, Figure 2 please relace figure 2 with the uploaded one). Although the difference of TG(51:1) between groups was significant in the adjusted model, none of the TGs was significantly
different between groups after FDR correction. Similarly, DG(37:1) was lower in SCC compared to MCC, in the adjusted model, but not after FDR correction.

**MCC vs NCC**

PC(16:0/20:4), PC(18:0/18:0), PC(30:0), PC(32:1), PC(33:0), PC(34:3), PC(35:1), PC(36:1), PC(37:3), PC(38:4), PC(40:5), and PC(40:8) were higher in MCC compared with NCC, but not after FDR correction. Only PC(40:5) level was different in the adjusted model.

SM(d18:0/16:0) and SM(d36:2) had only a tendency to be higher in MCC compared to NCC.

There were no differences in TG level between groups, although a trend for higher levels of TG(60:5) and DG(37:1) was observed in MCC (Table 2). DG(45:5) and DG(46:5) were lower in MCC compared to NCC in the adjusted model but not following FDR correction.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the lipidome of patients with no prior coronary events, angiographically nonobstructive CAD with various degrees of CAC, a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis, using the lipidomics technology. 103 lipids were identified within four main lipid classes, specifically 38 glycerolipids, 49 glycerophospholipids, 15 sphingolipids, and 1 sterol lipid. After adjustment for FDR and age, sex and statin treatment in the multivariate logistic regression model, only PC(16:0/20:4), PC(18:2/18:2), PC(36:3) and PE(20:0/18:2) significantly discriminated patients with severe from patients with no calcification. If a relationship is found between any of the lipid species and CAC severity, it could conceptually be used as a biomarker for CAC severity, thus saving patients significant radiation and health care resources.

PEs belong to glycerophospholipid class, and they are consistently found on the inner leaflet of cell membranes and are the second most abundant phospholipid class, making up approximately 15–25% of the total phospholipids in mammalian cells. Functionally, they are associated with protein biogenesis, oxidative phosphorylation, membrane fusion, mitochondrial stability, and autophagy. Autophagy is a mechanism that involves breakdown of cellular components by lysosomes. The major subtype of autophagy is macroautophagy, in which, cytoplasmic content is sequestered into a double membrane vesicle (autophagosome) that fuses with a lysosome for degradation and recycling. In atherosclerotic plaques, autophagosomes are presented in increased numbers in macrophages and is thought to play a protective role. The exact protective mechanism of autophagy in atherosclerosis is not entirely clear; however, mechanisms involving hydrolysis of stored cholesteryl ester droplets in macrophages and

**Table 2** Identified lipids in main lipid classes with their corresponding subclasses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid class</th>
<th>SCC vs NCC</th>
<th>SCC vs MCC</th>
<th>MCC vs NCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycerolipids (n=38)</td>
<td>[DG(36:2)* and DG(36:3)*]</td>
<td>↓ TG(49:2)<em>, TG(51:1)</em> and TG(56:8)*</td>
<td>↓ TG(60:5)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓ PC(16:0/20:4)* and PC(18:0/18:0)*</td>
<td>↓ PC(16:0/20:4)* and PC(18:0/18:0)*</td>
<td>↓ PC(16:0/20:4)* and PC(18:0/18:0)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓ PC(16:0/16:0)* and PC(18:2/18:0)*</td>
<td>↓ PC(18:0/18:0)* and PC(18:0/22:6)* and PC(36:3)*</td>
<td>↓ PC(16:0/20:4)* and PC(18:0/18:0)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓ LPC(18:0)* and LPC(18:2)*</td>
<td>↓ LPC(18:0)* and LPC(18:2)*</td>
<td>↓ LPC(18:0)* and LPC(18:2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>↓ LPC(22:6)*</td>
<td>↓ LPC(22:6)*</td>
<td>↓ LPC(22:6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphingolipids (n=14)</td>
<td>↓ SM(d34:1)* and SM(d40:2)*</td>
<td>↓ SM(d18:2/24:1)* and SM(d36:2)*</td>
<td>↓ SM(d18:0/16:0)* and SM(d36:2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterol lipid (n=1)</td>
<td>↓ Cer(d18:1/24:0)*</td>
<td>↓ CE(16:0)*</td>
<td>↓ CE(16:0)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Identified lipids in main lipid classes with their corresponding subclasses. *p-value (unpaired t-test)<0.05, **p-value (unpaired t-test)<0.01, ***p-value (unpaired t-test)<0.001, F False discovery rate p-value<0.05, D False discovery rate p-value<0.1. **p-values of logistic regression model adjusted for age, sex, and statin treatment.

Abbreviations: N, number of lipids identified in lipid class; TG, triacylglycerol; DG, diacylglycerol; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PL, phosphatidylisinitol; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine. E-PC, ether-phosphatidylcholine; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; SM, sphingomyelin; Cer, ceramide; CE, cholesterol ester.
Deficiency of intracellular PE has been associated with a dysfunction of autophagy and formation of reactive oxygen species. Interestingly, we found lower levels of PE(20:0/18:2) in SCC compared to NCC patients. This suggests that the calcification process may be associated with dysfunction in autophagy and formation of reactive oxygen species.

PCs are also members of the glycerophospholipid class, and they are major components of cell membrane and are also involved in cell signaling and metabolism. Dysregulation of PC levels and increased activity and mass of PLA2 (enzyme that breaks down PC into a FAC and a lysophosphatidic acid) have been shown to be associated with CAD and CAC.

PLA2 is secreted by inflammatory cells and circulates in blood bound to lipoproteins of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and contributes to plaque instability. Targeted inhibitory therapies of PLA2 have recently been investigated, and high levels of PC(16:0/20:4) containing arachidonic acid were identified in SCC. Findings were reproduced independently by Imperial College London and Swedish metabolomics center. These results suggest that CAC is associated with an inflammatory process. Similarly, in this study, PC(16:0/20:4) was found at higher levels in SCC compared with NCC.

PC level, in particular PC(32:1), PC(33:0), PC(35:1), PC(36:1) and PC(36:3) had a trend of an inverse relationship with CAC, a finding supported by previous studies that showed lower levels of the same number of carbon atoms containing PCs associated with cardiovascular disease. There was also, a trend of higher level of LPC(18:0) and LPC(22:6) and lower levels of LPC(16:0), LPC(16:1), LPC(18:1), LPC(18:2), LPC(20:3), and LPC(20:4) in SCC, again supported by similar findings that showed lower levels of LPC(16:0), LPC(18:2) and LPC(20:4) associated with CVD.

CAC has been shown to be associated with dyslipidemia, for example total cholesterol and total TG, but not with any specific individual TGs. TGs have been shown to be related to CAD both in meta-analyses and in genetic studies. High TG levels are involved in the metabolic syndrome which is associated with a proinflammatory and prothrombotic state.

Figure 1 Mean lipid levels within each cluster presented as grouped barplots in no coronary calcification (0), mild coronary calcification (1), and severe coronary calcification (2). Positive mode data were obtained from lipidomics (UHPLC-MS) platforms. Error bars show Tukey-adjusted 95% CIs (*p=0.002 for the post-hoc test between subgroup 0 and 2).
Stored TGs in adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, and liver can be hydrolyzed into FFAs, which may promote atherosclerotic lesions through endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, and thrombosis mechanisms. In this study, we identified 33 different TG species with various FA composition and arrangement. We have found only a trend for higher levels of saturated and monounsaturated TGs (mainly 16-carbon fatty acyl, palmitic acid), a known mediator for arterial calcification. Although four identified TGs were lower in SCC compared to MCC, these differences did not hold after accounting for false discovery rate. TG with fatty acyls of 56 carbons and 6 double bonds (TG(56:6)) level has been shown to correlate with CAD development in diabetics. Bruneck study demonstrated that the addition of three lipids, including a TG with 54 carbons (TG(54:2)), to FRS improves the risk prediction of incident CVD events. TGs with low carbon number and double-bond content (eg, saturated and monounsaturated FAC) strongly predicted CAD events, particularly in type II diabetics.

DGs are members of glycerolipid class and are structurally composed of two FAC linked to a glycerol molecule. They are produced on the plasma membrane by enzymatic hydrolysis of PI 4,5-bisphosphate and serve as intracellular signaling lipids that trigger proliferation, differentiation, migration, survival, and apoptosis. While inositol triphosphate triggers calcium ion mobilization from the smooth endoplasmic reticulum, DGs activate protein kinase C (PKC) which prevents vascular calcification. Indeed, our SCC patients showed a tendency to have lower levels of DG (36:2), DG(36:3), DG(37:1), DG(45:5) and DG(46:5).

**Clinical implications**

It is clinically acceptable that age, particularly in men, determines the formation of CAC irrespective of the presence or severity of other conventional risk factors for atherosclerosis. Our results show that specific lipids were able to differentiate between extremes of CAC severity. Specific biochemical mechanisms for CAC are likely to be invoked, a concept that needs to be further investigated. Once established specific treatment measures could be designed in order to avoid the development of severe hardening of arterial walls with their impact on coronary flow reserve and symptoms. Nevertheless, if identified and revalidated, biomarkers could assist in better stratification of patients with severe coronary calcification.
Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, there was a significant difference in levels of statin treatment between the CAC groups. Statins inhibit the rate-limiting step of cholesterol synthesis and have in previous studies been shown to be associated with CAC. Nevertheless, statins can change the levels of individual lipid species; however, there is not much literature available of the changes in individual (intact) lipid species in circulation. Most studies have looked at the total FA amounts, showing that statin treatment lowers the overall FA levels, particularly in LDL fraction. As we observed both negative and positive associations of specific lipids and CAC, it is probable that some of the changes are caused by the CAC, rather than showing the effect of the statin treatment. However, it is difficult to fully evaluate whether the identified lipids that significantly differentiated patients with severe CAC and controls, was due to a difference in the underlying atherosclerotic process or statin treatment. Despite that this relationship remained significant after adjustment for both false discovery rate and statin treatment. Second, whether the identified potential biomarkers are directly causally related to calcification or if they are merely association, cannot be established without reproducing the same findings in a larger cohort, with targeted approaches. Finally, the trends toward lower levels of four TGs in severe CAC compared to mild CAC limits its clinical relevance, particularly because of the small samples studied.

Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the lipidome of patients with coronary calcification. Our results suggest that the coronary calcification process is associated with dysfunction in autophagy. The lipidomic biomarkers revealed in this study may aid in better assessment of patients with subclinical coronary artery disease.
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Supplementary materials

Table S1  Identified lipids in main lipid classes with their corresponding subclasses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main lipid classes</th>
<th>Subclasses</th>
<th>Identified lipids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycerophospholipids (n=49)</td>
<td>DG (n=5)</td>
<td>DG(36:2), DG(36:3), DG(37:1), DG(45:5) and DG(46:5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PC (n=26)</td>
<td>PC(16:0/16:0), PC(16:0/18:1), PC(16:0/20:4), PC(16:0/18:1), PC(18:0/18:0), PC(18:0/18:1), PC(18:0/18:2), PC(18:0/22:6), PC(30:0), PC(32:1), PC(33:0), PC(34:3), PC(35:1), PC(36:1), PC(36:2), PC(36:3),PC(36:4), PC(37:3), PC(38:3), PC(38:4), PC(38:5), PC(38:6), PC(40:5), PC(40:6), PC(40:8) and PC(42:6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PI (n=2)</td>
<td>Pl(38:3) and Pl(18:0/20:4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE (n=5)</td>
<td>PE(18:0/22:6), PE(20:0/18:2), PE(38:4), PE(16:0/20:4) and PE(16:0/22:6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E-PC (n=8)</td>
<td>PC(O-22:2/23:3), PC(O-32:0), PC(O-32:1), PC(O-36:3), PC(O-36:4), PC(O-36:5), PC(O-38:5) and PC(O-40:6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphingolipids (n=15)</td>
<td>LPC (n=8)</td>
<td>LPC(16:0), LPC(16:1), LPC(18:0), LPC(18:1), LPC(18:2), LPC(20:3), LPC(20:4) and LPC(22:6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SM (n=14)</td>
<td>SM(d16:1/18:1), SM(d18:2/16:0), SM(d18:0/16:0), SM(d18:1/24:0), SM(d18:2/24:1), SM(d34:1), SM(d36:0), SM(d36:1), SM(d36:2), SM(d38:1), SM(d38:2), SM(d40:1), SM(d40:2) and SM(d42:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterol lipid (n=1)</td>
<td>Cer (n=1)</td>
<td>Cer(d18:1/24:0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE (n=1)</td>
<td>CE(16:0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TG, triacylglycerol; DG, diacylglycerol; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; E-PC, ether-phosphatidylcholine; LPC, lyso-phosphatidylcholine; SM, sphingomyelin; Cer, ceramide; CE, cholesteryl ester.

Figure S1 PCA) score plots of: (A) PCA on negative mode of no coronary calcification (0), mild coronary calcification (1), and severe coronary calcification (2) groups. (B) PCA on negative mode data with NCC and SCC groups. (C) PCA on positive mode of NCC, MCC, and SCC groups. (D) PCA on positive mode of NCC and SCC.

Abbreviations: PCA, principal components analysis; NCC, no coronary calcification; SCC, small coronary calcification; MCC, mild coronary calcification.
Table S2 Statistics for OPLS-DA models of NCC vs SCC and MCC vs SCC using the negative mode data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>SCC vs NCC</th>
<th>SCC vs MCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of latent variables</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2_Xp$</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2_Xo$</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q^2$</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV-ANOVA (p-value)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permutation test (p-value)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The most robust model in the negative mode is the NCC vs MCC, while MCC vs SCC did not achieve significance. None of the 3 models achieved significance for the positive mode data.

Abbreviations: CV-ANOVA, cross-validated analysis of variance; OPLS-DA, orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis; NCC, no coronary calcification (CACS=0); MCC, mild coronary calcification (CACS=1–250); SCC, severe coronary calcification (CACS=>250).

Table S3 Description of lipid clusters obtained from positive mode data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster name</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
<th>Description of lipid classes</th>
<th>Examples of lipids</th>
<th>Level of significance*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cl1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Major phospholipids, such as PC, SM, and sterol lipids such as CE</td>
<td>CE(16:0), PC(36:3), SM(d40:2)</td>
<td>NCC vs MCC (t=1.787, p=0.18), NCC vs SCC (t=3.487, p=0.002), MCC vs SCC (t=1.925, p=0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mainly SFA- and MUFA-containing PC and TG</td>
<td>PC(16:0/18:1), PC(37:3), TG(18:0/18:0/18:0)</td>
<td>NCC vs MCC (t=1.072, p=0.53), NCC vs SCC (t=0.435, p=0.90), MCC vs SCC (t=-0.560, p=0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mainly SFA- and MUFA-containing TGs</td>
<td>TG(14:0/18:1/18:1), TG(16:0/16:0/16:0), TG(18:1/18:1/16:0), TG(18:1/18:1/18:1)</td>
<td>NCC vs MCC (t=-1.461, p=0.31), NCC vs SCC (t=-2.309, p=0.059), MCC vs SCC (t=-0.560, p=0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Major phospholipids, such as PC, ether PC, and SM</td>
<td>PC(16:0/22:6), PC(0:22:2/22:3), SM(d36:2)</td>
<td>NCC vs MCC (t=1.161, p=0.48), NCC vs SCC (t=0.837, p=0.68), MCC vs SCC (t=-0.228, p=0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Mainly SFA- and MFA-containing TGs</td>
<td>TG(14:0/16:0/18:1), TG(18:2/16:0/14:0), TG(18:1/18:1/18:1)</td>
<td>NCC vs MCC (t=-0.632, p=0.80), NCC vs SCC (t=-0.257, p=0.96), MCC vs SCC (t=0.330, p=0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mainly LPC, PC, and SM</td>
<td>LPC(20:4), PC(40:5), SM(d36:0)</td>
<td>NCC vs MCC (t=-0.621, p=0.81), NCC vs SCC (t=-0.584, p=0.83), MCC vs SCC (t=0.018, p=0.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Indicates significance in the results from linear model ANOVA analysis, with increased severity of coronary calcification. NCC, no coronary calcification (CACS=0); MCC, mild coronary calcification (CACS=1–250); SCC, severe coronary calcification (CACS=>250).

Abbreviations: Lipid abbreviations: TG, triacylglycerols; DG, diacylglycerols; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; E-PC, ether-phosphatidylcholine; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; SM, sphingomyelin; Cer, ceramide; CE, cholesterol ester; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA, saturated fatty acid.